arXiv:1412.7305v1 [math.NT] 23 Dec 2014

P-ADIC HEIGHTS AND *P*-ADIC HODGE THEORY

DENIS BENOIS

ABSTRACT. Using the theory of (φ,Γ)-modules and the formalism of Selmer complexes we construct the p-adic height pairing for p-adic representations with coefficients in an affinoid algebra over $\mathbf{Q}_p$. For p-adic representations that are potentially semistable at p, we relate our contruction to universal norms and compare it to the p-adic height pairing of Nekovář.

CONTENTS

Introduction 2
0.1. Selmer complexes 2
0.2. Selmer complexes and (φ,Γ)-modules 4
0.3. p-adic height pairings 6
0.4. The organization of this paper 8
0.5. Remarks 8
1. Complexes and products 9
1.1. The complex $T^\bullet(A^\bullet)$ 9
1.2. Products 16
2. Cohomology of (φ,Γ_K)-modules 22
2.1. (φ,Γ_K)-modules 22
2.2. Relation to the p-adic Hodge theory 26
2.3. The complex $C_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D})$ 29
2.4. The complex $K^\bullet(V)$ 31
2.5. Transpositions 36
2.6. The Bockstein map 38
2.7. Iwasawa cohomology 41
2.8. The group $H^1_f(\mathbf{D})$ 44
3. p-adic height pairings I: Selmer complexes 46
3.1. Selmer complexes 46
3.2. p-adic height pairings 53
4. Splitting submodules 60

Date: December 18, 2014.
1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11R23, 11F80, 11S25, 11G40.

4.1. Splitting submodules 60
4.2. The canonical splitting 62
4.3. Filtration associated to a splitting submodule 64
5. p-adic height pairings II: universal norms 71
5.1. The pairing $h^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V,D}$ 71
5.2. Comparision with $h^{\mathrm{sel}}_{V,\mathbf{D}}$ 76
6. p-adic height pairings III: splitting of local extensions 80
6.1. The pairing $h^{\mathrm{spl}}_{V,D}$ 80
6.2. Comparision with Nekovář's height pairing 83
6.3. Comparision with $h^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V,D}$ 85
7. p-adic height pairings IV: extended Selmer groups 87
7.1. Extended Selmer groups 87
7.2. The pairing $h^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V,D}$ for extended Selmer groups 89
References 93

INTRODUCTION

0.1. Selmer complexes.

0.1.1. Let F be a number field. We denote by S_f and S_∞ the set of non-archimedean and archimedian places of F respectively. Fix a prime number p and denote by S_p the set of places $\mathfrak{q}$ above p.

Let S be a finite set of non-archimedian places of F containing S_p. To simplify notation, set $\Sigma_p = S \setminus S_p$. We denote by $G_{F,S}$ the Galois group of the maximal algebraic extension of F unramified outside $S \cup S_\infty$. For each $\mathfrak{q} \in S$ we denote by $F_\mathfrak{q}$ the completion of F with respect to $\mathfrak{q}$ and by $G_{F_\mathfrak{q}}$ the absolute Galois group of $F_\mathfrak{q}$ which we identify with the decomposition group at $\mathfrak{q}$. We will write $I_\mathfrak{q}$ for the inertia subgroup of $G_{F_\mathfrak{q}}$ and $\mathrm{Fr}_\mathfrak{q}$ for the relative Frobenius over $F_\mathfrak{q}$.

If G is a topological group and M is a topological G-module, we denote by $C^\bullet(G,M)$ the complex of continuous cochains of G with coefficients in M.

0.1.2. Let T be a continuous representation of $G_{F,S}$ with coefficients in a complete local noetherian ring A with a finite residue field of characteristic p. A local condition at $\mathfrak{q} \in S$ is a morphism of complexes

$$g_\mathfrak{q} : U^\bullet_\mathfrak{q}(T) \to C^\bullet(G_{F_\mathfrak{q}}, T).$$

To each collection $U^\bullet(T) = (U^\bullet_\mathfrak{q}(T), g_\mathfrak{q})_{\mathfrak{q}\in S}$ of local conditions one can associate the following diagram

$$C^\bullet(G_{F,S},T) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q}\in S} C^\bullet(G_{F_\mathfrak{q}},T) \tag{1}$$
$$\uparrow (g_\mathfrak{q})$$
$$\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q}\in S} U^\bullet_\mathfrak{q}(T),$$

where the upper row is the restriction map. The Selmer complex associated to the local conditions $U^\bullet(T)$ is defined as the mapping cone

$$S^\bullet(T,U^\bullet(T)) = \mathrm{cone}\left(C^\bullet(G_{F,S},T)\oplus\left(\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q}\in S} U^\bullet_\mathfrak{q}(T)\right) \to \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q}\in S} C^\bullet(G_{F_\mathfrak{q}},T)\right)[-1].$$

This notion was introduced by Nekovář in [34], where the machinery of Selmer complexes was developed in full generality.

0.1.3. The most important example of local conditions is provided by Greenberg's local conditions. If $\mathfrak{q}\in S$, we will denote by $T_\mathfrak{q}$ the restriction of T on $G_{F_\mathfrak{q}}$. Fix, for each $\mathfrak{q}\in S_p$, a subrepresentation $M_\mathfrak{q}$ of $T_\mathfrak{q}$ and define

$$U^\bullet_\mathfrak{q}(T) = C^\bullet(G_{F_\mathfrak{q}},M_\mathfrak{q}) \qquad \mathfrak{q}\in S_p.$$

For $\mathfrak{q}\in\Sigma_p$ we consider the unramified local conditions

$$U^\bullet_\mathfrak{q}(T) = C^\bullet_{\mathrm{ur}}(T_\mathfrak{q}) = \left[T^{I_\mathfrak{q}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Fr}_\mathfrak{q}-1} T^{I_\mathfrak{q}}\right],$$

where the terms are placed in degrees 0 and 1. To simplify notation, we will write $S^\bullet(T,M)$ for the Selmer complex associated to these conditions and $\mathbf{R}\Gamma(T,M)$ for the corresponding object of the derived category. Let $T^*(1)$ be the Tate dual of T equipped with Greenberg local conditions $N = (N_\mathfrak{q})_{\mathfrak{q}\in S_p}$ such that M and N are orthogonal to each other under the canonical duality $T\times T^*(1)\to A$. In this case, the general construction of cup products for cones gives a pairing

$$\cup : \mathbf{R}\Gamma(T,M)\otimes^{\mathbf{L}}_A \mathbf{R}\Gamma(T^*(1),N)\to A[-3]$$

(see [34], Section 6.3). Nekovář constructed the p-adic height pairing

$$h^{\mathrm{sel}} : \mathbf{R}\Gamma(T,M)\otimes^{\mathbf{L}}_A \mathbf{R}\Gamma(T^*(1),N)\to A[-2]$$

as the composition of $\cup$ with the Bockstein map [1] $\beta_{T,M} : \mathbf{R}\Gamma(T,M)\to \mathbf{R}\Gamma(T,M)[1]$:

$$h^{\mathrm{sel}}(x,y) = \beta_{T,M}(x)\cup y.$$

[1] See [34], Section 11.1 or Section 3.2 below for the definition of the Bockstein map.

Passing to cohomology groups $H^i(T,M)=\mathbf{R}^i\Gamma(T,M)$, we obtain a pairing

$$h_1^{\mathrm{sel}} : H^1(T,M)\otimes_A H^1(T^*(1),N)\to A.$$

0.1.4. The relationship of these constructions to the traditional treatements is the following. Let $A=\mathscr{O}_E$ be the ring of integers of a local field $E/\mathbf{Q}_p$ and let T be a Galois stable $\mathscr{O}_E$-lattice of a p-adic Galois representation V with coefficients in E. Assume that V is semistable at all $\mathfrak{q}\in S_p$. We say that V satisfies the Panchishkin condition at p if, for each $\mathfrak{q}\in S_p$, there exists a subrepresentation $V_{\mathfrak{q}}^+\subset V_{\mathfrak{q}}$ such that all Hodge–Tate weights [2] of $V_{\mathfrak{q}}/V_{\mathfrak{q}}^+$ are $\geqslant 0$. Set $T_{\mathfrak{q}}^+=T\cap V_{\mathfrak{q}}^+$, $T^+=(T_{\mathfrak{q}}^+)_{\mathfrak{q}\in S_p}$ and consider the associated Selmer complex $\mathbf{R}\Gamma(T,T^+)$. The first cohomology group $H^1(T,T^+)$ of $\mathbf{R}\Gamma(T,T^+)$ is very close to the Selmer group defined by Greenberg [22], [24] and therefore to the Bloch–Kato Selmer group [17]. It can be shown (see [34], Chapter 11), that, under some mild conditions, the pairing h_1^{sel} coincides with the p-adic height pairing constructed in [33] and [36] using universal norms (see also [39]). Note, that Nekovář's construction has many advantages over the classical definitions. In particular, it allows to study the variation of the p-adic heights in ordinary families of p-adic representations (see [34], Section 0.16 and Chapter 11 for further discussion).

0.2. Selmer complexes and (φ,Γ)-modules.

0.2.1. In this paper we consider Selmer complexes with local conditions coming from the theory of (φ,Γ)-modules and extend a part of Nekovář's machinery to this situation. Let now A be a $\mathbf{Q}_p$-affinoid algebra and let V be a p-adic representation of $G_{F,S}$ with coefficients in A. In [37], Pottharst studied Selmer complexes associated to the diagrams of the form (1) in this context. We will consider a slightly more general situation because, for the local conditions $U_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\bullet}(V)$ that we have in mind, the maps $g_{\mathfrak{q}} : U_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\bullet}(V)\to C^{\bullet}(G_{F_{\mathfrak{q}}},V)$ are not defined on the level of complexes but only in the derived category of A-modules.

For each $\mathfrak{q}\in S_p$ we denote by $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{q}}$ the Galois group of the cyclotomic p-extension of $F_{\mathfrak{q}}$. As before, we denote by $V_{\mathfrak{q}}$ the restriction of V on the decomposition group at $\mathfrak{q}$. The theory of (φ,Γ)-modules associates to $V_{\mathfrak{q}}$ a finitely generated projective module $\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig},A}(V)$ over the Robba ring $\mathscr{R}_{F_{\mathfrak{q}},A}$ equipped with a semilinear Frobenius map φ and a continuous action of $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{q}}$ which commute to each other (see [18], [13], [16], [29]). In [30], Kedlaya, Pottharst and Xiao extended the results of Liu [31] about the cohomology of (φ,Γ)-modules to the relative case. Their results play a key role in this paper.

[2] We call Hodge–Tate weights the jumps of the Hodge–Tate filtration on the associated de Rham module.

Namely, to each $(\varphi,\Gamma_{\mathfrak{q}})$-module $\mathbf{D}$ over $\mathscr{R}_{F_{\mathfrak{q}},A}$ one can associate the Fontaine–Herr complex $C^{\bullet}_{\varphi,\gamma_{\mathfrak{q}}}(\mathbf{D})$ of $\mathbf{D}$. The cohomology $H^*(\mathbf{D})$ of $\mathbf{D}$ is defined as the cohomology of $C^{\bullet}_{\varphi,\gamma_{\mathfrak{q}}}(\mathbf{D})$. If $\mathbf{D}=\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig},A}(V)$, there exist isomorphisms $H^*(\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig},A}(V))\simeq H^*(F_{\mathfrak{q}},V)$, but the complexes $C^{\bullet}_{\varphi,\gamma_{\mathfrak{q}}}(\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))$ and $C^{\bullet}(G_{F_{\mathfrak{q}}},V_{\mathfrak{q}})$ are not quasi-isomorphic. A simple argument allows us to construct a complex $K^{\bullet}(V_{\mathfrak{q}})$ together with quasi-isomorphisms $\xi_{\mathfrak{q}}: C^{\bullet}(G_{F_{\mathfrak{q}}},V)\to K^{\bullet}(V_{\mathfrak{q}})$ and $\alpha_{\mathfrak{q}}: C^{\bullet}_{\varphi,\gamma_{\mathfrak{q}}}(\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig},A}(V_{\mathfrak{q}}))\to K^{\bullet}(V_{\mathfrak{q}})$ [3]. For each $\mathfrak{q}\in S_p$, we choose a $(\varphi,\Gamma_{\mathfrak{q}})$-submodule $\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ of $\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig},A}(V_{\mathfrak{q}})$ that is a $\mathscr{R}_{F_{\mathfrak{q}},A}$-module direct summand of $\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig},A}(V_{\mathfrak{q}})$ and set $\mathbf{D}=(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}})_{\mathfrak{q}\in S_p}$. Set

$$K^{\bullet}(V)=\left(\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q}\in\Sigma_p}C^{\bullet}(G_{F_{\mathfrak{q}}},V)\right)\bigoplus\left(\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q}\in S_p}K^{\bullet}(V_{\mathfrak{q}})\right)$$

and

$$U^{\bullet}_{\mathfrak{q}}(V,\mathbf{D})=\begin{cases}C^{\bullet}_{\varphi,\gamma_{\mathfrak{q}}}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}}), & \text{if } \mathfrak{q}\in S_p,\\ C^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{ur}}(V_{\mathfrak{q}}), & \text{if } \mathfrak{q}\in\Sigma_p.\end{cases}$$

For each $\mathfrak{q}\in S_p$, we have morphisms

$$f_{\mathfrak{q}}: C^{\bullet}(G_{F,S},V)\xrightarrow{\mathrm{res}_{\mathfrak{q}}}C^{\bullet}(G_{F_{\mathfrak{q}}},V)\xrightarrow{\xi_{\mathfrak{q}}}K^{\bullet}(V_{\mathfrak{q}}),$$
$$g_{\mathfrak{q}}: U^{\bullet}_{\mathfrak{q}}(V,\mathbf{D})\to C^{\bullet}_{\varphi,\gamma_{\mathfrak{q}}}(\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig},A}(V_{\mathfrak{q}}))\xrightarrow{\alpha_{\mathfrak{q}}}K^{\bullet}(V_{\mathfrak{q}}).$$

If $\mathfrak{q}\in\Sigma_p$, we define the maps $f_{\mathfrak{q}}: C^{\bullet}(G_{F,S},V)\to C^{\bullet}(G_{F_{\mathfrak{q}}},V)$ and $g_{\mathfrak{q}}: C^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{ur}}(V_{\mathfrak{q}})\to C^{\bullet}(G_{F_{\mathfrak{q}}},V)$ exactly as in the case of Greenberg local conditions. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^{\bullet}(G_{F,S},V) & \xrightarrow{(f_{\mathfrak{q}})_{\mathfrak{q}\in S}} & K^{\bullet}(V)\\ & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q}\in S} g_{\mathfrak{q}}}\\ & & \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q}\in S}U^{\bullet}_{\mathfrak{q}}(V,\mathbf{D}).\end{array}$$

We denote by $S^{\bullet}(V,\mathbf{D})$ the Selmer complex associated to this diagram and by $\mathbf{R}\Gamma(V,\mathbf{D})$ the corresponding object in the derived category of A-modules.

[3] This complex was first introduced in [5]

0.3. p-adic height pairings.

0.3.1. Let $V^*(1)$ be the Tate dual of V. We equip $V^*(1)$ with orthogonal local conditions $\mathbf{D}^\perp$ setting

$$\mathbf{D}^\perp_{\mathfrak{q}} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{R}_{F_{\mathfrak{q}},A}}\big(\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig},A}(V_{\mathfrak{q}})/\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}}, \mathscr{R}_{F_{\mathfrak{q}},A}\big), \qquad \mathfrak{q}\in S_p.$$

The general machinery gives us a cup product pairing

$$\cup_{V,\mathbf{D}} : \mathbf{R}\Gamma(V,\mathbf{D})\otimes^{\mathbf{L}}_A \mathbf{R}\Gamma(V^*(1),\mathbf{D}^\perp)\to A[-3].$$

This allows us to construct the p-adic height pairing exactly in the same way as in the case of Greenberg local conditions.

Definition. *The p-adic height pairing associated to the data $(V,\mathbf{D})$ is defined as the morphism*

$$h^{\mathrm{sel}}_{V,\mathbf{D}} : \mathbf{R}\Gamma(V,\mathbf{D})\otimes^{\mathbf{L}}_A \mathbf{R}\Gamma(V^*(1),\mathbf{D}^\perp) \xrightarrow{\delta_{V,\mathbf{D}}} \\ \to \mathbf{R}\Gamma(V,\mathbf{D})[1]\otimes^{\mathbf{L}}_A \mathbf{R}\Gamma(V^*(1),\mathbf{D}^\perp) \xrightarrow{\cup_{V,\mathbf{D}}} A[-2],$$

where $\delta_{V,\mathbf{D}}$ denotes the Bockstein map.

The height pairing $h^{\mathrm{sel}}_{V,\mathbf{D},M}$ induces a pairing on cohomology groups

$$h^{\mathrm{sel}}_{V,\mathbf{D},1} : H^1(V,\mathbf{D})\times H^1(V^*(1),\mathbf{D}^\perp)\to A.$$

Applying the machinery of Selmer complexes, we obtain the following result (see Theorem 3.2.4 below).

Theorem I. *We have a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{R}\Gamma(V,\mathbf{D})\otimes^{\mathbf{L}}_A \mathbf{R}\Gamma(V^*(1),\mathbf{D}^\perp) & \xrightarrow{h^{\mathrm{sel}}_{V,\mathbf{D}}} & A[-2] \\ \big\downarrow s_{12} & & \big\downarrow = \\ \mathbf{R}\Gamma(V^*(1),\mathbf{D}^\perp)\otimes^{\mathbf{L}}_A \mathbf{R}\Gamma(V,\mathbf{D}) & \xrightarrow{h^{\mathrm{sel}}_{V^*(1),\mathbf{D}^\perp}} & A[-2], \end{array}$$

where $s_{12}(a\otimes b) = -(1)^{\deg(a)\deg(b)} b\otimes a$. In particular, the pairing $h^{\mathrm{sel}}_{V,\mathbf{D},1}$ is skew symmetric.

0.3.2. Assume that $A = E$, where E is a finite extension of $\mathbf{Q}_p$. For each family $\mathbf{D} = (\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}})_{\mathfrak{q}\in S_p}$ satisfying the conditions **N1-2)** of Section 5 we construct a pairing

$$h^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V,\mathbf{D}} : H^1(V,\mathbf{D})\times H^1(V^*(1),\mathbf{D}^\perp)\to E,$$

which can be seen as a direct generalization of the p-adic height pairing, constructed for representations satisfying the Panchishkin condition using universal norms. The following theorem generalizes Theorem 11.3.9 of [34] (see Theorem 5.2.2 below).

Theorem II. *Let V be a p-adic representation of $G_{F,S}$ with coefficients in a finite extension E of $\mathbf{Q}_p$. Assume that the family $\mathbf{D} = (\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q})_{\mathfrak{q}\in S_p}$ satisfies the conditions* **N1-2)**. *Then*

$$h^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V,D} = h^{\mathrm{sel}}_{V,\mathbf{D},1}.$$

0.3.3. We denote by $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}$, $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}}$ and $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}}$ Fontaine's classical functors. Let V be a p-adic representation with coefficients in $E/\mathbf{Q}_p$. Assume that the restriction of V on $G_{F_\mathfrak{q}}$ is potentially semistable for all $\mathfrak{q} \in S_p$, and that V satisfies the following condition

S) $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)^{\varphi=1} = \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V^*(1))^{\varphi=1} = 0, \qquad \forall \mathfrak{q} \in S_p.$

For each $\mathfrak{q} \in S_p$ we fix a splitting $w_\mathfrak{q} : \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_\mathfrak{q}) \to \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_\mathfrak{q})/F^0\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_\mathfrak{q})$ of the canonical projection $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_\mathfrak{q}) \to \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_\mathfrak{q})/\mathrm{Fil}^0\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_\mathfrak{q})$ and set $w = (w_\mathfrak{q})_{\mathfrak{q}\in S_p}$. In this situation, Nekovář [33] constructed a p-adic height pairing

$$h^{\mathrm{Hodge}}_{V,w} : H^1_f(V) \times H^1_f(V^*(1)) \to E$$

on the Bloch–Kato Selmer groups of V and $V^*(1)$, which is defined using the Bloch–Kato exponential map and depends on the choice of splittings w.

Let $\mathfrak{q} \in S_p$, and let L be a finite extension of $F_\mathfrak{q}$ such that $V_\mathfrak{q}$ is semistable over L. The semistable module $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}/L}(V_\mathfrak{q})$ is a finite dimensional vector space over the maximal unramified subextension L_0 of L, equipped with a Frobenius φ, a monodromy N, and an action of $G_{L/F_\mathfrak{q}} = \mathrm{Gal}(L/F_\mathfrak{q})$.

Definition. *Let $\mathfrak{q} \in S_p$. We say that a $(\varphi, N, G_{L/F_\mathfrak{q}})$-submodule $D_\mathfrak{q}$ of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}/L}(V_\mathfrak{q})$ is a splitting submodule if*

$$\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}/L}(V_\mathfrak{q}) = D_{\mathfrak{q},L} \oplus \mathrm{Fil}^0\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}/L}(V_\mathfrak{q}), \qquad D_{\mathfrak{q},L} = D_\mathfrak{q} \otimes_{L_0} L$$

as L-vector spaces.

It is easy to see, that each splitting submodule $D_\mathfrak{q}$ defines a splitting of the Hodge filtration of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)$, which we denote by $w_{D,\mathfrak{q}}$. For each family $D = (D_\mathfrak{q})_{\mathfrak{q}\in S_p}$ of splitting submodules we construct a pairing

$$h^{\mathrm{spl}}_{V,D} : H^1_f(V) \times H^1_f(V^*(1)) \to E$$

using the theory of (φ, Γ)-modules and prove that

$$h^{\mathrm{spl}}_{V,D} = h^{\mathrm{Hodge}}_{V,w_D}$$

(see Proposition 6.2.3). Let $\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q}$ denote the $(\varphi, \Gamma_\mathfrak{q})$-submodule of $\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_\mathfrak{q})$ associated to $D_\mathfrak{q}$ by Berger [10] and let $\mathbf{D} = (\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q})_{\mathfrak{q}\in S_p}$. In the following theorem we compare this pairing with previous constructions (see Theorem 6.3.4 and Corollary 6.3.5).

Theorem III. *Assume that (V,D) satisfies the conditions* **S)** *and* **N2)**. *Then*
i) $H^1(V,\mathbf{D}) = H^1_f(V)$ *and* $H^1(V^*(1),\mathbf{D}^\perp) = H^1_f(V^*(1))$;
ii) The height pairings $h^{\mathrm{sel}}_{V,\mathbf{D},1}$, $h^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V,D}$ *and* $h^{\mathrm{spl}}_{V,D}$ *coincide.*

0.3.4. If $F=\mathbf{Q}$, we can slightly relax the conditions on (V,D) in Theorem III. We replace these conditions by the conditions **F1-2)** of Section 7.1, which reflect the conjectural behavior of V at p in the presence of trivial zeros [4], [6]. We show, that under these conditions we have a canonically splitting exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(\mathbf{D}') \longrightarrow H^1(V,\mathbf{D}) \underset{}{\overset{\mathrm{spl}_{V,f}}{\rightleftarrows}} H^1_f(V) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\mathbf{D}' = \mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_p)/\mathbf{D}$. By modifying previous constructions, one can define a pairing

$$h^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V,D} : H^1_f(V)\times H^1_f(V^*(1)) \to E$$

The following result is a simplified form of Theorem 7.2.2 of Section 7, which can be seen as a generalization of Theorem 11.4.6 of [34].

Theorem IV. *Let V be a p-adic representation of $G_{\mathbf{Q},S}$ that is potentially semistable at p and satisfies the conditions* **F1-2)**. *Then*
i) $h^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V,D} = h^{\mathrm{spl}}_{V,D}$;
ii) For all $x\in H^1_f(V)$ *and* $y\in H^1_f(V^*(1))$ *we have*

$$h^{\mathrm{sel}}_{V,\mathbf{D}}(\mathrm{spl}_{V,f}(x),\mathrm{spl}_{V^*(1),f}(y)) = h^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V,D}(x,y).$$

0.4. The organization of this paper. This paper is very technical by the nature, and in Sections 1-2 we assemble necessary preliminaries. In Section 1, we recall the formalism of cup products for cones following [34] (see also [35]), and prove some auxiliary cohomological results. In Section 2, we review the theory of (φ,Γ)-modules and define the complex $K^\bullet(V_{\mathfrak{q}})$. The reader familiar with (φ,Γ)-modules can skip these sections on first reading. In Section 3.1, we construct Selmer complexes $\mathbf{R}\Gamma(V,\mathbf{D})$ and study their first properties. The p-adic height pairing $h^{\mathrm{sel}}_{V,\mathbf{D}}$ is defined in Section 3.2. Theorem I (Theorem 3.2.4 of Section 3.2) follows directly from the definition of $h^{\mathrm{sel}}_{V,\mathbf{D}}$ and the machinery of Section 1. Preliminary results about splitting submodules are assembled in Section 4. In Sections 5-7 we construct the pairings $h^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V,D}$ and $h^{\mathrm{spl}}_{V,D}$ and prove Theorems II, III and IV.

0.5. Remarks. 1) In the forthcoming joint paper with K. Büyükboduk [7], we prove the Rubin style formula for our height pairing and apply it to the study of extra-zeros of p-adic L-functions.

2) During the preparation of this paper, I learned from L. Xiao of an independent work in progress of Rufei Ren on this subject.

1. COMPLEXES AND PRODUCTS

1.1. The complex $T^\bullet(A^\bullet)$.

1.1.1. If R is a commutative ring, we write $\mathscr{K}(R)$ for the category of complexes of R-modules and $\mathscr{K}_{\mathrm{ft}}(R)$ for the subcategory of $\mathscr{K}(R)$ consisting of complexes $C^\bullet = (C^n, d^n_{C^\bullet})$ such that $H^n(C^\bullet)$ are finitely generated over R for all $n \in \mathbf{Z}$. We write $\mathscr{D}(R)$ and $\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{ft}}(R)$ for the corresponding derived categories and denote by $[\cdot] : \mathscr{K}_*(R) \to \mathscr{D}_*(R)$, $(* \in \{\emptyset, \mathrm{ft}\})$ the obvious functors. We will also consider the subcategories $\mathscr{K}_{\mathrm{ft}}^{[a,b]}(R)$, $(a \leqslant b)$ consisting of objects of $\mathscr{K}_{\mathrm{ft}}(R)$ whose cohomologies are concentrated in degrees $[a,b]$. A perfect complex of R-modules is one of the form

$$0 \to P_a \to P_{a+1} \to \ldots \to P_b \to 0,$$

where each P_i is a finitely generated projective R-module. If R is noetherian, we denote by $\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{perf}}^{[a,b]}(R)$ the full subcategory of $\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{ft}}(R)$ consisting of objects quasi-isomorphic to perfect complexes concentrated in degrees $[a,b]$.

If $C^\bullet = (C^n, d^n_{C^\bullet})_{n\in\mathbf{Z}}$ is a complex of R-modules and $m \in \mathbf{Z}$, we will denote by $C^\bullet[m]$ the complex defined by $C^\bullet[m]^n = C^{n+m}$ and $d^n_{C^\bullet[m]}(x) = (-1)^m d_{C^\bullet}(x)$. We will often write d^n or just simply d instead $d^n_{C^\bullet}$. For each m, the truncation $\tau_{\geqslant m}C^\bullet$ of $C^\bullet$ is the complex

$$0 \to \mathrm{coker}(d^{m-1}) \to C^{m+1} \to C^{m+2} \to \cdots.$$

Therefore

$$H^i(\tau_{\geqslant m}C^\bullet) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i < m, \\ H^i(C^\bullet), & \text{if } i \geqslant m\,. \end{cases}$$

The tensor product $A^\bullet \otimes B^\bullet$ of two complexes $A^\bullet$ and $B^\bullet$ is defined by

$$(A^\bullet \otimes B^\bullet)^n = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbf{Z}} \left(A^i \otimes B^{n-i}\right),$$

$$d(a_i \otimes b_{n-i}) = dx_i \otimes y_{n-i} + (-1)^i a_i \otimes b_{n-i}, \qquad a_i \in A^i, \quad b_{n-i} \in B^{n-i}.$$

We denote by $s_{12} : A^\bullet \otimes B^\bullet \to B^\bullet \otimes A^\bullet$ the transposition

$$s_{12}(a_n \otimes b_m) = (-1)^{nm} b_m \otimes a_n, \qquad a_n \in A^n, \quad b_m \in B^m.$$

It is easy to check that s_{12} is a morphism of complexes. We will also consider the map $s_{12}^* : A^\bullet \otimes B^\bullet \to B^\bullet \otimes A^\bullet$ given by

$$s_{12}^*(a_n \otimes b_m) = b_m \otimes a_n,$$

which is not a morphism of complexes in general.

Recall that a homotopy $h : f \rightsquigarrow g$ between two morphisms $f, g : A^\bullet \to B^\bullet$ is a family of maps $h = (h^n : A^{n+1} \to B^n)$ such that $dh + hd = g - f$. We will sometimes write h instead h^n. A second order homotopy $H : h \rightsquigarrow k$ between

homotopies $h,k:\ f\rightsquigarrow g$ is a collection of maps $H=(H^n: A^{n+2}\to B^n)$ such that $Hd-dH=k-h$.

If $f_i: A_1^\bullet\to B_1^\bullet$ $(i=1,2)$ and $g_i: A_2^\bullet\to B_2^\bullet$ $(i=1,2)$ are morphisms of complexes and $h:\ f_1\rightsquigarrow f_2$ and $k: g_1\rightsquigarrow g_2$ are homotopies between them, then the formula

$$(h\otimes k)_1(x_n\otimes y_m)=h(x_n)\otimes g_1(y_m)+(-1)^n f_2(x_n)\otimes k(y_m), \tag{2}$$

where $x_n\in A_1^n$, $y_m\in A_2^m$, defines a homotopy

$$(h\otimes k)_1:\ f_1\otimes g_1\rightsquigarrow f_2\otimes g_2.$$

1.1.2. For the content of this subsection we refer the reader to [41], §3.1. If $f: A^\bullet\to B^\bullet$ is a morphism of complexes, the cone of f is defined to be the complex

$$\mathrm{cone}(f)=A^\bullet[1]\oplus B^\bullet,$$

with differentials

$$d^n(a_{n+1},b_n)=(-d^{n+1}(a_{n+1}),f(a_{n+1})+d^n(b_n)).$$

We have a canonical distinguished triangle

$$A^\bullet\xrightarrow{f}B^\bullet\to\mathrm{cone}(f)\to A^\bullet[1].$$

We say that a diagram of complexes of the form

(3)


is commutative up to homotopy, if there exists a homotopy

$$h:\ \alpha_2\circ f_1\rightsquigarrow f_2\circ\alpha_1.$$

In this case, the formula

$$c(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,h)^n(a_{n+1},b_n)=(\alpha_1(a_{n+1}),\alpha_2(b_n)+h^n(a_n))$$

defines a morphism of complexes

$$c(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,h):\ \mathrm{cone}(f_1)\to\mathrm{cone}(f_2). \tag{4}$$

Assume that, in addition to (3), we have a diagram



together with homotopies

$$k_1 : \alpha_1 \rightsquigarrow \alpha_1'$$
$$k_2 : \alpha_2 \rightsquigarrow \alpha_2'$$

and a second order homotopy

$$H : f_2 \circ k_1 + h' \rightsquigarrow k_2 \circ f_1 + h.$$

Then the map

$$(a_{n+1}, b_n) \mapsto (-k_1(a_{n+1}), k_2(b_n) + H(a_{n+1})) \tag{5}$$

defines a homotopy $c(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, h) \rightsquigarrow c(\alpha_1', \alpha_2', h')$.

1.1.3. In the remainder of this section R is a commutative ring and all complexes are complexes of R-modules. Let $A^\bullet = (A^n, d^n)$ be a complex equipped with a morphism $\varphi : A^\bullet \to A^\bullet$. By definition, the total complex

$$T^\bullet(A^\bullet) = \mathrm{Tot}\,(A^\bullet \xrightarrow{\varphi - 1} A^\bullet).$$

is given by $T^n(A^\bullet) = A^{n-1} \oplus A^n$ with differentials

$$d^n(a_{n-1}, a_n) = (d^{n-1}a_{n-1} + (-1)^n(\varphi - 1)a_n, d^n a_n), \quad (a_{n-1}, a_n) \in T^n(A^\bullet).$$

If $A^\bullet$ and $B^\bullet$ are two complexes equipped with morphisms $\varphi : A^\bullet \to A^\bullet$ and $\psi : B^\bullet \to B^\bullet$, and if $\alpha : A^\bullet \to B^\bullet$ is a morphism such that $\alpha \circ \varphi = \psi \circ \alpha$, then α induces a morphism $T(\alpha) : T^\bullet(A^\bullet) \to T^\bullet(B^\bullet)$. We will often write α instead $T(\alpha)$ to simplify notation.

Lemma 1.1.4. *Let $A^\bullet$ and $B^\bullet$ be two complexes equipped with morphisms $\varphi : A^\bullet \to A^\bullet$ and $\psi : B^\bullet \to B^\bullet$, and let $\alpha_i : A^\bullet \to B^\bullet$ ($i = 1, 2$) be two morphisms such that*

$$\alpha_i \circ \varphi = \psi \circ \alpha_i \qquad i = 1, 2.$$

If $h : \alpha_1 \rightsquigarrow \alpha_2$ is a homotopy between α_1 and α_2 such that $h \circ \varphi = \psi \circ h$, then the collection of maps $h_T = (h_T^n : T^{n+1}(A^\bullet) \to T^n(B^\bullet))$ defined by $h_T^n(a_n, a_{n+1}) = (h(a_n), h(a_{n+1}))$ is a homotopy between $T(\alpha_1)$ and $T(\alpha_2)$.

Proof. The proof of this lemma is a direct computation and is omitted here. □

In the remainder of this subsection we will consider triples $(A_1^\bullet, A_2^\bullet, A_3^\bullet)$ of complexes of R-modules equipped with the following structures

A1) Morphisms $\varphi_i : A_i^\bullet \to A_i^\bullet$ $(i = 1,2,3)$.

A2) A morphism $\cup_A : A_1^\bullet \otimes A_2^\bullet \to A_3^\bullet$ which satisfies

$$\cup_A \circ (\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2) = \varphi_3 \circ \cup_A.$$

Proposition 1.1.5. *Assume that a triple $(A_i^\bullet, \varphi_i)$ $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant 3)$ satisfies the conditions* **A1-2)**. *Then the map*

$$\cup_A^T \ : \ T^\bullet(A_1^\bullet) \otimes T^\bullet(A_2^\bullet) \to T^\bullet(A_3^\bullet)$$

given by

$$(x_{n-1}, x_n) \cup_A^T (y_{m-1}, y_m) = (x_n \cup_A y_{m-1} + (-1)^m x_{n-1} \cup_A \varphi_2(y_m), x_n \cup_A y_m),$$

is a morphism of complexes.

Proof. This proposition is well known to the experts (compare, for example, to [35] Proposition 3.1). It follows from a direct computation which we recall for the convenience of the reader. Let $(x_{n-1}, x_n) \in T^n(A_1^\bullet)$ and $(y_{m-1}, y_m) \in T^m(A_2^\bullet)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &d((x_{n-1}, x_n) \cup_A^T (y_{m-1}, y_m) = \\ &\quad = d(x_n \cup_A y_{m-1} + (-1)^m x_{n-1} \cup_A \varphi_2(y_m), x_n \cup_A y_m) = (z_{n+m}, z_{n+m+1}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} z_{n+m} = {} & dx_n \cup_A y_{m-1} + (-1)^n x_n \cup_A dy_{m-1} + (-1)^m dx_{n-1} \cup_A \varphi_2(y_m) + \\ & (-1)^{m+n-1} x_{n-1} \cup_A d(\varphi_2(y_m)) + (-1)^{n+m} (\varphi_3 - 1)(x_n \cup_A y_m) \end{aligned}$$

and $z_{n+m+1} = d(x_n \cup_A y_m)$. On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} &\cup_A^T \circ d((x_{n-1}, x_n) \otimes (y_{m-1}, y_m)) = \\ &= \cup_A^T \circ ((dx_{n-1} + (-1)^n (\varphi_1 - 1)x_n, dx_n) \otimes (y_{m-1}, y_m)) + \\ &+ (-1)^n \cup_A^T \circ ((x_{n-1}, x_n) \otimes (dy_{m-1} + (-1)^m (\varphi_2 - 1) y_m, dy_m)) = \\ &= (u_{n+m}, u_{n+m+1}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} u_{n+m} = {} & dx_n \cup_A y_{m-1} + (-1)^m (dx_{n-1} + (-1)^n (\varphi_1 - 1)x_n) \cup \varphi_2(y_m) + \\ & (-1)^n x_n \cup (dy_{m-1} + (-1)^m (\varphi_2 - 1) y_m) + (-1)^{n+m-1} x_{n-1} \cup \varphi_2(dy_m), \end{aligned}$$

and $u_{n+m+1} = dx_n \cup_A y_m + (-1)^n x_n \cup_A dy_m$. Now the proposition follows from the formula

$$d(x_n \cup_A y_m) = dx_n \cup_A y_m + (-1)^n x_n \cup_A dy_m$$

and the assumption **A2)** that reads $\varphi_1(x_n) \cup_A \varphi_2(y_m) = \varphi_3(x_n \cup_A y_m)$. □

Proposition 1.1.6. *Let $(A_i^\bullet, \varphi_i)$ and $(B_i^\bullet, \psi_i)$ $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant 3)$ be two triples of complexes that satisfy the conditions* **A1-2)**. *Assume that they are equipped with morphisms*

$$\alpha_i : A_i^\bullet \to B_i^\bullet,$$

such that $\alpha_i \circ \varphi_i = \psi_i \circ \alpha_i$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 3$. Assume, in addition, that in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_1^\bullet \otimes A_2^\bullet & \xrightarrow{\cup_A} & A_3^\bullet \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha_1 \otimes \alpha_2} & \swarrow{\scriptstyle h} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha_3} \\ B_1^\bullet \otimes B_2^\bullet & \xrightarrow{\cup_B} & B_3^\bullet \end{array}$$

there exists a homotopy

$$h : \alpha_3 \circ \cup_A \rightsquigarrow \cup_B \circ (\alpha_1 \otimes \alpha_2).$$

such that $h \circ (\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2) = \psi_3 \circ h$. Then the collection h_T of maps

$$h_T^k : \bigoplus_{m+n=k+1} (T^n(A_1^\bullet) \otimes T^m(A_2^\bullet)) \to T^k(B_3^\bullet)$$

defined by

$$\begin{aligned} h_T^k((x_{n-1}, x_n) \otimes (y_{m-1} \otimes y_m)) = \\ = \big(h(x_n \otimes y_{m-1}) + (-1)^m h(x_{n-1} \otimes \varphi_2(y_m)), h(x_n \otimes y_m)\big). \end{aligned}$$

provides a homotopy $h_T : \alpha_3 \circ \cup_A^T \rightsquigarrow \cup_B^T \circ (\alpha_1 \otimes \alpha_2)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T^\bullet(A_1^\bullet) \otimes T^\bullet(A_2^\bullet) & \xrightarrow{\cup_A^T} & T^\bullet(A_3^\bullet) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha_1 \otimes \alpha_2} & \swarrow{\scriptstyle h_T} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha_3} \\ T^\bullet(B_1^\bullet) \otimes T^\bullet(B_2^\bullet) & \xrightarrow{\cup_B^T} & T^\bullet(B_3^\bullet). \end{array}$$

Proof. Again, the proof is a routine computation. Let $(x_{n-1},x_n)\in T^n(A_1^\bullet)$ and $(y_{m-1},y_m)\in T^m(A_2^\bullet)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}d((x_{n-1},x_n)\otimes(y_{m-1},y_m)) &= (dx_{n-1}+(-1)^n(\varphi_1-1)x_n, dx_n)\otimes(y_{m-1},y_m)+\\ &+(-1)^n(x_{n-1},x_n)\otimes(dy_{m-1}+(-1)^m(\varphi_2-1)y_m, dy_m),\end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$h_T\circ d((x_{n-1},x_n)\otimes(y_{m-1},y_m)) = (a,b),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}a &= h(dx_n\otimes y_{m-1})+(-1)^m h((dx_{n-1}+(-1)^n(\varphi_1-1)x_n)\otimes\varphi_2(y_m))+\\ &+(-1)^n(h(x_n\otimes(dy_{m-1}+(-1)^m(\varphi_2-1)y_m))+\\ &+(-1)^{n+m-1}h(x_{n-1}\otimes\varphi_2(dy_m)) =\\ &= h\circ d(x_n\otimes y_{m-1})+(-1)^m h\circ d(x_{n-1}\otimes\varphi_2(y_m))+\\ &+(-1)^{n+m}(\psi_3-1)\circ h(x_n\otimes y_m)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$b = h(dx_n\otimes y_m)+(-1)^n h(x_n\otimes dy_m) = h\circ d(x_n\otimes y_m).$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}&d\circ h_T((x_{n-1},x_n)\otimes(y_{m-1},y_m)) =\\ &= d(h(x_n\otimes y_{m-1})+(-1)^m h(x_{n-1}\otimes\varphi_2(y_m)), h(x_n\otimes y_m)) =\\ &= (d\circ h(x_n\otimes y_{m-1})+(-1)^m d\circ h(x_{n-1}\otimes\varphi_2(y_m))+\\ &+(-1)^{n+m-1}(\psi_3-1)h(x_n\otimes y_m), d\circ h(x_n\otimes y_m)).\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}&(h_T d+dh_T)((x_{n-1},x_n)\otimes(y_{m-1},y_m)) =\\ &= ((hd+dh)(x_n\otimes y_{m-1})+(-1)^m(hd+dh)(x_{n-1}\otimes\varphi_2(y_m)),\\ &\qquad\qquad (hd+dh)(x_n\otimes y_m)) =\\ &= ((\alpha_1(x_n)\cup_B\alpha_2(y_{m-1})-\alpha_3(x_n\cup_A y_{m-1}))+\\ &\qquad (-1)^m(\alpha_1(x_{n-1})\cup_B\varphi_2(\alpha_2(y_m))-\alpha_3(x_{n-1}\cup_A\varphi_2(y_m)),\\ &\qquad\qquad \alpha_1(x_n)\cup_B\alpha_2(y_m)-\alpha_3(x_n\cup_A y_m)) =\\ &= (\cup_B^T\circ(\alpha_1\otimes\alpha_2)-\alpha_3\circ\cup_A^T)((x_{n-1},x_n)\otimes(y_{m-1},y_m)).\end{aligned}$$

and the proposition is proved.

□

Proposition 1.1.7. *Let $A_i^\bullet$ $(1\leqslant i\leqslant 4)$ be four complexes equipped with morphisms $\varphi_i : A_i^\bullet\to A_i^\bullet$ and such that*

a) The triples $(A_1^\bullet,A_2^\bullet,A_3^\bullet)$ and $(A_1^\bullet,A_2^\bullet,A_4^\bullet)$ satisfy **A1-2).**

b) The complexes $A_i^\bullet$ ($i=1,2$) are equipped with morphisms $\mathscr{T}_i : A_i^\bullet \to A_i^\bullet$ which commute with morphisms φ_i

$$\mathscr{T}_i \circ \varphi_i = \varphi_i \circ \mathscr{T}_i, \qquad i = 1,2.$$

c) There exists a morphism $\mathscr{T}_{34} : A_3^\bullet \to A_4^\bullet$ such that

$$\mathscr{T}_{34} \circ \varphi_3 = \varphi_4 \circ \mathscr{T}_{34}.$$

d) The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_1^\bullet \otimes A_2^\bullet & \xrightarrow{\cup_A} & A_3^\bullet \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle s_{12}\circ(\mathscr{T}_1\otimes\mathscr{T}_2)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathscr{T}_{34}} \\ A_2^\bullet \otimes A_1^\bullet & \xrightarrow{\cup_A} & A_4^\bullet. \end{array}$$

commutes.

Let $\mathscr{T}_i : T^\bullet(A_i^\bullet) \to T^\bullet(A_i^\bullet)$ ($i=1,2$) and $\mathscr{T}_{34} : T^\bullet(A_3^\bullet) \to T^\bullet(A_4^\bullet)$ be the morphisms (which we denote again by the same letter) defined by

$$\mathscr{T}_i(x_{n-1},x_n) = (\mathscr{T}_i(x_{n-1}), \mathscr{T}_i(x_n)), \quad \mathscr{T}_{34}(x_{n-1},x_n) = (\mathscr{T}_{34}(x_{n-1}), \mathscr{T}_{34}(x_n)).$$

Then in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T^\bullet(A_1^\bullet) \otimes T^\bullet(A_2^\bullet) & \xrightarrow{\cup_A^T} & T^\bullet(A_3^\bullet) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle s_{12}\circ(\mathscr{T}_1\otimes\mathscr{T}_2)} & \overset{h_{\mathscr{T}}}{\swarrow} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathscr{T}_{34}} \\ T^\bullet(A_2^\bullet) \otimes T^\bullet(A_1^\bullet) & \xrightarrow{\cup_A^T} & T^\bullet(A_4^\bullet) \end{array}$$

the maps $\mathscr{T}_{34} \circ \cup_A^T$ and $\cup_A^T \circ s_{12} \circ (\mathscr{T}_1 \otimes \mathscr{T}_2)$ are homotopic.

Proof. Let $(x_{n-1},x_n) \in T^n(A_1^\bullet)$ and $(y_{m-1},y_m) \in T^m(A_2^\bullet)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (6)\quad & \mathscr{T}_{34}((x_{n-1},x_n) \cup_A^T (y_{m-1},y_m)) = \\ & = (\mathscr{T}_{34}(x_n \cup_A y_{m-1}) + (-1)^m \mathscr{T}_{34}(x_{n-1} \cup_A \varphi_2(y_m)), \mathscr{T}_{34}(x_n \cup_A y_m)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \cup_A^T \circ s_{12} \circ (\mathscr{T}_1 \otimes \mathscr{T}_2)((x_{n-1},x_n) \otimes (y_{m-1},y_m)) = \\ & = (-1)^{mn} \mathscr{T}_2(y_{m-1},y_m) \cup_A \mathscr{T}_1(x_{n-1},x_n) = \\ (7)\quad & = (-1)^{mn}(\mathscr{T}_2(y_m) \cup_A \mathscr{T}_1(x_{n-1}) + (-1)^n \mathscr{T}_2(y_{m-1}) \cup_A \varphi_1(\mathscr{T}_1(x_n)), \\ & \qquad\qquad \mathscr{T}_2(y_m) \cup_A \mathscr{T}_1(x_n)) = \\ & = ((-1)^m \mathscr{T}_{34}(x_{n-1} \cup_A y_m) + \mathscr{T}_{34}(\varphi_1(x_n) \cup_A y_{m-1}), \mathscr{T}_{34}(x_n \cup_A y_m)). \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$h^k_{\mathscr{T}} : \bigoplus_{m+n=k+1} (T^n(A^\bullet_1) \otimes T^m(A^\bullet_2)) \to T^k(A^\bullet_4),$$

by

$$h^k_{\mathscr{T}}((x_{n-1},x_n)\otimes(y_{m-1}\otimes y_m)) = (-1)^{n-1}(\mathscr{T}_{34}(x_{n-1}\cup_A y_{m-1}),0). \tag{8}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} dh_{\mathscr{T}}((x_{n-1},x_n)\otimes(y_{m-1}\otimes y_m)) &= \\ &= (-1)^{n-1} d(\mathscr{T}_{34}(x_{n-1}\cup_A y_{m-1}),0) = \\ &= (-1)^{n-1}(\mathscr{T}_{34}(dx_{n-1}\cup_A y_{m-1} + (-1)^{n-1} x_{n-1}\cup_A dy_{m-1}),0) = \\ &= ((-1)^{n-1}\mathscr{T}_{34}(dx_{n-1}\cup_A y_{m-1}) + \mathscr{T}_{34}(x_{n-1}\cup_A dy_{m-1}),0), \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\mathscr{T}} d((x_{n-1},x_n)\otimes(y_{m-1}\otimes y_m)) &= \\ &= h_{\mathscr{T}}((dx_{n-1}+(-1)^n(\varphi_1-1)x_n, dx_n)\otimes(y_{m-1},y_m)+ \\ &+ (-1)^n(x_{n-1},x_n)\otimes(dy_{m-1}+(-1)^m(\varphi_2-1)y_m, dy_m)) = \\ &= ((-1)^n\mathscr{T}_{34}(dx_{n-1}\cup_A y_{m-1}) + \mathscr{T}_{34}(\varphi_1(x_n)\cup_A y_{m-1}) - \\ &- \mathscr{T}_{34}(x_n\cup_A y_{m-1}) - \mathscr{T}_{34}(x_{n-1}\cup_A dy_{m-1}) - \\ &- (-1)^m\mathscr{T}_{34}(x_{n-1}\cup_A \varphi_2(y_m)) + (-1)^m\mathscr{T}_{34}(x_{n-1}\cup_A y_m),0). \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

From (6)-(10) it follows that

$$\cup^T_A \circ s_{12} \circ (\mathscr{T}_1\otimes\mathscr{T}_2) - \mathscr{T}_{34}\circ\cup^T_A = dh_{\mathscr{T}} + h_{\mathscr{T}} d$$

and the proposition is proved. □

1.2. Products.

1.2.1. In this subsection we review the construction of products for cones following Nekovář [34] and Nizioł[35]. We will work with the following data

P1) Diagrams

$$A^\bullet_i \xrightarrow{f_i} C^\bullet_i \xleftarrow{g_i} B^\bullet_i, \qquad i=1,2,3,$$

where $A^\bullet_i$, $B^\bullet_i$ and $C^\bullet_i$ are complexes of R-modules.

P2) Morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \cup_A &: A^\bullet_1\otimes A^\bullet_2 \to A^\bullet_3, \\ \cup_B &: B^\bullet_1\otimes B^\bullet_2 \to B^\bullet_3, \\ \cup_C &: C^\bullet_1\otimes C^\bullet_2 \to C^\bullet_3. \end{aligned}$$

P3) A pair of homotopies $h = (h_f, h_g)$

$$h_f : \cup_C \circ (f_1 \otimes f_2) \rightsquigarrow f_3 \circ \cup_A,$$
$$h_g : \cup_C \circ (g_1 \otimes g_2) \rightsquigarrow g_3 \circ \cup_B.$$

Define

$$E_i^\bullet = \text{cone}\left(A_i^\bullet \oplus B_i^\bullet \xrightarrow{f_i - g_i} C_i^\bullet\right)[-1]. \tag{11}$$

Thus

$$E_i^n = A_i^n \oplus B_i^n \oplus C_i^{n-1}$$

with $d(a_n, b_n, c_{n-1}) = (da_n, db_n, -f_i(a_n) + g_i(b_n) - dc_{n-1})$.

Proposition 1.2.2. *i) Given the data* **P1-3)**, *for each $r \in R$ the formula*

$$\begin{aligned}&(a_n, b_n, c_{n-1}) \cup_{r,h} (a'_m, b'_m, c'_{m-1}) = \\ &(a_n \cup_A a'_m, b_n \cup_B b'_m, c_{n-1} \cup_C (r f_2(a'_m) + (1-r) g_2(b'_m)) + \\ &(-1)^n((1-r) f_1(a_n) + r g_1(b_n)) \cup_C c'_{m-1} - (h_f(a_n \otimes a'_m) - h_g(b_n \otimes b'_m)))\end{aligned}$$

defines a morphism in $\mathscr{K}(R)$

$$\cup_{r,h} : E_1^\bullet \otimes E_2^\bullet \to E_3^\bullet.$$

ii) If $r_1, r_2 \in R$, then the map

$$k : E_1^\bullet \otimes E_2^\bullet \to E_3^\bullet[-1],$$

given by

$$k((a_n, b_n, c_{n-1}) \otimes (a'_m, b'_m, c'_{m-1})) = (0, 0, (-1)^n (r_1 - r_2) c_{n-1} \cup_C c'_{m-1})$$

for all $(a_n, b_n, c_{n-1}) \in E_1^n$ and $(a'_m, b'_m, c'_{m-1}) \in E_2^m$, defines a homotopy $k : \cup_{r_1,h} \rightsquigarrow \cup_{r_2,h}$.

iii) If $h' = (h'_f, h'_g)$ is another pair of homotopies as in **P3)**, *and if $\alpha : h_f \rightsquigarrow h'_f$ and $\beta : h_g \rightsquigarrow h'_g$ is a pair of second order homotopies, then the map*

$$\begin{aligned}&s : E_1^\bullet \otimes E_2^\bullet \to E_3^\bullet[-1], \\ &s((a_n, b_n, c_{n-1}) \otimes (a'_m, b'_m, c'_{m-1})) = (0, 0, \alpha(a_n \otimes a'_m) - \beta(b_n, b'_m))\end{aligned}$$

defines a homotopy $s : \cup_{r,h} \rightsquigarrow \cup_{r,h'}$.

Proof. See [35], Proposition 3.1. □

1.2.3. Assume that, in addition to **P1-3)**, we are given the following data
T1) Morphisms of complexes

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{T}_A &: A_i^\bullet \to A_i^\bullet,\\ \mathscr{T}_B &: B_i^\bullet \to B_i^\bullet,\\ \mathscr{T}_C &: C_i^\bullet \to C_i^\bullet,\end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1,2,3$.
T2) Morphisms of complexes

$$\begin{aligned}\cup'_A &: A_2^\bullet \otimes A_1^\bullet \to A_3^\bullet,\\ \cup'_B &: B_2^\bullet \otimes B_1^\bullet \to B_3^\bullet,\\ \cup'_C &: C_2^\bullet \otimes C_1^\bullet \to C_3^\bullet.\end{aligned}$$

T3) A pair of homotopies $h' = (h'_f, h'_g)$

$$\begin{aligned}h'_f &: \cup'_C \circ (f_2 \otimes f_1) \rightsquigarrow f_3 \circ \cup'_A,\\ h'_g &: \cup'_C \circ (g_2 \otimes g_1) \rightsquigarrow g_3 \circ \cup'_B.\end{aligned}$$

T4) Homotopies

$$\begin{aligned}U_i &: f_i \circ \mathscr{T}_A \rightsquigarrow \mathscr{T}_C \circ f_i,\\ V_i &: g_i \circ \mathscr{T}_B \rightsquigarrow \mathscr{T}_C \circ g_i,\end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1,2,3$.
T5) Homotopies

$$\begin{aligned}t_A &: \cup'_A \circ s_{12} \circ (\mathscr{T}_A \otimes \mathscr{T}_A) \rightsquigarrow \mathscr{T}_A \circ \cup_A,\\ t_B &: \cup'_B \circ s_{12} \circ (\mathscr{T}_B \otimes \mathscr{T}_B) \rightsquigarrow \mathscr{T}_B \circ \cup_B,\\ t_C &: \cup'_C \circ s_{12} \circ (\mathscr{T}_C \otimes \mathscr{T}_C) \rightsquigarrow \mathscr{T}_C \circ \cup_C.\end{aligned}$$

T6) A second order homotopy H_f trivializing the boundary of the cube



i.e. a system $H_f = (H_f^i)_{i \in \mathbf{Z}}$ of maps $H_f^i : (A_1 \otimes A_2)^i \to C_3^{i-2}$ such that

$$dH_f - H_f d = -t_C \circ (f_1 \otimes f_2) - \mathscr{T}_C \circ h_f + U_3 \circ \cup_A + \\ + f_3 \circ t_A + h'_f \circ (s_{12} \circ (\mathscr{T}_A \otimes \mathscr{T}_A)) - (\cup'_C \circ s_{12}) \circ (U_1 \otimes U_2)_1.$$

In this formula, $(U_1 \otimes U_2)_1$ denotes the homotopy defined by (2).

T7) A second order homotopy H_g trivializing the boundary of the cube



i.e. a system $H_g = (H_g^i)_{i\in\mathbf{Z}}$ of maps $H_g^i : (B_1\otimes B_2)^i \to C_3^{i-2}$ such that

$$dH_g - H_g d = -t_C\circ(g_1\otimes g_2) - \mathscr{T}_C\circ h_g + V_3\circ\cup_B + \\ + g_3\circ t_B + h'_g\circ(s_{12}\circ(\mathscr{T}_B\otimes\mathscr{T}_B)) - (\cup'_C\circ s_{12})\circ(V_1\otimes V_2)_1.$$

Proposition 1.2.4. *i) Given the data* **P1-3)** *and* **T1-7)**, *the formula*

$$\mathscr{T}_i(a_n,b_n,c_{n-1}) = (\mathscr{T}_A(a_n),\mathscr{T}_B(b_n),\mathscr{T}_C(c_{n-1}) + U_i(a_n) - V_i(b_n))$$

defines morphisms of complexes

$$\mathscr{T}_i : E_i^\bullet \to E_i^\bullet, \qquad i = 1,2,3$$

such that, for any $r\in R$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_1^\bullet\otimes E_2^\bullet & \xrightarrow{\cup_{r,h}} & E_3^\bullet \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle s_{12}\circ(\mathscr{T}_1\otimes\mathscr{T}_2)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathscr{T}_3} \\ E_2^\bullet\otimes E_1^\bullet & \xrightarrow{\cup'_{1-r,h'}} & E_3^\bullet \end{array}$$

commutes up to homotopy.

Proof. See [34], Proposition 1.3.6. □

1.2.5. *Bockstein maps.* Assume that, in addition to **P1-3)**, we are given the following data

B1) Morphisms of complexes

$$\beta_{Z,i} : Z_i^\bullet \to Z_i^\bullet[1], \qquad Z_i^\bullet = A_i^\bullet, B_i^\bullet, C_i^\bullet, \quad i = 1,2.$$

B2) Homotopies

$$u_i : f_i[1]\circ\beta_{A,i} \rightsquigarrow \beta_{C,i}\circ f_i,$$
$$v_i : g_i[1]\circ\beta_{B,i} \rightsquigarrow \beta_{C,i}\circ g_i$$

for $i = 1,2$.

B3) Homotopies

$$h_Z : \cup_Z[1]\circ(\mathrm{id}\otimes\beta_{Z,2}) \rightsquigarrow \cup_Z[1]\circ(\beta_{Z,1}\otimes\mathrm{id}),$$

for $Z^\bullet = A^\bullet, B^\bullet, C^\bullet$.

B4) A second order homotopy trivializing the boundary of the following diagram



B5) A second order homotopy trivializing the boundary of the cube



Proposition 1.2.6. *i) Given the data* **P1-3)** *and* **B1-5)**, *the formula*

$$\beta_{E,i}(a_n, b_n, c_{n-1}) = (\beta_{A,i}(a_n), \beta_{B,i}(b_n), -\beta_{C,i}(c_{n-1}) - u_i(a_n) + v_i(b_n))$$

defines a morphism of complexes

$$\beta_{E,i} : E_i^\bullet \to E_i^\bullet[1]$$

such that for any $r \in R$ the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_1^\bullet \otimes E_2^\bullet & \xrightarrow{\beta_{E,1}\otimes \mathrm{id}} & E_1^\bullet[1] \otimes E_2^\bullet \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}\otimes\beta_{E,2}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \cup_{r,h}[1]} \\ E_1^\bullet \otimes E_2^\bullet[1] & \xrightarrow{\cup_{r,h}[1]} & E_3^\bullet[1] \end{array}$$

commutes up to homotopy.

ii) Given the data **P1-3)**, **T1-7)** *and* **B1-5)**, *for each $r \in R$ the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} E_1^\bullet \otimes E_2^\bullet & \xrightarrow{\beta_{E,1}\otimes\mathrm{id}} & E_1^\bullet[1] \otimes E_2^\bullet & \xrightarrow{\cup_{r,h}[1]} & E_3^\bullet[1] & \xrightarrow{\mathscr{T}_3[1]} & E_3^\bullet[1] \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle s_{12}} & & & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}} \\ E_2^\bullet \otimes E_1^\bullet & \xrightarrow{\beta_{E,2}\otimes\mathrm{id}} & E_2^\bullet[1] \otimes E_1^\bullet & \xrightarrow{\mathscr{T}_2[1]\otimes\mathscr{T}_1} & E_2^\bullet[1] \otimes E_1^\bullet & \xrightarrow{\cup'_{1-r,h'}[1]} & E_3^\bullet[1] \end{array}$$

is commutative up to a homotopy.

Proof. See [34], Propositions 1.3.9 and 1.3.10. □

2. COHOMOLOGY OF (φ, Γ_K)-MODULES

2.1. (φ, Γ_K)-modules.

2.1.1. Throughout this section, K denotes a finite extension of $\mathbf{Q}_p$. Let k_K be the residue field of K, O_K its ring of integers and K_0 the maximal unramified subfield of K. We denote by K_0^{ur} the maximal unramified extension of K_0 and by σ the absolute Frobenius acting on K_0^{ur}. Fix an algebraic closure $\overline{K}$ of K and set $G_K = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$. Let $\mathbf{C}_p$ be the p-adic completion of $\overline{K}$. We denote by $v_p : \mathbf{C}_p \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ the p-adic valuation on $\mathbf{C}_p$ normalized so that $v_p(p) = 1$ and set $|x|_p = \left(\frac{1}{p}\right)^{v_p(x)}$. Write $A(r,1)$ for the p-adic annulus

$$A(r,1) = \{x \in \mathbf{C}_p \mid r \leqslant |x|_p < 1\}.$$

Fix a system of primitive p^n-th roots of unity $\varepsilon = (\zeta_{p^n})_{n\geqslant 0}$ such that $\zeta_{p^{n+1}}^p = \zeta_{p^n}$ for all $n \geqslant 0$. Let $K^{\mathrm{cyc}} = \bigcup_{n=0}^\infty K(\zeta_{p^n})$, $H_K = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K^{\mathrm{cyc}})$, $\Gamma_K = \mathrm{Gal}(K^{\mathrm{cyc}}/K)$ and let $\chi_K : \Gamma_K \to \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ denote the cyclotomic character.

Recall the constructions of some of Fontaine's rings of p-adic periods. Define

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}^+ = \varprojlim_{x\mapsto x^p} O_{\mathbf{C}_p}/pO_{\mathbf{C}_p} = \{x = (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n, \ldots) \mid x_i^p = x_i, \quad \forall i \in \mathbf{N}\}.$$

Let $x=(x_0,x_1,\ldots)\in\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}^+$. For each n, choose a lift $\hat{x}_n\in O_{\mathbf{C}_p}$ of x_n. Then, for all $m\geqslant 0$, the sequence $\hat{x}_{m+n}^{p^n}$ converges to $x^{(m)}=\lim_{n\to\infty}\hat{x}_{m+n}^{p^n}\in O_{\mathbf{C}_p}$, which does not depend on the choice of lifts. The ring $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}^+$, equipped with the valuation $v_{\mathbf{E}}(\underline{x})=v_p(x^{(0)})$, is a complete local ring of characteristic p with residue field k_K. Moreover, it is integrally closed in its field of fractions $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}=\mathrm{Fr}(\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}^+)$.

Let $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}=W(\widetilde{\mathbf{E}})$ be the ring of Witt vectors with coefficients in $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}$. Denote by $[\cdot]:\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}\to W(\widetilde{\mathbf{E}})$ the Teichmüller lift. Each $u=(u_0,u_1,\ldots)\in\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$ can be written in the form

$$u=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}[u_n^{p^{-n}}]p^n.$$

Set $\pi=[\varepsilon]-1$, $\mathbf{A}^+_{\mathbf{Q}_p}=\mathbf{Z}_p[[\pi]]$ and denote by $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ the p-adic completion of $\mathbf{A}^+_{\mathbf{Q}_p}[1/\pi]$ in $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$.

Let $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}=\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}[1/p]$, $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{Q}_p}=\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}_p}[1/p]$ and let $\mathbf{B}$ denote the completion of the maximal unramified extension of $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ in $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}$. All these rings are endowed with natural actions of the Galois group G_K and the Frobenius operator φ, and we set $\mathbf{B}_K=\mathbf{B}^{H_K}$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}&\gamma(\pi)=(1+\pi)^{\chi_K(\tau)}-1,\qquad \gamma\in\Gamma_K,\\ &\varphi(\pi)=(1+\pi)^p-1.\end{aligned}$$

For any $r>0$ define

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\dagger,r}=\left\{x\in\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}\mid\lim_{k\to+\infty}\left(v_{\mathbf{E}}(x_k)+\frac{pr}{p-1}k\right)=+\infty\right\}.$$

Set $\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,r}=\mathbf{B}\cap\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\dagger,r}$, $\mathbf{B}_K^{\dagger,r}=\mathbf{B}_K\cap\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,r}$, $\mathbf{B}^{\dagger}=\bigcup_{r>0}\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,r}$ and $\mathbf{B}_K^{\dagger}=\bigcup_{r>0}\mathbf{B}_K^{\dagger,r}$.

Let L denote the maximal unramified subextension of $K^{\mathrm{cyc}}/\mathbf{Q}_p$ and let $e_K=[K^{\mathrm{cyc}}:L^{\mathrm{cyc}}]$. It can be shown (see [13]) that there exists $r_K\geqslant 0$ and $\pi_K\in\mathbf{B}_K^{\dagger,r_K}$ such that for all $r\geqslant r_K$ the ring $\mathbf{B}_K^{\dagger,r}$ has the following explicit description

$$\mathbf{B}_K^{\dagger,r}=\left\{f(\pi_K)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}a_k\pi_K^k\mid a_k\in L\text{ and }f\text{ is holomorphic and bounded on }A(p^{-1/e_Kr},1)\right\}.$$

Note that, if $K/\mathbf{Q}_p$ is unramified, $L=K_0$ and one can take $\pi_K=\pi$.

Define

$$\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,r}_{\mathrm{rig},K} = \left\{ f(\pi_K) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} a_k \pi_K^k \mid a_k \in L \text{ and } f \text{ is holomorphic on } A(p^{-1/e_K r},1) \right\}.$$

The rings $\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,r}_K$ and $\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,r}_{\mathrm{rig},K}$ are stable under Γ_K, and the Frobenius φ sends $\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,r}_K$ into $\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,pr}_K$ and $\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,r}_{\mathrm{rig},K}$ into $\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,pr}_{\mathrm{rig},K}$. The ring

$$\mathscr{R}_K = \bigcup_{r\geqslant r_K} \mathbf{B}^{\dagger,r}_{\mathrm{rig},K}$$

is isomorphic to the Robba ring over L. Note that it is stable under Γ_K and φ. As usual, we set

$$t = \log(1+\pi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{\pi^n}{n} \in \mathscr{R}_{\mathbf{Q}_p}.$$

Note that $\varphi(t) = pt$ and $\gamma(t) = \chi_K(\gamma)t$, $\gamma \in \Gamma_K$.

To simplify notation, for each $r \geqslant r_K$ we set $\mathscr{R}^{(r)}_K = \mathbf{B}^{\dagger,r}_{\mathrm{rig},K}$. The ring $\mathscr{R}^{(r)}_K$ is equipped with a canonical Fréchet topology (see [8]). Let A be an affinoid algebra over $\mathbf{Q}_p$. Define

$$\mathscr{R}^{(r)}_{K,A} = A\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbf{Q}_p}\mathscr{R}^{(r)}_K, \qquad \mathscr{R}_{K,A} = \bigcup_{r\geqslant r_K} \mathscr{R}^{(r)}_{K,A}.$$

If the field K is clear from the context, we will often write $\mathscr{R}^{(r)}_A$ instead $\mathscr{R}^{(r)}_{K,A}$ and $\mathscr{R}_A$ instead $\mathscr{R}_{K,A}$.

Definition. *i) A (φ,Γ_K)-module over $\mathscr{R}^{(r)}_A$ is a finitely generated projective $\mathscr{R}^{(r)}_A$-module $\mathbf{D}^{(r)}$ equipped with the following structures:*

a) A φ-semilinear map

$$\mathbf{D}^{(r)} \to \mathbf{D}^{(r)} \otimes_{\mathscr{R}^{(r)}_A} \mathscr{R}^{(pr)}_A$$

such that the induced linear map

$$\varphi^* : \mathbf{D}^{(r)} \otimes_{\mathscr{R}^{(r)}_A,\varphi} \mathscr{R}^{(pr)}_A \to \mathbf{D}^{(r)} \otimes_{\mathscr{R}^{(r)}_A} \mathscr{R}^{(pr)}_A$$

is an isomorphism of $\mathscr{R}^{(pr)}_A$-modules;

b) A semilinear continuous action of Γ_K on $\mathbf{D}^{(r)}$.

ii) $\mathbf{D}$ is a (φ,Γ_K)-module over $\mathscr{R}_A$ if $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{D}^{(r)} \otimes_{\mathscr{R}^{(r)}_A} \mathscr{R}_A$ for some (φ,Γ_K)-module $\mathbf{D}^{(r)}$ over $\mathscr{R}^{(r)}_A$, with $r \geqslant r_K$.

If $\mathbf{D}$ is a (φ,Γ_K)-module over $\mathscr{R}_A$, we write $\mathbf{D}^* = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{R}_A}(\mathbf{D},A)$ for the dual (φ,Γ)-module. Let $\mathbf{M}^{\varphi,\Gamma}_{\mathscr{R}_A}$ denote the $\otimes$-category of (φ,Γ_K)-modules over $\mathscr{R}_A$.

2.1.2. A p-adic representation of G_K with coefficients in an affinoid $\mathbf{Q}_p$-algebra A is a finitely generated projective A-module equipped with a continuous A-linear action of G_K. Note that, as A is a noetherian ring, a finitely generated A-module is projective if and only if it is flat. Let $\mathbf{Rep}_A(G_K)$ denote the $\otimes$-category of p-adic representations with coefficients in A. The relationship between p-adic representations and (φ,Γ_K)-modules first appeared in the pioneering paper of Fontaine [18]. The key result of this theory is the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1.3 (FONTAINE, CHERBONNIER–COLMEZ, KEDLAYA)**.** *Let A be an affinoid algebra over $\mathbf{Q}_p$.*

i) There exists a fully faithul functor

$$\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig},A} \,:\, \mathbf{Rep}_A(G_K) \to \mathbf{M}^{\varphi,\Gamma}_{\mathscr{R}_A},$$

which commutes with base change. More precisely, let $\mathscr{X} = \mathrm{Spm}(A)$. For each $x \in \mathscr{X}$, denote by $\mathfrak{m}_x$ the maximal ideal of A associated to x and set $E_x = A/\mathfrak{m}_x$. If V (resp. $\mathbf{D}$) is an object of $\mathbf{Rep}_A(G_{\mathbf{Q}_p})$ (resp. of $\mathbf{M}^{\varphi,\Gamma}_{\mathscr{R}_A}$), set $V_x = V\otimes_A E_x$ (resp. $\mathbf{D}_x = \mathbf{D}\otimes_A E_x$). Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Rep}_A(G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig},A}} & \mathbf{M}^{\varphi,\Gamma}_{\mathscr{R}_A} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \otimes E_x} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \otimes E_x} \\ \mathbf{Rep}_{E_x}(G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig},E_x}} & \mathbf{M}^{\varphi,\Gamma}_{\mathscr{R}_{E_x}} \end{array}$$

commutes, i.e., $\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig},A}(V)_x \simeq \mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_x)$.

ii) If E is a finite extension of $\mathbf{Q}_p$, then the essential image of $\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig},E}$ is the subcategory of (φ,Γ_K)-modules of slope 0 *in the sense of Kedlaya* [28]

Proof. This follows from the main results of [18], [13] and [28]. See also [16].

□

2.1.4. Remark. Note that in general the essential image of $\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig},A}$ does not coincide with the subcategory of étale modules. See [11] [30], [25] for further discussion.

2.2. Relation to the p-adic Hodge theory.

2.2.1. In [18], Fontaine proposed to classify the p-adic representations arising in the p-adic Hodge theory in terms of (φ,Γ_K)-modules (Fontaine's program). More precisely, the problem is to recover classical Fontaine's functors $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)$, $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}}(V)$ and $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)$ (see for example [20]) from $\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)$. The complete solution was obtained by Berger in [8], [10]. His theory also allowed him to prove that each de Rham representation is potentially semistable. In this subsection, we review some of results of Berger. See also [15] for introduction and relation to the theory of p-adic differential equations. Let E be a fixed finite extension of $\mathbf{Q}_p$.

Definition. *i) A filtered module over K with coefficients in E is a free $K\otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p}E$-module M of finite rank equipped with a decreasing exhaustive filtration $(\mathrm{Fil}^i M)_{i\in\mathbf{Z}}$. We denote by $\mathbf{MF}_{K,E}$ the $\otimes$-category of such modules.*

ii) A filtered (φ,N)-module over K with coefficients in E is a free $K_0\otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p}E$-module M of finite rank equipped with the following structures:

a) An exhaustive decreasing filtration $(\mathrm{Fil}^i M_K)_{i\in\mathbf{Z}}$ on $M_K=M\otimes_{K_0}K$;

b) A σ-semilinear bijective operator $\varphi: M\to M$;

c) A $K_0\otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p}E$-linear operator N such that $N\varphi=p\varphi N$.

iii) A filtered φ-module over K with coefficients in E is a filtered (φ,N)-module such that $N=0$.

We denote by $\mathbf{MF}^{\varphi,N}_{K,E}$ the $\otimes$-category of filtered (φ,N)-module over K with coefficients in E and by $\mathbf{MF}^{\varphi}_{K,E}$ the category of filtered φ-modules.

iv) If L/K is a finite Galois extension and $G_{L/K}=\mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$, then a filtered $(\varphi,N,G_{L/K})$-module is a filtered (φ,N)-module M over L equipped with a semilinear action of $G_{L/K}$ such that the filtration $(\mathrm{Fil}^i M_L)_{i\in\mathbf{Z}}$ is stable under the action of $G_{L/K}$.

v) We say that M is a filtered (φ,N,G_K)-module if $M=K_0^{\mathrm{ur}}\otimes_{L_0}M'$, where M' is a filtered $(\varphi,N,G_{L/K})$-module for some L/K. We denote by $\mathbf{MF}^{\varphi,N,G_K}_{K,E}$ the $\otimes$-category of (φ,N,G_K)-modules.

Let $K^{\mathrm{cyc}}((t))$ denote the ring of formal Laurent power series with coefficients in K^{cyc} equipped with the filtration $\mathrm{Fil}^i K^{\mathrm{cyc}}((t))=t^i K^{\mathrm{cyc}}[[t]]$ and the action of Γ_K given by

$$\gamma\left(\sum_{k\in\mathbf{Z}}a_k t^k\right)=\sum_{k\in\mathbf{Z}}\gamma(a_k)\chi_K(\gamma)^k t^k,\qquad \gamma\in\Gamma_K.$$

The ring $\mathscr{R}_{K,E}$ can not be naturally embedded in $E\otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p}K^{\mathrm{cyc}}((t))$, but for any $r\geqslant r_K$ there exists a Γ_K-equivariant embedding $i_n:\mathscr{R}^{(r)}_{K,E}\to E\otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$

$K^{\mathrm{cyc}}((t))$ which sends π to $\zeta_{p^n}e^{t/p^n}-1$. Let $\mathbf{D}$ be a (φ,Γ_K)-module over $\mathscr{R}_{K,E}$ and let $\mathbf{D}=\mathbf{D}^{(r)}\otimes_{\mathscr{R}^{(r)}_{K,E}}\mathscr{R}_{K,E}$ for some $r\geqslant r_K$. Then

$$\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{dR}/K}(\mathbf{D})=\Big(E\otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p}K^{\mathrm{cyc}}((t))\otimes_{i_n}\mathbf{D}^{(r)}\Big)^{\Gamma_K}$$

is a free $E\otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p}K$-module of finite rank equipped with a decreasing filtration

$$\mathrm{Fil}^i\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{dR}/K}(\mathbf{D})=\Big(E\otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p}\mathrm{Fil}^iK^{\mathrm{cyc}}((t))\otimes_{i_n}\mathbf{D}^{(r)}\Big)^{\Gamma_K},$$

which does not depend on the choice of r and n.

Let $\mathscr{R}_{K,E}[\log\pi]$ denote the ring of power series in variable $\log\pi$ with coefficients in $\mathscr{R}_{K,E}$. Extend the actions of φ and Γ_K to $\mathscr{R}_{K,E}[\log\pi]$ setting

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(\log\pi)&=p\log\pi+\log\left(\frac{\varphi(\pi)}{\pi^p}\right),\\ \gamma(\log\pi)&=\log\pi+\log\left(\frac{\gamma(\pi)}{\pi}\right),\quad \gamma\in\Gamma_K.\end{aligned}$$

(Note that $\log(\varphi(\pi)/\pi^p)$ and $\log(\tau(\pi)/\pi)$ converge in $\mathscr{R}_{K,E}$.) Define a monodromy operator $N:\mathscr{R}_{K,E}[\log\pi]\to\mathscr{R}_{K,E}[\log\pi]$ by

$$N=-\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1}\frac{d}{d\log\pi}.$$

For any (φ,Γ_K)-module $\mathbf{D}$ define

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{st}/K}(\mathbf{D})=\big(\mathbf{D}\otimes_{\mathscr{R}_{K,E}}\mathscr{R}_{K,E}[\log\pi,1/t]\big)^{\Gamma_K},\quad t=\log(1+\pi),\\ &\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}/K}(\mathbf{D})=\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{st}}(\mathbf{D})^{N=0}=(\mathbf{D}[1/t])^{\Gamma_K}.\end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{st}}(\mathbf{D})$ is a free $E\otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p}K_0$-module of finite rank equipped with natural actions of φ and N such that $N\varphi=p\varphi N$. Moreover, it is equipped with a canonical exhaustive decreasing filtration induced by the embeddings i_n. If L/K is a finite extension and $\mathbf{D}$ is a (φ,Γ_K)-module, the tensor product $\mathbf{D}_L=\mathscr{R}_{L,E}\otimes_{\mathscr{R}_{K,E}}\mathbf{D}$ has a natural structure of a (φ,Γ_L)-module, and we define

$$\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{pst}/K}(\mathbf{D})=\varinjlim_{L/K}\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{st}/L}(\mathbf{D}_L).$$

Then $\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{pst}/K}(\mathbf{D})$ is a K_0^{ur}-vector space equipped with natural actions of φ and N and a discrete action of G_K. Therefore, we have four functors

$$\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{dR}/K} : \mathbf{M}^{\varphi,\Gamma}_{\mathscr{R}_{K,E}} \to \mathbf{MF}_{K,E},$$
$$\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{st}/K} : \mathbf{M}^{\varphi,\Gamma}_{\mathscr{R}_{K,E}} \to \mathbf{MF}^{\varphi,N}_{K,E},$$
$$\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{pst}/K} : \mathbf{M}^{\varphi,\Gamma}_{\mathscr{R}_{K,E}} \to \mathbf{MF}^{\varphi,N,G_K}_{K,E},$$
$$\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}/K} : \mathbf{M}^{\varphi,\Gamma}_{\mathscr{R}_{K,E}} \to \mathbf{MF}^{\varphi}_{K,E}.$$

If the field K is fixed and understood from context, we will omit it and simply write $\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}$, $\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{st}}$, $\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{pst}}$ and $\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}$.

Theorem 2.2.2 (BERGER)**.** *Let V be a p-adic representation of G_K. Then*

$$\mathbf{D}_{*/K}(V) \simeq \mathscr{D}_{*/K}(V), \qquad * \in \{\mathrm{dR}, \mathrm{st}, \mathrm{pst}, \mathrm{cris}\}.$$

Proof. See [8]. □

For any (φ,Γ_K)-module over $\mathscr{R}_{K,E}$ one has

$$\mathrm{rk}_{E\otimes K_0}\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}/K}(\mathbf{D}) \leqslant \mathrm{rk}_{E\otimes K_0}\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{st}/K}(\mathbf{D}) \leqslant \mathrm{rk}_{E\otimes K_0}\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{dR}/K}(\mathbf{D}) \leqslant \mathrm{rk}_{\mathscr{R}_{K,E}}(\mathbf{D}).$$

Definition. *One says that* $\mathbf{D}$ *is de Rham (resp. semistable, resp. potentially semistable, resp. crystalline) if* $\mathrm{rk}_{E\otimes K_0}\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{dR}/K}(\mathbf{D}) = \mathrm{rk}_{\mathscr{R}_{K,E}}(\mathbf{D})$ *(resp.* $\mathrm{rk}_{E\otimes K_0}\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{st}/K}(\mathbf{D}) = \mathrm{rk}_{\mathscr{R}_{K,E}}(\mathbf{D})$*, resp.* $\mathrm{rk}_{E\otimes K_0}\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{pst}/K}(\mathbf{D}) = \mathrm{rk}_{\mathscr{R}_{K,E}}(\mathbf{D})$*), resp.* $\mathrm{rk}_{E\otimes K_0}\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}/K}(\mathbf{D}) = \mathrm{rk}_{\mathscr{R}_{K,E}}(\mathbf{D})$*).*

Let $\mathbf{M}^{\varphi,\Gamma}_{\mathscr{R}_E,\mathrm{st}}$, $\mathbf{M}^{\varphi,\Gamma}_{\mathscr{R}_E,\mathrm{pst}}$ and $\mathbf{M}^{\varphi,\Gamma}_{\mathscr{R}_E,\mathrm{cris}}$ denote the categories of semistable, potentially semistable and crystalline (φ,Γ)-modules respectively. If $\mathbf{D}$ is de Rham, the jumps of the filtration $\mathrm{Fil}^i\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathbf{D})$ will be called the Hodge–Tate weights of $\mathbf{D}$.

Theorem 2.2.3 (BERGER)**.** *i) The functors*

$$\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{st}} : \mathbf{M}^{\varphi,\Gamma}_{\mathscr{R}_{K,E},\mathrm{st}} \to \mathbf{MF}^{\varphi,N}_{K,E},$$
$$\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{pst}} : \mathbf{M}^{\varphi,\Gamma}_{\mathscr{R}_{K,E},\mathrm{pst}} \to \mathbf{MF}^{\varphi,N,G_K}_{K,E},$$
$$\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}} : \mathbf{M}^{\varphi,\Gamma}_{\mathscr{R}_{K,E},\mathrm{cris}} \to \mathbf{MF}^{\varphi}_{K,E}$$

are equivalences of $\otimes$-categories.

ii) Let $\mathbf{D}$ *be a (φ,Γ_K)-module. Then* $\mathbf{D}$ *is potentially semistable if and only if* $\mathbf{D}$ *is de Rham.*

Proof. These results are proved in [10]. See Theorem A, Theorem III.2.4 and Theorem V.2.3 of *op. cit.*. □

2.3. The complex $C_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D})$.

2.3.1. We keep previous notation and conventions. Set $\Delta_K = \mathrm{Gal}(K(\zeta_p)/K)$. Then $\Gamma_K = \Delta_K \times \Gamma_K^0$, where Γ_K^0 is a pro-p-group isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}_p$. Fix a topological generator γ_K of Γ_K^0. For each (φ,Γ_K)-module $\mathbf{D}$ over $\mathscr{R}_A = \mathscr{R}_{K,A}$ define

$$C^\bullet_{\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D}) \,:\, \mathbf{D}^{\Delta_K} \xrightarrow{\gamma_K - 1} \mathbf{D}^{\Delta_K},$$

where the first term is placed in degree 0. If $\mathbf{D}'$ and $\mathbf{D}''$ are two (φ,Γ_K)-modules, we will denote by

$$\cup_\gamma \,:\, C^\bullet_{\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D}') \otimes C^\bullet_{\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D}'') \to C^\bullet_{\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D}' \otimes \mathbf{D}'')$$

the bilinear map

$$\cup_\gamma (x_n \otimes y_m) = \begin{cases} x_n \otimes \gamma_K^n(y_m) & \text{if } x_n \in C^n_{\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D}'),\, y_m \in C^m_{\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D}''), \\ & \text{and } n+m = 0 \text{ or } 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } n+m \geqslant 2. \end{cases}$$

Consider the total complex

$$C^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D}) = \mathrm{Tot}\left(C^\bullet_{\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D}) \xrightarrow{\varphi - 1} C^\bullet_{\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D}) \right).$$

More explicitly,

$$C^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D}) \,:\, 0 \to \mathbf{D}^{\Delta_K} \xrightarrow{d_0} \mathbf{D}^{\Delta_K} \oplus \mathbf{D}^{\Delta_K} \xrightarrow{d_1} \mathbf{D}^{\Delta_K} \to 0,$$

where $d_0(x) = ((\varphi - 1)x, (\gamma_K - 1)x)$ and $d_1(x,y) = (\gamma_K - 1)x - (\varphi - 1)y$. Note that $C^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D})$ coincides with the complex of Fontaine–Herr (see [26], [27] [31]). We will write $H^*(\mathbf{D})$ for the cohomology of $C^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma}(\mathbf{D})$. If $\mathbf{D}'$ and $\mathbf{D}''$ are two (φ,Γ_K)-modules, the cup product $\cup_\gamma$ induces, by Proposition 1.1.5, a bilinear map

$$\cup_{\varphi,\gamma} : C^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D}') \otimes C^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D}'') \to C^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D}' \otimes \mathbf{D}'').$$

Explicitly

$$\cup_{\varphi,\gamma}((x_{n-1},x_n) \otimes (y_{m-1},y_m)) = (x_n \cup_\gamma y_{m-1} + (-1)^m x_{n-1} \cup_\gamma \varphi(y_m), x_n \cup_\gamma y_m),$$

if $(x_{n-1},x_n)\in C^n_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D}')=C^{n-1}_{\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D}')\oplus C^n_{\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D}')$ and $(y_{m-1},y_m)\in C^m_{\varphi,\gamma}(\mathbf{D}'')=C^{m-1}_{\gamma}(\mathbf{D}'')\oplus C^m_{\gamma}(\mathbf{D}'')$. An easy computation gives the following formulas

$$\begin{cases} C^0_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D}')\otimes C^0_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D}'')\to C^0_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D}'\otimes\mathbf{D}''),\\ x_0\otimes y_0\mapsto x_0\otimes y_0,\end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} C^0_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D}')\otimes C^1_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D}'')\to C^1_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D}'\otimes\mathbf{D}''),\\ x_0\otimes (y_0,y_1)\mapsto (x_0\otimes y_0,x_0\otimes y_1),\end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} C^1_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D}')\otimes C^0_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D}'')\to C^1_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D}'\otimes\mathbf{D}''),\\ (x_0,x_1)\otimes y_0\mapsto (x_0\otimes\varphi(y_0),x_1\otimes\gamma_K(y_0)),\end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} C^1_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D}')\otimes C^1_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D}'')\to C^2_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D}'\otimes\mathbf{D}''),\\ (x_0,x_1)\otimes (y_0,y_1)\mapsto (x_1\otimes\gamma_K(y_0)-x_0\otimes\varphi(y_1)),\end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} C^0_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D}')\otimes C^2_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D}'')\to C^2_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D}'\otimes\mathbf{D}''),\\ x_0\otimes y_1\mapsto x_0\otimes y_1,\end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} C^2_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D}')\otimes C^0_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D}'')\to C^2_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D}'\otimes\mathbf{D}''),\\ x_1\otimes y_0\mapsto x_1\otimes\gamma_K(\varphi(y_1)).\end{cases}$$

Here the zero components are omitted.

2.3.2. For each (φ,Γ_K)-module $\mathbf{D}$ we denote by

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma(K,\mathbf{D})=\left[C^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D})\right]$$

the corresponding object of the derived category $\mathscr{D}(A)$. The cohomology of $\mathbf{D}$ is defined by

$$H^i(\mathbf{D})=\mathbf{R}^i\Gamma(K,\mathbf{D})=H^i(C^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D})),\qquad i\geqslant 0.$$

There exists a canonical isomorphism in $\mathscr{D}(A)$

$$\mathrm{TR_K}:\tau_{\geqslant 2}\mathbf{R}\Gamma(K,\mathscr{R}_A(\chi_K))\simeq A[-2]$$

(see [27], [31], [30]). Therefore, for each $\mathbf{D}$ we have morphisms

$$\begin{aligned}(12)\quad \mathbf{R}\Gamma(K,\mathbf{D})\otimes^{\mathbf{L}}_A\mathbf{R}\Gamma(K,\mathbf{D}^*(\chi_K))&\xrightarrow{\cup_{\varphi,\gamma}}\mathbf{R}\Gamma(K,\mathbf{D}\otimes\mathbf{D}^*(\chi_K))\\ &\xrightarrow{\text{duality}}\mathbf{R}\Gamma(K,\mathscr{R}_A(\chi_K))\to\tau_{\geqslant 2}\mathbf{R}\Gamma(K,\mathscr{R}_A(\chi_K))\simeq A[-2].\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.3.3 (KEDLAYA–POTTHARST–XIAO)**.** *Let $\mathbf{D}$ be a (φ,Γ_K)-module over $\mathscr{R}_{K,A}$, where A is an affinoid algebra.*

i) Finiteness. We have $\mathbf{R}\Gamma(K,\mathbf{D})\in\mathscr{D}^{[0,2]}_{\mathrm{perf}}(A)$.

ii) Euler–Poincaré characteristic formula. We have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2}(-1)^i \mathrm{rk}_A H^i(\mathbf{D}) = -[K:\mathbf{Q}_p],\mathrm{rk}_{\mathscr{R}_{K,A}}(\mathbf{D}).$$

iii) Duality. The morphism (12) induces an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma(K,\mathbf{D}^*) \simeq \mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_A(\mathbf{R}\Gamma(K,\mathbf{D}),A).$$

In particular, we have cohomological pairings

$$\cup : H^i(\mathbf{D}) \otimes H^{2-i}(\mathbf{D}^*(\chi_K)) \to H^2(\mathscr{R}_A(\chi_K)) \simeq A, \qquad i \in \{0,1,2\}.$$

iv) Comparision with Galois cohomology. Let V is a p-adic representation of G_K with coefficients in A. There exist canonical (up to the choice of γ_K) and functorial isomorphisms

$$H^i(K,V) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^i(\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))$$

which are compatible with cup-products. In particular, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^2(\mathscr{R}_A(\chi_K)) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{TR_K}} & A \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow = \\ H^2(K,A(\chi_K)) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{inv}_K} & A, \end{array}$$

where inv_K *is the canonical isomorphism of the local class field theory.*

Proof. See Theorem 4.4.5 of [30] and Theorem 2.8 of [37]. □

2.3.4. Remark. The explicit construction of the isomorphism TR_K is given in [27] and [3], Theorem 2.2.6.

2.4. The complex $K^\bullet(V)$.

2.4.1. In this section, we give the derived version of isomorphisms $H^i(K,V) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^i(\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))$ of Theorem 2.3.3 iv). We write $C^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(V)$ instead $C^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))$ to simplify notation. Let K be a finite extension of $\mathbf{Q}_p$. If M is a topological G_K-module, we denote by $C^\bullet(G_K,M)$ the complex of continuous cochains with coefficients in M. Let V be a p-adic representation of G_K with coefficients in an affinoid algebra A. Then

$$C^\bullet(G_K,V) \in \mathscr{K}^{[0,2]}_{\mathrm{ft}}(A)$$

and for the associated object $\mathbf{R}\Gamma(K,V)$ of the derived category

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma(K,V) = [C^\bullet(G_K,V)] \in \mathscr{D}^{[0,2]}_{\mathrm{perf}}(A)$$

(see [37], Theorem 1.1).

The continuous Galois cohomology of G_K with coefficients in V is defined by

$$H^*(K,V) = H^*(C^\bullet(G_K,V)).$$

In [8], Berger constructed, for each $r \geqslant r_K$, a ring $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\dagger,r}_{\mathrm{rig}}$ which is the completion of $\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,r}$ with respect to Frechet topology. Set $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\dagger,r}_{\mathrm{rig},A} = \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\dagger,r}_{\mathrm{rig}} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbf{Q}_p} A$ and $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig},A} = \bigcup\limits_{r \geqslant r_K} \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\dagger,r}_{\mathrm{rig},A}$. For each $r \geqslant r_K$ we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbf{Q}_p \to \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\dagger,r}_{\mathrm{rig}} \xrightarrow{\varphi-1} \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\dagger,rp}_{\mathrm{rig}} \to 0$$

(see [9], Lemma I.7). Since the completed tensor product by an orthonormalizable Banach space is exact in the category of Frechet spaces (see, for example, [1], proof of Lemma 3.9), the sequence

$$0 \to A \to \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\dagger,r}_{\mathrm{rig},A} \xrightarrow{\varphi-1} \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\dagger,rp}_{\mathrm{rig},A} \to 0.$$

is also exact. Passing to the direct limit we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \to A \to \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig},A} \xrightarrow{\varphi-1} \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig},A} \to 0. \tag{13}$$

Set $V^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}} = V \otimes_A \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig},A}$ and consider the complex $C^\bullet(G_K, V^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}})$. Then (13) induces an exact sequence

$$0 \to C^\bullet(G_K,V) \to C^\bullet(G_K,V^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}) \xrightarrow{\varphi-1} C^\bullet(G_K,V^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}) \to 0.$$

Define

$$K^\bullet(V) = T^\bullet(C^\bullet(G_K,V^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}})) = \mathrm{Tot}\left(C^\bullet(G_K,V^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}) \xrightarrow{\varphi-1} C^\bullet(G_K,V^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}})\right).$$

Consider the map

$$\alpha_V \,:\, C^\bullet_{\gamma_K}(V) \to C^\bullet(G_K,V^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}})$$

defined by

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_V(x_0) = x_0, & x_0 \in C^0_{\gamma_K}(V), \\ \alpha_V(x_1)(g) = \dfrac{\gamma_K^{\kappa(g)}-1}{\gamma_K - 1}(x_1), & x_1 \in C^1_{\gamma_K}(V), \end{cases}$$

where $g \in G_K$ and $\gamma_K^{\kappa(g)} = g|_{\Gamma_K^0}$. It is easy to check that α_V is a morphism of complexes which commutes with φ. By fonctoriality, we obtain a morphism (which we denote again by α_V):

$$\alpha_V \,:\, C^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(V) \to K^\bullet(V).$$

Proposition 2.4.2. *The map $\alpha_V : C^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(V) \to K^\bullet(V)$ and the map*

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_V : \quad & C^\bullet(G_K,V) \to K^\bullet(V),\\ & x_n \mapsto (0,x_n), \qquad x_n \in C^n(G_K,V)\end{aligned}$$

are quasi-isomorphisms and we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^\bullet(G_K,V) & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\xi_V} & K^\bullet(V) \\ & & \simeq \uparrow \alpha_V \\ & & C^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(V). \end{array}$$

Proof. This is Proposition 9 of [6]. □

2.4.3. If M and N are two Galois modules, the cup-product

$$\cup_c : C^\bullet(M) \otimes C^\bullet(M) \to C^\bullet(M \otimes N)$$

defined by

$$\begin{aligned}(x_n \cup_c y_m)(g_1,g_2,\ldots,g_{n+m}) = \\ = x_n(g_1,\ldots,g_n) \otimes (g_1 g_2 \cdots g_n) y_m(g_{n+1},\ldots,g_{n+m}),\end{aligned}$$

where $x_n \in C^n(G_K,M)$ and $y_m \in C^m(G_K,N)$, is a morphism of complexes. Let V and U be two Galois representations of G_K. Applying Proposition 1.1.5 to the complexes $C^\bullet(G_K,V^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}})$ and $C^\bullet(G_K,U^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}})$ we obtain a morphism

$$\cup_K : K^\bullet(V) \otimes K^\bullet(U) \to K^\bullet(V \otimes U).$$

The following proposition will not be used in the remainder of this paper, but we state it here for completeness.

Proposition 2.4.4. *In the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(V) \otimes C^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(U) & \xrightarrow{\cup_{\varphi,\gamma_K}} & C^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma}(V \otimes U) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha_V \otimes \alpha_U} & \swarrow{\scriptstyle h_{\varphi,\gamma}} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha_{V\otimes U}} \\ K^\bullet(V) \otimes K^\bullet(U) & \xrightarrow{\cup_K} & K^\bullet(V \otimes U) \end{array}$$

the maps $\alpha_{V\otimes U} \circ \cup_{\varphi,\gamma}$ and $\cup_K \circ (\alpha_V \otimes \alpha_U)$ are homotopic.

We need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4.5. *For any $x \in C^1_{\gamma_K}(V)$, $y \in C^1_{\gamma_K}(U)$, let $c_{x,y} \in C^1(\Gamma^0_K, \mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V \otimes U))$ denote the* 1*-cochain defined by*

$$c_{x,y}(\gamma_K^n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \gamma_K^i(x) \otimes \left(\frac{\gamma_K^n - \gamma_K^{i+1}}{\gamma_K - 1} \right)(y), \qquad \text{if } n \neq 0, 1, \tag{14}$$

and $c_{x,y}(1) = c_{x,y}(\gamma_K) = 0$. Then

i) For each $x \in C^1_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(V)$ and $y \in C^0_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(U)$

$$c_{x,(\gamma_K - 1)y} = \alpha_V(x) \cup_c \alpha_U(y) - \alpha_{V\otimes U}(x \cup_\gamma y).$$

ii) If $x \in C^0_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(V)$ and $y \in C^1_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(U)$ then

$$c_{(\gamma_K - 1)x,y} = \alpha_{V\otimes U}(x \cup_\gamma y) - \alpha_V(x) \cup_c \alpha_U(y).$$

iii) One has

$$d^1(c_{x,y}) = -\alpha_V(x) \cup_c \alpha_U(y).$$

Proof of the lemma. i) Note that Γ^0_K is the profinite completion of the cyclic group $\langle \gamma_K \rangle$, and an easy computation shows that the map $c_{x,y}$, defined on $\langle \gamma_K \rangle$ by (14), extends by continuity to a unique cochain on Γ^0_K which we denote again by $c_{x,y}$.

For any natural $n \neq 0, 1$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} c_{x,(\gamma-1)y}(\gamma_K^n) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \gamma_K^i(x) \otimes (\gamma_K^n - \gamma_K^{i+1})(y) = \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \gamma_K^i(x) \otimes \gamma_K^n(y) - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \gamma_K^i(x) \otimes \gamma_K^{i+1}(y) = \\ &= \frac{\gamma_K^n - 1}{\gamma_K - 1}(x) \otimes \gamma_K^n(y) - \frac{\gamma_K^n - 1}{\gamma_K - 1}(x \otimes \gamma_K(y)) = \\ &= (g_V(x) \cup_c g_U(y))(\gamma_K^n) - (g_{V\otimes U}(x \cup_\gamma y))(\gamma_K^n). \end{aligned}$$

By continuity, this implies that $c_{x,(\gamma_K-1)y} = \alpha_V(x) \cup_c \alpha_U(y) - \alpha_{V\otimes U}(x \cup_\gamma y)$, and i) is proved.

ii) An easy induction proves the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \gamma_K^i(\gamma_K - 1)(x) \otimes \frac{\gamma_K^{i+1} - 1}{\gamma_K - 1}(y) = \\ = \gamma_K^{m+1}(x) \otimes \frac{\gamma_K^{m+1} - 1}{\gamma_K - 1}(y) - \frac{\gamma_K^{m+1} - 1}{\gamma_K - 1}(x \otimes y). \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Therefore

$$c_{(\gamma_K-1)x,y}(\gamma_K^n)=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(\gamma_K^{i+1}-\gamma_K^i)(x)\otimes\frac{\gamma_K^n-\gamma_K^{i+1}}{\gamma_K-1}(y)=$$
$$=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(\gamma_K^{i+1}-\gamma_K^i)(x)\otimes\frac{\gamma_K^n-1}{\gamma_K-1}(y)-\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\gamma_K^i(\gamma_K-1)(x)\otimes\frac{\gamma_K^{i+1}-1}{\gamma_K-1}(y)=$$
$$\overset{\text{by (15)}}{=}(\gamma_K^n-1)(x)\otimes\frac{\gamma_K^n-1}{\gamma_K-1}(y)+\frac{\gamma_K^n-1}{\gamma_K-1}(x\otimes y)-\gamma_K^n(x)\otimes\frac{\gamma_K^n-1}{\gamma_K-1}(y)=$$
$$=\frac{\gamma_K^n-1}{\gamma_K-1}(x\otimes y)-x\otimes\frac{\gamma_K^n-1}{\gamma_K-1}(y)=$$
$$=(\alpha_{V\otimes U}(x\cup_\gamma y))(\gamma_K^n)-(\alpha_V(x)\cup_c\alpha_U(y))(\gamma_K^n),$$

and ii) is proved.

iii) One has

$$d^1c_{x,y}(\gamma_K^n,\gamma_K^m)=\gamma_K^nc_{x,y}(\gamma_K^m)-c_{x,y}(\gamma_K^{n+m})+c_{x,y}(\gamma_K^n)=$$
$$=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\gamma_K^{n+i}(x)\otimes\frac{\gamma_K^{n+m}-\gamma_K^{i+n+1}}{\gamma_K-1}(y)-$$
$$-\sum_{i=0}^{n+m-1}\gamma_K^i(x)\otimes\frac{\gamma_K^{n+m}-\gamma_K^{i+1}}{\gamma_K-1}(y)+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\gamma_K^i(x)\otimes\frac{\gamma_K^n-\gamma_K^{i+1}}{\gamma_K-1}(y)=$$
$$=-\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\gamma_K^i(x)\otimes\frac{\gamma_K^{n+m}-\gamma_K^{i+1}}{\gamma_K-1}(y)+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\gamma_K^i(x)\otimes\frac{\gamma_K^n-\gamma_K^{i+1}}{\gamma_K-1}(y)=$$
$$=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\gamma_K^i(x)\otimes\frac{\gamma_K^n-\gamma_K^{n+m}}{\gamma_K-1}(y)=-\frac{\gamma_K^n-1}{\gamma_K-1}(x)\otimes\gamma_K^n\frac{\gamma_K^m-1}{\gamma_K-1}(y)=$$
$$=-(\alpha_V(x)\cup_c\alpha_U(y))(\gamma_K^n,\gamma_K^m).$$

By continuity, $d^1c_{x,y}=-\alpha_V(x)\cup_c\alpha_U(y)$, and the lemma is proved. □

Proof of Proposition 2.4.4. Let

$$h_\gamma:C^\bullet_{\gamma_K}(V)\otimes C^\bullet_{\gamma_K}(U)\to C^\bullet(G_K,V^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}\otimes U^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}})[-1]$$

be the map defined by

$$h_\gamma(x,y)=\begin{cases}-c_{x,y} & \text{if } x\in C^1_{\gamma_K}(V),\ y\in C^1_{\gamma_K}(U),\\ 0 & \text{elsewhere.}\end{cases}$$

From Lemma 2.4.5 it follows that h_γ defines a homotopy $h_\gamma:\alpha_{V\otimes U}\circ\cup_\gamma\rightsquigarrow\cup_c\circ(\alpha_V\otimes\alpha_U)$. By Proposition 1.1.6, h_γ induces a homotopy $h_{\varphi,\gamma}:\alpha_{V\otimes U}\circ\cup_{\varphi,\gamma}\rightsquigarrow\cup_K\circ(\alpha_V\otimes\alpha_U)$. The proposition is proved.

2.5. Transpositions.

2.5.1. Let M be a continuous G_K-module. The complex $C^\bullet(G_K, M)$ is equipped with a transposition

$$\mathscr{T}_{V,c} : C^\bullet(G_K, M) \to C^\bullet(G_K, M)$$

which is defined by

$$\mathscr{T}_{V,c}(x_n)(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) = (-1)^{n(n+1)/2} g_1 g_2 \cdots g_n (x_n(g_1^{-1}, \ldots, g_n^{-1}))$$

(see [34], Section 3.4.5.1). We will often write $\mathscr{T}_c$ instead $\mathscr{T}_{V,c}$. The map $\mathscr{T}_c$ satisfies the following properties

a) $\mathscr{T}_c$ is an involution, i.e. $\mathscr{T}_c^2 = \mathrm{id}$.

b) $\mathscr{T}_c$ is functorially homotopic to the identity map.

c) Let $s_{12}^* : C^\bullet(G_K, M \otimes N) \to C^\bullet(C_K, N \otimes M)$ denote the map induced by the involution $M \otimes N \to N \otimes M$ given by $x \otimes y \mapsto y \otimes x$ (see Section 1.1.1). Set $\mathscr{T}_{12} = \mathscr{T}_c \circ s_{12}^*$. Then for all $x_n \in C^n(G_K, M)$ and $y_m \in C^m(G_K, N)$ one has

$$\mathscr{T}_{12}(x_n \cup y_m) = (-1)^{nm} (\mathscr{T}_c y_m) \cup (\mathscr{T}_c x_n),$$

i.e. the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^\bullet(G_K, M) \otimes C^\bullet(G_K, N) & \xrightarrow{\cup_c} & C^\bullet(G_K, M \otimes N) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle s_{12}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathscr{T}_{12}} \\ C^\bullet(G_K, N) \otimes C^\bullet(G_K, M) & \xrightarrow{\cup_c} & C^\bullet(G_K, N \otimes M) \end{array} \tag{16}$$

commutes ([34], Section 3.4.5.3).

2.5.2. There exists a homotopy

$$a = (a^n) : \mathrm{id} \rightsquigarrow \mathscr{T}_c \tag{17}$$

which is functorial in M ([34], Section 3.4.5.5). We remark, that from the discussion in *op. cit.* it follows, that one can take a such that $a^0 = a^1 = 0$.

2.5.3. Let V be a p-adic representation of G_K. We denote by $\mathscr{T}_{K(V)}$, or simply by $\mathscr{T}_K$, the transposition induced on the complex $K^\bullet(V)$ by $\mathscr{T}_c$, thus

$$\mathscr{T}_{K(V)}(x_{n-1}, x_n) = (\mathscr{T}_c(x_{n-1}), \mathscr{T}_c(x_n)).$$

From Proposition 1.1.7 it follows that in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K^\bullet(V)\otimes K^\bullet(U) & \xrightarrow{\cup_K} & K^\bullet(V\otimes U) \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle s_{12}\circ(\mathscr{T}_{K(V)}\otimes\mathscr{T}_{K(U)})} & \swarrow{\scriptstyle h_{\mathscr{T}}} & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathscr{T}_{K(V\otimes U)}\circ s_{12}^*} \\ K^\bullet(U)\otimes K^\bullet(V) & \xrightarrow{\cup_K} & K^\bullet(U\otimes V) \end{array} \tag{18}$$

the morphisms $\mathscr{T}_{K(V\otimes U)}\circ s_{12}^*\circ\cup_K$ and $\cup_K\circ s_{12}\circ(\mathscr{T}_{K(V)}\otimes\mathscr{T}_{K(U)})$ are homotopic.

Proposition 2.5.4. *i) The diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^\bullet(G_K,V) & \xrightarrow{\xi_V} & K^\bullet(V) \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathscr{T}_c} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathscr{T}_{K(V)}} \\ C^\bullet(G_K,V) & \xrightarrow{\xi_V} & K^\bullet(V). \end{array}$$

is commutative. The map $a_{K(V)}=(a,a)$ defines a homotopy $a_{K(V)}:\mathrm{id}_{K(V)}\rightsquigarrow\mathscr{T}_{K(V)}$ such that $a_{K(V)}\circ\xi_V=\xi_V\circ a$.

ii) We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(V) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_V} & K^\bullet(V) \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathscr{T}_K} \\ C^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(V) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_V} & K^\bullet(V). \end{array}$$

If $a:\mathrm{id}\rightsquigarrow\mathscr{T}_c$ is a homotopy such that $a^0=a^1=0$, then $a_{K(V)}\circ\alpha_V=0$.

Proof. i) The first assertion follows from Lemma 1.1.6.

ii) If $x_1\in C^1_{\gamma_K}(V)$ then $\alpha_V(x_1)\in C^\bullet(G_K,V^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}})$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathscr{T}_c(\alpha_V(x_1))(g)=-g(\alpha_V(x_1)(g^{-1}))=\\&=-\gamma_K^{\kappa(g)}\left(\frac{\gamma_K^{-\kappa(g)}-1}{\gamma_K-1}(x_1)\right)=\frac{\gamma_K^{\kappa(g)}-1}{\gamma_K-1}(x_1)=(\alpha_V(x_1))(g).\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mathscr{T}_c\circ\alpha_V=\alpha_V$. By functoriality, $\mathscr{T}_K\circ\alpha_V=\alpha_V$. Finally, the identity $a_{K(V)}\circ\alpha_V=0$ follows directly from the definition of ξ_V and the assumption that $a^0=a^1=0$. □

2.6. The Bockstein map.

2.6.1. Consider the group algebra $A[\Gamma_K^0]$ of Γ_K^0 over A. Let $\iota : A[\Gamma_K^0] \to A[\Gamma_K^0]$ denote the A-linear involution given by $\iota(\gamma) = \gamma^{-1}$, $\gamma \in \Gamma_K^0$. We equip $A[\Gamma_K^0]$ with the following structures:

a) The natural Galois action given by $g(x) = \bar{g}x$, where $g \in G_K$, $x \in A[\Gamma_K^0]$ and $\bar{g}$ is the image of g under canonical projection of $G_K \to \Gamma_K^0$.

b) The $A[\Gamma_K^0]$-module structure $A[\Gamma_K^0]^\iota$ given by the involution ι, namely $\lambda(x) = \iota(\lambda)x$ for $\lambda \in A[\Gamma_K^0]$, $x \in A[\Gamma_K^0]^\iota$.

Let J_K denote the kernel of the augmentation map $A[\Gamma_K^0] \to A$. Then the element

$$\widetilde{X} = \log^{-1}(\chi_K(\gamma))(\gamma - 1) \pmod{J_K^2} \in J_K/J_K^2$$

does not depend on the choice of $\gamma \in \Gamma_K^0$ and we have an isomorphism of A-modules

$$\theta_K : A \to J_K^2/J_K^2,$$
$$\theta_K(a) = a\widetilde{X}.$$

The action of G_K on the quotient $\widetilde{A}_K^\iota = A[\Gamma_K^0]^\iota/J_K^2$ is given by

$$g(1) = 1 + \log(\chi_K(g))\widetilde{X}, \qquad g \in G_K.$$

We have an exact sequence of G_K-modules

$$0 \to A \xrightarrow{\theta_K} \widetilde{A}_K^\iota \to A \to 0. \tag{19}$$

Let V be a p-adic representation of G_K with coefficients in A. Set $\widetilde{V}_K = V \otimes_A \widetilde{A}_K^\iota$. Then the sequence (19) induces an exact sequence of p-adic representations

$$0 \to V \to \widetilde{V}_K \to V \to 0.$$

Therefore, we have an exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \to C^\bullet(G_K, V) \to C^\bullet(G_K, \widetilde{V}_K) \to C^\bullet(G_K, V) \to 0$$

which gives a distinguished triangle

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma(K,V) \to \mathbf{R}\Gamma(K,\widetilde{V}_K) \to \mathbf{R}\Gamma(K,V) \to \mathbf{R}\Gamma(K,V)[1]. \tag{20}$$

The map $s : A \to \widetilde{A}_K^\iota$ that sends a to $a \pmod{J_K^2}$ induces a canonical non-equivariant section $s_V : V \to \widetilde{V}_K$ of the projection $\widetilde{V}_K \to V$. Define a morphism $\beta_{V,c} : C^\bullet(G_K,V) \to C^\bullet(G_K,V)[1]$ by

$$\beta_{V,c}(x_n) = \frac{1}{\widetilde{X}}(d \circ s_V - s_V \circ d)(x_n), \qquad x_n \in C^\bullet(G_K,V).$$

We will write β_c instead $\beta_{V,c}$ if the representation V is clear from the context.

Proposition 2.6.2. *i) The distinguished triangle (20) can be represented by the following distinguished triangle of complexes*

$$C^\bullet(G_K,V)\to C^\bullet(G_K,\widetilde{V}_K)\to C^\bullet(G_K,V)\xrightarrow{\beta_{V,c}} C^\bullet(G_K,V)[1].$$

ii) For any $x_n\in C^n(G_K,V)$ one has

$$\beta_{V,c}(x_n)=-\log\chi_K\cup_c x_n.$$

Proof. See [34], Lemma 11.2.3. □

2.6.3. We will prove analogs of this proposition for the complexes $C^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D})$ and $K^\bullet(V)$. Let $\mathbf{D}$ be a (φ,Γ_K)-module with coefficients in A. Set $\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}=\mathbf{D}\otimes_A\widetilde{A}^\iota_K$. The splitting s induces a splitting of the exact sequence

$$0\longrightarrow\mathbf{D}\longrightarrow\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}\underset{s_{\mathbf{D}}}{\rightleftarrows}\mathbf{D}\longrightarrow 0 \tag{21}$$

which we denote by $s_{\mathbf{D}}$. Define

$$\beta_{\mathbf{D}}: C^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D})\to C^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D})[1], \tag{22}$$
$$\beta_{\mathbf{D}}(x)=\frac{1}{\widetilde{X}}(d\circ s_{\mathbf{D}}-s_{\mathbf{D}}\circ d)(x),\qquad x\in C^n_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D}).$$

Proposition 2.6.4. *i) The map $\beta_{\mathbf{D}}$ induces the connecting maps $H^n(\mathbf{D})\to H^{n+1}(\mathbf{D})$ of the long cohomology sequence associated to the short exact sequence (21).*

ii) For any $x\in C^n_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D})$ one has

$$\beta_{\mathbf{D}}(x)=-(0,\log\chi_K(\gamma_K))\cup_{\varphi,\gamma}x,$$

where $(0,\log\chi_K(\gamma_K))\in C^1_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(\mathbf{Q}_p(0))$.

Proof. The first assertion follows directly from the definition of the connecting map. Now, let $x=(x_{n-1},x_n)\in C^n_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&(ds_{\mathbf{D}}-s_{\mathbf{D}}d)(x)=\\
&=d(x_{n-1}\otimes 1,x_n\otimes 1)-s_{\mathbf{D}}((\gamma_K-1)x_{n-1}+(-1)^n(\varphi-1)x_n,(\gamma_K-1)x_n)=\\
&=(\gamma_K(x_{n-1})\otimes\gamma_K-x_{n-1}\otimes 1+(-1)^n(\varphi-1)x_n\otimes 1,\gamma_K(x_n)\otimes\gamma_K-x_n\otimes 1)-\\
&-((\gamma_K-1)(x_{n-1})\otimes 1+(-1)^n(\varphi-1)x_n\otimes 1,(\gamma_K-1)(x_n)\otimes 1)=\\
&=(\gamma_K(x_{n-1})\otimes(\gamma_K-1),\gamma_K(x_n)\otimes(\gamma_K-1)).
\end{aligned}$$

From $\gamma_K=1+\widetilde{X}\log\chi_K(\gamma_K)$ it follows that $\gamma_K^{-1}-1\equiv-\widetilde{X}\log\chi_K(\gamma_K)\pmod{J_K^2}$ and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_{\mathbf{D}}(x)&=\frac{1}{\widetilde{X}}((\gamma_K(x_{n-1}),\gamma_K(x_n))\otimes(\gamma_K-1)))=\\
&=-\log\chi_K(\gamma_K)(\gamma_K(x_{n-1}),\gamma_K(x_n))\in C^{n+1}_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D}).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$(0,\log\chi_K(\gamma_K))\cup_{\varphi,\gamma}(x_{n-1},x_n)=\log\chi_K(\gamma_K)(\gamma_K(x_{n-1}),\gamma_K(x_n))$$

and ii) is proved. □

The exact sequence

$$0\to C^\bullet(G_K,V^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}})\to C^\bullet(G_K,(\widetilde{V}_K)^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}})\to C^\bullet(G_K,V^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}})\to 0,$$

induces an exact sequence

$$0\to K^\bullet(V)\to K^\bullet(\widetilde{V}_K)\to K^\bullet(V)\to 0. \tag{23}$$

Again, the splitting $s_V : V\to\widetilde{V}_K$ induces a splitting $s_K : K^\bullet(V)\to K^\bullet(\widetilde{V}_K)$ of (23) and we have a distinguished triangle of complexes

$$K^\bullet(V)\to K^\bullet(\widetilde{V})\to K^\bullet(V)\xrightarrow{\beta_{K(V)}}K^\bullet(V)[1].$$

We will often write β_K instead $\beta_{K(V)}$.

Proposition 2.6.5. *i) One has*

$$\beta_K(x)=-(0,\log\chi_K)\cup_K x,\qquad x\in K^n(V).$$

ii) The following diagrams commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^\bullet(G_K,V) & \xrightarrow{\beta_c} & C^\bullet(G_K,V)[1] \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \xi_V} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \xi_V[1]} \\ K^\bullet(V) & \xrightarrow{\beta_K} & K^\bullet(V)[1] \end{array},\qquad \begin{array}{ccc} C^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(V) & \xrightarrow{\beta_{\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)}} & C^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(V)[1] \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha_V} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha_V[1]} \\ K^\bullet(V) & \xrightarrow{\beta_K} & K^\bullet(V)[1]. \end{array}$$

Proof. i) The proof is a routine computation. Let $x=(x_{n-1},x_n)\in K^n(V)$, where $x_{n-1}\in C^{n-1}(G_K,V^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}})$, $x_n\in C^n(G_K,V^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}})$. Since s_K commutes with φ one has

$$(ds_K-s_Kd)x=((ds_V-s_Vd)x_{n-1},(ds_V-s_Vd)x_n).$$

On the other hand,

$$((ds_V-s_Vd)x_{n-1})(g_1,g_2,\dots,g_n)=g_1x_{n-1}(g_2,\dots,g_n)\otimes(\overline{g}_1-1),$$

where $\overline{g}_1$ denote the image of $g_1\in G_K$ in Γ_K. As in the proof of Proposition 2.6.4, we can write $\overline{g}_1-1\pmod{J_K^2}=\widetilde{X}\log\chi_K(g_1)$. Therefore

$$(d\circ s_V-s_V\circ d)x_{n-1}(g_1,g_2,\dots,g_n)=\log\chi_K(g_1)g_1x_{n-1}(g_2,\dots,g_n)\otimes\widetilde{X}.$$

and

$$(d\circ s_V-s_V\circ d)x_n(g_1,g_2,\dots,g_n,g_{n+1})=$$
$$=\log\chi_K(g_1)g_1x_{n-1}(g_2,\dots,g_n,g_{n+1})\otimes\widetilde{X}.$$

Since $\iota(g_1-1) = -\widetilde{X}\log\chi_K(g_1)$, we have

$$\beta_K(x)(g_1,\dots,g_n) = \frac{1}{\widetilde{X}}(d\circ s_K - s_K\circ d)x(g_1,g_2,\dots,g_n) =$$
$$= -\log\chi_K(g_1)(g_1x_{n-1}(g_2,\dots,g_n,g_n), g_1x_{n-1}(g_2,\dots,g_n,g_{n+1})).$$

On the other hand, $(0,\log\chi_K)\cup_K(x_{n-1},x_n) = (z_n,z_{n+1})$, where

$$z_i(g_1,g_2,\dots,g_i) = \log\chi_K(g_1)g_1x_i(g_2,\dots,g_i), \qquad i = n, n+1,$$

and i) is proved.

ii) The second statement follows from the compatibility of the Bockstein morphisms β_c, $\beta_{\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)}$ and β_K with the maps α_V and β_V. This can be also proved using i) and Propositions 2.6.2 and 2.6.4. □

2.7. Iwasawa cohomology.

2.7.1. We keep previous notation and conventions. Set $K_\infty = (K^{\mathrm{cyc}})^{\Delta_K}$, where $\Delta_K = \mathrm{Gal}(K(\zeta_p)/K)$. Then $\mathrm{Gal}(K_\infty/K)\simeq\Gamma_K^0$ and we denote by K_n the unique subextension of K_∞ of degree $[K_n:K] = p^n$. Let E be a finite extension of $\mathbf{Q}_p$ and let $\mathscr{O}_E$ be its ring of integers. We denote by $\Lambda_{\mathscr{O}_E} = \mathscr{O}_E[[\Gamma_K^0]]$ the Iwasawa algebra of Γ_K^0 with coefficients in $\mathscr{O}_E$. The choice of a generator γ_K of Γ_K^0 fixes an isomorphism $\Lambda_{\mathscr{O}_E}\simeq\mathscr{O}_E[[X]]$ such that $\gamma_K\mapsto X+1$. Let $\mathscr{H}_E$ denote the algebra of formal power series $f(X)\in E[[X]]$ that converge on the open unit disk $A(0,1) = \{x\in\mathbf{C}_p \mid |x|_p<1\}$ and let

$$\mathscr{H}_E(\Gamma_K^0) = \{f(\gamma_K-1) \mid f(X)\in\mathscr{H}_E\}.$$

We consider $\Lambda_{\mathscr{O}_E}$ as a subring of $\mathscr{H}_E(\Gamma_K^0)$. The involution $\iota:\Lambda_{\mathscr{O}_E}\to\Lambda_{\mathscr{O}_E}$ extends to $\mathscr{H}_E(\Gamma_K^0)$. Let $\Lambda^\iota_{\mathscr{O}_E}$ (resp. $\mathscr{H}_E(\Gamma_K^0)^\iota$) denote $\Lambda_{\mathscr{O}_E}$ (resp. $\mathscr{H}_E(\Gamma_K^0)$) equipped with the $\Lambda_{\mathscr{O}_E}$-module (resp. $\mathscr{H}_E(\Gamma_K^0)$-module) structure given by $\alpha\star\lambda = \iota(\alpha)\lambda$.

Let V be a p-adic representation of G_K with coefficients in E. Fix a $\mathscr{O}_E$-lattice T of V stable under the action of G_K and set $\mathrm{Ind}_{K_\infty/K}(T) = T\otimes_{\mathscr{O}_E}\Lambda^\iota_{\mathscr{O}_E}$. We equip $\mathrm{Ind}_{K_\infty/K}(T)$ with the following structures:

a) The diagonal action of G_K, namely $g(x\otimes\lambda) = g(x)\otimes\overline{g}\lambda$, for all $g\in G_K$ and $x\otimes\lambda\in\mathrm{Ind}_{K_\infty/K}(T)$;

b) The structure of $\Lambda_{\mathscr{O}_E}$-module given by $\alpha(x\otimes\lambda) = x\otimes\lambda\iota(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha\in\Lambda_{\mathscr{O}_E}$ and $x\otimes\lambda\in\mathrm{Ind}_{K_\infty/K}(T)$.

Let $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K,T)$ denote the class of the complex $C^\bullet(G_K,\mathrm{Ind}_{K_\infty/K}(T))$ in the derived category $\mathscr{D}(\Lambda_{\mathscr{O}_E})$ of $\Lambda_{\mathscr{O}_E}$-modules. The augmentation map $\Lambda_{\mathscr{O}_E}\to\mathscr{O}_E$ induces an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K,T)\otimes^{\mathbf{L}}_{\Lambda_{\mathscr{O}_E}}\mathscr{O}_E\simeq\mathbf{R}\Gamma(K,T).$$

We write $H^i_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K,T)=\mathbf{R}^i\Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K,T)$ for the cohomology of $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K,T)$. From Shapiro's lemma it follows that

$$H^i_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K,T)=\varprojlim_{\text{cores}} H^i(K_n,T)$$

(see, for example, [34], Sections 8.1-8.3).

We review the Iwasawa cohomology of (φ,Γ_K)-modules (see [14] and [30]). The map $\varphi:\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,r}_{\mathrm{rig},K}\to\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,pr}_{\mathrm{rig},K}$ equips $\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,pr}_{\mathrm{rig},K}$ with the structure of a free $\varphi:\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,r}_{\mathrm{rig},K}$-module of rank p. Define

$$\psi:\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,pr}_{\mathrm{rig},K}\to\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,r}_{\mathrm{rig},K},\qquad \psi(x)=\frac{1}{p}\varphi^{-1}\circ\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,pr}_{\mathrm{rig},K}/\varphi(\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,r}_{\mathrm{rig},K})}(x).$$

Since $\mathscr{R}_{K,\mathbf{Q}_p}=\bigcup\limits_{r\geqslant r_K}\mathbf{B}^{\dagger,r}_{\mathrm{rig},K}$, the operator ψ extends by linearity to an operator $\psi:\mathscr{R}_{K,E}\to\mathscr{R}_{K,E}$ such that $\psi\circ\varphi=\mathrm{id}$.

Let $\mathbf{D}$ is a (φ,Γ_K)-module over $\mathscr{R}_{K,E}=\mathscr{R}_K\otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p}E$. If $e_1,e_2,\dots,e_d$ is a base of $\mathbf{D}$ over $\mathscr{R}_{K,E}$, then $\varphi(e_1),\varphi(e_2),\dots,\varphi(e_d)$ is again a base of $\mathbf{D}$, and we define

$$\psi:\mathbf{D}\to\mathbf{D},$$
$$\psi\left(\sum_{i=1}^d a_i\varphi(e_i)\right)=\sum_{i=1}^d\psi(a_i)e_i.$$

The action of Γ_K^0 on $\mathbf{D}^{\Delta_K}$ extends to a natural action of $\mathscr{H}_E(\Gamma_K^0)$ and we consider the complex of $\mathscr{H}_E(\Gamma_K^0)$-modules

$$C^\bullet_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D})\ :\ \mathbf{D}^{\Delta_K}\xrightarrow{\psi-1}\mathbf{D}^{\Delta_K},$$

where the terms are concentrated in degrees 1 and 2. Let $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D})=\left[C^\bullet_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D})\right]$ denote the class of $C^\bullet_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D})$ in the derived category $\mathscr{D}(\mathscr{H}_E(\Gamma_K^0))$. We also consider the complex $C^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(\mathrm{Ind}_{K_\infty/K}(\mathbf{D}))$, where $\mathrm{Ind}_{K_\infty/K}(\mathbf{D})=\mathbf{D}\otimes_E\mathscr{H}_E(\Gamma_K^0)^\iota$, and set $\mathbf{R}\Gamma(K,\mathrm{Ind}_{K_\infty/K}(\mathbf{D}))=\left[C^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(\overline{\mathbf{D}})\right]$.

Theorem 2.7.2. *Let $\mathbf{D}$ be a (φ,Γ_K)-module over $\mathscr{R}_{K,E}$. Then*

i) The complexes $C^\bullet_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D})$ and $C_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(\overline{\mathbf{D}})$ are quasi-isomorphic and therefore

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D})\simeq\mathbf{R}\Gamma(K,\mathrm{Ind}_{K_\infty/K}(\mathbf{D})).$$

ii) The cohomology groups $H^i_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D})=\mathbf{R}^i\Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D})$ are finitely-generated $\mathscr{H}_E(\Gamma_K^0)$-modules. Moreover, $\mathrm{rk}_{\mathscr{H}_E(\Gamma_K^0)}H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D})=[K:\mathbf{Q}_p]\,\mathrm{rk}_{\mathscr{R}_{K,E}}\mathbf{D}$ and $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D})_{\mathrm{tor}}$ and $H^2_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D})$ are finite-dimensional E-vector spaces.

iii) We have an isomorphism

$$C^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(\mathrm{Ind}_{K_\infty/K}(\mathbf{D}))\otimes_{\mathscr{H}_E(\Gamma_K^0)}E\xrightarrow{\sim}C^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D})$$

which induces the Hochschild–Serre exact sequences

$$0 \to H^i_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D})_{\Gamma_K^0} \to H^i(\mathbf{D}) \to H^{i+1}_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D})^{\Gamma_K^0} \to 0.$$

iv) Let $\omega = \mathrm{cone}\left[\mathscr{K}_E(\Gamma_K^0) \to \mathscr{K}_E(\Gamma_K^0)/\mathscr{H}_E(\Gamma_K^0)\right][-1]$, *where* $\mathscr{K}_E(\Gamma_K^0)$ *is the field of fractions of* $\mathscr{H}_E(\Gamma_K^0)$. *Then the functor* $\mathscr{D} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{H}_E(\Gamma_K^0)}(-,\omega)$ *furnishes a duality*

$$\mathscr{D}\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D}) \simeq \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D}^*(\chi_K))^\iota[2].$$

v) If V *is a p-adic representation of* G_K, *then there are canonical and functorial isomorphisms*

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw}}(K,T) \otimes^{\mathbf{L}}_{\Lambda_{\mathscr{O}_E}} \mathscr{H}_E(\Gamma_K^0) \simeq \mathbf{R}\Gamma\left(K, T \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_E} \mathscr{H}_E(\Gamma_K^0)^\iota\right) \simeq$$
$$\simeq \mathbf{R}\Gamma(K, \mathrm{Ind}_{K_\infty/K}(\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))).$$

Proof. See [38], Theorem 2.6. □

2.7.3. We will need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.7.4. *Let* E *be a finite extension of* $\mathbf{Q}_p$ *and let* $\mathbf{D}$ *be a potentially semistable* (φ,Γ_K)*-module over* $\mathscr{R}_{K,E}$. *Then*

i) $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D})_{\mathrm{tor}} \simeq \left(\mathbf{D}^{\Delta_K}\right)^{\varphi=1}$.

ii) Assume that

$$\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{pst}}(\mathbf{D}^*(\chi_K))^{\varphi=p^i} = 0, \qquad \forall i \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

Then $H^2_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D}) = 0$.

Proof. i) Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbf{D}^{\varphi=1} \to \mathbf{D}^{\psi=1} \xrightarrow{\varphi-1} \mathbf{D}^{\psi=0}.$$

Since $\left(\mathbf{D}^{\Delta_K}\right)^{\psi=1} \simeq H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D})$ and $\mathbf{D}^{\psi=0}$ is $\mathscr{H}_E(\Gamma_K^0)$-torsion free ([30], Theorem 3.1.1), $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D})_{\mathrm{tor}} \subset \left(\mathbf{D}^{\Delta_K}\right)^{\varphi=1}$. On the other hand, $\mathbf{D}^{\varphi=1}$ is a finitely dimensional E-vector space (see, for example [30], Lemma 4.3.5) and therefore is $\mathscr{H}_E(\Gamma_K^0)$-torsion. This proves the first statement.

ii) By Theorem 2.7.2 iv), $H^2_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D})$ and $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D}^*(\chi_K))_{\mathrm{tor}}$ are dual to each other and it is enough to show that $\mathbf{D}^*(\chi_K)^{\varphi=1} = 0$. Since $\dim_E \mathbf{D}^*(\chi_K)^{\varphi=1} < \infty$, there exists r such that $\mathbf{D}^*(\chi_K)^{\varphi=1} \subset \mathbf{D}^*(\chi_K)^{(r)}$, and for $n \gg 0$ the map $i_n = \varphi^{-n} : \mathscr{R}^{(r)}_{K,E} \to E \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} K^{\mathrm{cyc}}[[t]]$ gives an injection

$$\mathbf{D}^*(\chi_K)^{\varphi=1} \to \mathbf{D}^*(\chi_K)^{(r)} \otimes_{i_n} \left(E \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} K^{\mathrm{cyc}}[[t]]\right) \xrightarrow{\sim}$$
$$\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Fil}^0\left(\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathbf{D}^*(\chi_K)) \otimes_K \otimes K^{\mathrm{cyc}}((t))\right).$$

Looking at the action of Γ_K on $\mathrm{Fil}^0(\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathbf{D}^*(\chi_K))\otimes_K K^{\mathrm{cyc}}((t)))$ and using the fact that $\mathbf{D}^*(\chi_K)^{\varphi=1}$ is finite-dimensional over E, it is easy to prove, that there exists a finite extension L/K such that $\mathbf{D}^*(\chi_K)^{\varphi=1}$, viewed as G_L-module, is isomorphic to a finite direct sum of modules $\mathbf{Q}_p(i)$, $i\in\mathbf{Z}$. Therefore

$$\mathbf{D}^*(\chi_K)^{\varphi=1}\simeq\big(\mathbf{D}^*(\chi_K)^{\varphi=1}\otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p}\mathbf{Q}_p(-i)\big)^{\Gamma_L}\otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p}\mathbf{Q}_p(i)$$

as G_L-modules. Since

$$\big(\mathbf{D}^*(\chi_K)^{\varphi=1}\otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p}\mathbf{Q}_p(-i)\big)^{\Gamma_L}\subset\big(\mathbf{D}^*(\chi_K)\otimes_{\mathscr{R}_{K,E}}\mathscr{R}_{L,E}[1/t,\ell_\pi]\big)^{\varphi=p^{-i},\Gamma_L}=$$
$$=\mathscr{D}^L_{\mathrm{st}}(\mathbf{D}^*(\chi_K))^{\varphi=p^{-i}}=0,$$

we obtain that $\mathbf{D}^*(\chi_K)^{\varphi=1}=0$, and the lemma is proved. □

2.8. The group $H^1_f(\mathbf{D})$.

2.8.1. Let $\mathbf{D}$ be a potentially semistable (φ,Γ_K)-module over $\mathscr{R}_{K,E}$, where E is a finite extension of $\mathbf{Q}_p$. As usual, we have the isomorphism

$$H^1(\mathbf{D})\simeq\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathscr{R}_{K,E}}(\mathscr{R}_{K,E},\mathbf{D})$$

which associates to each cocycle $x=(a,b)\in C^1_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(\mathbf{D})$ the extension

$$0\to\mathbf{D}\to\mathbf{D}_x\to\mathscr{R}_{K,E}\to 0$$

such that $\mathbf{D}_x=\mathbf{D}\oplus\mathscr{R}_{K,E}e$ with $\varphi(e)=e+a$ and $\gamma_K(e)=e+b$. We say that $[x]=\mathrm{class}(x)\in H^1(\mathbf{D})$ is crystalline if

$$\mathrm{rk}_{E\otimes K_0}(\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{D}_x))=\mathrm{rk}_{E\otimes K_0}(\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{D}))+1$$

and define

$$H^1_f(\mathbf{D})=\{[x]\in H^1(\mathbf{D})\mid \mathrm{cl}(x)\text{ is crystalline}\}.$$

Proposition 2.8.2. *Let $\mathbf{D}$ be a potentially semistable (φ,Γ_K)-module over $\mathscr{R}_{K,E}$. Then*

i) $H^0(\mathbf{D})=\mathrm{Fil}^0(\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{pst}}(\mathbf{D}))^{\varphi=1,N=0,G_K}$ and $H^1_f(\mathbf{D})$ is a E-subspace of $H^1(\mathbf{D})$ of dimension

$$\dim_E H^1_f(\mathbf{D})=\dim_E\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathbf{D})-\dim_E\mathrm{Fil}^0\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathbf{D})+\dim_E H^0(\mathbf{D}).$$

ii) There exists an exact sequence

$$0\to H^0(\mathbf{D})\to\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{D})\xrightarrow{(\mathrm{pr},1-\varphi)}t_{\mathbf{D}}(K)\oplus\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{D})\to H^1_f(\mathbf{D})\to 0,$$

where $t_{\mathbf{D}}(K)=\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathbf{D})/\mathrm{Fil}^0\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathbf{D})$.

iii) $H^1_f(\mathbf{D}^*(\chi_K))$ is the orthogonal complement to $H^1_f(\mathbf{D})$ under the duality $H^1(\mathbf{D})\times H^1(\mathbf{D}^*(\chi_K))\to E$.

iv) Let

$$0 \to \mathbf{D}_1 \to \mathbf{D} \to \mathbf{D}_2 \to 0$$

be an exact sequence of potentially semistable (φ, Γ_K)*-modules. Assume that one of the following conditions holds*

a) $\mathbf{D}$ *is crystalline;*

b) $\mathrm{Im}((H^0(\mathbf{D}_2) \to H^1(\mathbf{D}_1)) \subset H^1_f(\mathbf{D}_1)$.

Then one has an exact sequence

$$0 \to H^0(\mathbf{D}_1) \to H^0(\mathbf{D}) \to H^0(\mathbf{D}_2) \to H^1_f(\mathbf{D}_1) \to H^1_f(\mathbf{D}) \to H^1_f(\mathbf{D}_2) \to 0.$$

Proof. This proposition is proved in [4], Proposition 1.4.4, and Corollaries 1.4.6 and 1.4.10. For another approach to $H^1_f(\mathbf{D})$ and an alternative proof see [32], Section 2. □

2.8.3. In this subsection we assume that $K = \mathbf{Q}_p$. We review the computation of the cohomology of some isoclinic $(\varphi, \Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}_p})$-modules given in [4]. To simplify notation, we write χ_p and Γ^0_p instead $\chi_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ and $\Gamma^0_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ respectively.

Proposition 2.8.4. *Let* $\mathbf{D}$ *be a semistable* $(\varphi, \Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}_p})$*-module of rank* d *over* $\mathscr{R}_{\mathbf{Q}_p,E}$ *such that* $\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{st}}(\mathbf{D})^{\varphi=1} = \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{st}}(\mathbf{D})$ *and* $\mathrm{Fil}^0\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{st}}(\mathbf{D}) = \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{st}}(\mathbf{D})$. *Then*

i) $\mathbf{D}$ *is crystalline and* $H^0(\mathbf{D}) = \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{D})$.

ii) One has $\dim_E H^0(\mathbf{D}) = d$, $\dim_E H^1(\mathbf{D}) = 2d$ *and* $H^2(\mathbf{D}) = 0$.

iii) The map

$$i_{\mathbf{D}} : \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{D}) \oplus \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{D}) \to H^1(\mathbf{D}),$$
$$i_{\mathbf{D}} = \mathrm{cl}(-x, \log\chi_p(\gamma_{\mathbf{Q}_p})y)$$

is an isomorphism of E*-vector spaces. Let* $i_{\mathbf{D},f}$ *and* $i_{\mathbf{D},c}$ *denote the restrictions of* $i_{\mathbf{D}}$ *on the first and the second summand respectively. Then* $\mathrm{Im}(i_{\mathbf{D},f}) = H^1_f(\mathbf{D})$ *and we have a decomposition*

$$H^1(\mathbf{D}) = H^1_f(\mathbf{D}) \oplus H^1_c(\mathbf{D}),$$

where $H^1_c(\mathbf{D}) = \mathrm{Im}(i_{\mathbf{D},c})$.

iv) Let $\mathbf{D}^*(\chi_p)$ *be the Tate dual of* $\mathbf{D}$. *Then*

$$\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{D}^*(\chi_p))^{\varphi=p^{-1}} = \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{D}^*(\chi_p))$$

and $\mathrm{Fil}^0\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{D}^*(\chi_p)) = 0$. *In particular,* $H^0(\mathbf{D}^*(\chi_p)) = 0$. *Let*

$$[\ ,\ ]_{\mathbf{D}} : \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{D}^*(\chi_p)) \times \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{D}) \to E$$

denote the canonical duality. Define a morphism

$$i_{\mathbf{D}^*(\chi_p)} : \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{D}^*(\chi_p)) \oplus \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{D}^*(\chi_p)) \to H^1(\mathbf{D}^*(\chi_p))$$

by

$$i_{\mathbf{D}^*(\chi_p)}(\alpha,\beta) \cup i_{\mathbf{D}}(x,y) = [\beta,x]_{\mathbf{D}} - [\alpha,y]_{\mathbf{D}}$$

and denote by $\mathrm{Im}(i_{\mathbf{D}^*(\chi_p),f})$ *and* $\mathrm{Im}(i_{\mathbf{D}^*(\chi_p),c})$ *the restrictions of* $i_{\mathbf{D}^*(\chi_p)}$ *on the first and the second summand respectively. Then* $\mathrm{Im}(i_{\mathbf{D}^*(\chi_p),f}) = H^1_f(\mathbf{D}^*(\chi_p))$ *and again we have*

$$H^1(\mathbf{D}^*(\chi_p)) = H^1_f(\mathbf{D}^*(\chi_p)) \oplus H^1_c(\mathbf{D}^*(\chi_p)),$$

where $H^1_c(\mathbf{D}^*(\chi_p)) = \mathrm{Im}(i_{\mathbf{D}^*(\chi_p),c})$.

Proof. See [4], Proposition 1.5.9 and Section 1.5.10. □

We also need the following result.

Proposition 2.8.5. *Let* $\mathbf{D}$ *be a crystalline* $(\varphi,\Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}_p})$*-module over* $\mathscr{R}_{\mathbf{Q}_p,E}$ *such that* $\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{D})^{\varphi=p^{-1}} = \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{D})$ *and* $\mathrm{Fil}^0\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{D}) = 0$. *Then*

$$H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D})_{\Gamma_p^0} = H^1_c(\mathbf{D}).$$

Proof. See [6], Proposition 4. □

3. *p*-ADIC HEIGHT PAIRINGS I: SELMER COMPLEXES

3.1. Selmer complexes.

3.1.1. In this section we construct p-adic height pairings using Nekovář's formalism of Selmer complexes. Let F be a number field. We denote by S_f (resp. S_∞) the set of all non-archimedean (resp. archimedean) absolute values on F. Fix a prime number p and a finite subset $S \subset S_f$ containing the set S_p of all $\mathfrak{q} \in S_f$ such that $\mathfrak{q} \mid p$. We will write Σ_p for the complement of S_p in S. Let $G_{F,S}$ denote the Galois group of the maximal algebraic extension of F unramified outside $S \cup S_\infty$. For each $\mathfrak{q} \in S$, we fix a decomposition group at $\mathfrak{q}$ which we identify with $G_{F_\mathfrak{q}}$, and set $\Gamma_\mathfrak{q} = \Gamma_{F_\mathfrak{q}}$. Fix a generator $\gamma_\mathfrak{q} \in \Gamma^0_\mathfrak{q}$.

3.1.2. Let V be a p-adic representation of $G_{F,S}$ with coefficients in a $\mathbf{Q}_p$-affinoid algebra A. We will write $V_\mathfrak{q}$ for the restriction of V on the decomposition group at $\mathfrak{q}$. For each $\mathfrak{q} \in S_p$, we fix a $(\varphi,\Gamma_\mathfrak{q})$-submodule $\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q}$ of $\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_\mathfrak{q})$ that is a $\mathscr{R}_{F_\mathfrak{q},A}$-module direct summand of $\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig},A}(V_\mathfrak{q})$. Set $\mathbf{D} = (\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q})_{\mathfrak{q}\in S_p}$ and define

$$U^\bullet_\mathfrak{q}(V,\mathbf{D}) = \begin{cases} C^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_\mathfrak{q}}(\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q}), & \text{if } \mathfrak{q} \in S_p, \\ C^\bullet_{\mathrm{ur}}(V_\mathfrak{q}), & \text{if } \mathfrak{q} \in \Sigma_p, \end{cases}$$

where

$$C^\bullet_{\mathrm{ur}}(V_\mathfrak{q}) \,:\, V_\mathfrak{q}^{I_\mathfrak{q}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Fr}_\mathfrak{q}-1} V_\mathfrak{q}^{I_\mathfrak{q}}, \qquad \mathfrak{q} \in \Sigma_p,$$

and the terms are concentrated in degrees 0 and 1. Note that, if $\mathfrak{q} \in S_p$, we have $[U^\bullet_\mathfrak{q}(V,\mathbf{D})] = \mathbf{R}\Gamma(F_\mathfrak{q},\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q}) \in \mathscr{D}^{[0,2]}_{\mathrm{perf}}(A)$ by Theorem 2.3.3, i). On the

other hand, if $\mathfrak{q} \in \Sigma_p$, then in general the complex $U^\bullet_\mathfrak{q}(V,\mathbf{D})$ is not quasi-isomorphic to a perfect complex of A-modules.

First assume that $\mathfrak{q} \in \Sigma_p$. Then we have a canonical morphism

$$g_\mathfrak{q} : U^\bullet_\mathfrak{q}(V,\mathbf{D}) \to C^\bullet(G_{F_\mathfrak{q}},V) \tag{24}$$

defined by

$$\begin{aligned} g_\mathfrak{q}(x_0) &= x_0, && \text{if} \quad x_0 \in U^0_\mathfrak{q}(V,\mathbf{D}),\\ g_\mathfrak{q}(x_1)(\mathrm{Fr}_\mathfrak{q}) &= x_1, && \text{if} \quad x_1 \in U^1_\mathfrak{q}(V,\mathbf{D}) \end{aligned}$$

and the restriction map

$$f_\mathfrak{q} = \mathrm{res}_\mathfrak{q} : C^\bullet(G_{F,S},V) \to C^\bullet(G_{F_\mathfrak{q}},V). \tag{25}$$

Now assume that $\mathfrak{q} \in S_p$. The inclusion $\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q} \subset \mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_\mathfrak{q})$ induces a morphism $U^\bullet_\mathfrak{q}(V,\mathbf{D}) = C^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma}(\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q}) \to C^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma}(V_\mathfrak{q})$. We denote by

$$g_\mathfrak{q} : U^\bullet_\mathfrak{q}(V,\mathbf{D}) \to K^\bullet(V_\mathfrak{q}), \qquad \mathfrak{q} \mid p \tag{26}$$

the composition of this morphism with the quasi-isomorphism $\alpha_{V_\mathfrak{q}} : C^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma}(V_\mathfrak{q}) \simeq K^\bullet(V_\mathfrak{q})$ constructed in Section 2.4 and by

$$f_\mathfrak{q} : C^\bullet(G_{F,S},V) \to K^\bullet(V_\mathfrak{q}), \qquad \mathfrak{q} \mid p \tag{27}$$

the composition of the restriction map $\mathrm{res}_\mathfrak{q} : C^\bullet(G_{F,S},V) \to C^\bullet(G_{F_\mathfrak{q}},V)$ with the quasi-isomorphism $\xi_{V_\mathfrak{q}} : C^\bullet(G_{F_\mathfrak{q}},V) \to K^\bullet(V_\mathfrak{q})$ (see Proposition 2.4.2).

Set

$$K^\bullet(V) = \left(\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q}\in\Sigma_p} C^\bullet(G_{F_\mathfrak{q}},V)\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q}\in S_p} K^\bullet(V_\mathfrak{q})\right)$$

and $U^\bullet(V,\mathbf{D}) = \bigoplus\limits_{\mathfrak{q}\in S} U^\bullet_\mathfrak{q}(V,\mathbf{D})$.

Recall that

$$C^\bullet(G_{F,S},V) \in \mathscr{K}^{[0,3]}_{\mathrm{ft}}(A)$$

and the associated object of the derived category

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma_S(V) := \left[C^\bullet(G_{F,S},V)\right] \in \mathscr{D}^{[0,3]}_{\mathrm{perf}}(A)$$

(see [34] and [37]). Therefore, we have a diagram in $\mathscr{K}^{[0,3]}_{\mathrm{ft}}(A)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^\bullet(G_{F,S},V) & \xrightarrow{\ f\ } & K^\bullet(V) \\ & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle g} \\ & & U^\bullet(V,\mathbf{D}), \end{array}$$

where $f=(f_{\mathfrak{q}})_{\mathfrak{q}\in S}$ and $g=(g_{\mathfrak{q}})_{\mathfrak{q}\in S}$, and the corresponding diagram in $\mathscr{D}^{[0,3]}_{\mathrm{ft}}(A)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\mathbf{R}\Gamma_S(V) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus\limits_{\mathfrak{q}\in S}\mathbf{R}\Gamma(F_{\mathfrak{q}},V)\\ & & \uparrow\\ & & \bigoplus\limits_{\mathfrak{q}\in S}\mathbf{R}\Gamma(F_{\mathfrak{q}},V,\mathbf{D}),\end{array}$$

where $\mathbf{R}\Gamma(F_{\mathfrak{q}},V,\mathbf{D})=\left[U^{\bullet}_{\mathfrak{q}}(V,\mathbf{D})\right]$.

The associated Selmer complex is defined as

$$S^{\bullet}(V,\mathbf{D})=\mathrm{cone}\left[C^{\bullet}(G_{F,S},V)\oplus U^{\bullet}(V,\mathbf{D})\xrightarrow{f-g}K^{\bullet}(V)\right][-1].$$

We set $\mathbf{R}\Gamma(V,\mathbf{D}):=[S^{\bullet}(V,\mathbf{D})]$ and write $H^{\bullet}(V,\mathbf{D})$ for the cohomology of $S^{\bullet}(V,\mathbf{D})$. From the definition, it follows directly that $S^{\bullet}(V,\mathbf{D})\in\mathscr{K}^{[0,3]}_{\mathrm{ft}}(A)$ and if, in addition, $\left[U^{\bullet}_{\mathfrak{q}}(V,\mathbf{D})\right]\in\mathscr{D}^{[0,1]}_{\mathrm{perf}}(A)$ for all $\mathfrak{q}\in\Sigma_p$, then $\mathbf{R}\Gamma(V,\mathbf{D})\in\mathscr{D}^{[0,3]}_{\mathrm{perf}}(A)$.

3.1.3. The previous construction can be slightly generalized. Fix a finite subset $\Sigma\subset\Sigma_p$ and, for each $\mathfrak{q}\in\Sigma$, a locally direct summand $M_{\mathfrak{q}}$ of the A-module $V_{\mathfrak{q}}$ stable under the action of $G_{F_{\mathfrak{q}}}$. Let $M=(M_{\mathfrak{q}})_{\mathfrak{q}\in\Sigma}$. Define

$$U^{\bullet}_{\mathfrak{q}}(V,\mathbf{D},M)=\begin{cases}C^{\bullet}_{\varphi,\gamma_{\mathfrak{q}}}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}}), & \text{if } \mathfrak{q}\in S_p,\\ C^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{ur}}(V_{\mathfrak{q}}), & \text{if } \mathfrak{q}\in\Sigma_p\setminus\Sigma,\\ C^{\bullet}(G_{F_{\mathfrak{q}}},M_{\mathfrak{q}}), & \text{if } \mathfrak{q}\in\Sigma.\end{cases}$$

We denote by $S^{\bullet}(V,\mathbf{D},M)$ the associated Selmer complex and set $\mathbf{R}\Gamma(V,\mathbf{D},M):=[S^{\bullet}(V,\mathbf{D},M)]$. If $M_{\mathfrak{q}}=0$ for all $\mathfrak{q}\in\Sigma$, we write $S^{\bullet}(V,\mathbf{D},\Sigma)$ and $\mathbf{R}\Gamma(V,\mathbf{D},\Sigma)$ for $S^{\bullet}(V,\mathbf{D},M)$ and $\mathbf{R}\Gamma(V,\mathbf{D},M)$ respectively. Note that $\mathbf{R}\Gamma(V,\mathbf{D},\Sigma_p)\in\mathscr{D}^{[0,3]}_{\mathrm{perf}}(A)$.

3.1.4. We construct cup products for our Selmer complexes $\mathbf{R}\Gamma(V,\mathbf{D},M)$. Consider the dual representation $V^*(1)$ of V. We equip $V^*(1)$ with the dual local conditions setting

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{D}^{\perp}_{\mathfrak{q}}&=\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{R}_A}(\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V^*_{\mathfrak{q}}(1))/\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}},\mathscr{R}_A),\qquad \forall\mathfrak{q}\in S_p,\\ M^{\perp}_{\mathfrak{q}}&=\mathrm{Hom}_A(V_{\mathfrak{q}}/M_{\mathfrak{q}},A),\qquad \forall\mathfrak{q}\in\Sigma,\end{aligned}$$

and denote by $f^{\perp}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ and $g^{\perp}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ the morphisms (24-27) associated to $(V^*(1),\mathbf{D}^{\perp},M^{\perp})$. Consider the following data

1) The complexes $A_1^\bullet = C^\bullet(G_{F,S}, V)$, $B_1^\bullet = U^\bullet(V, \mathbf{D}, M)$, and $C_1^\bullet = K^\bullet(V)$ equipped with the morphisms $f_1 = (f_\mathfrak{q})_{\mathfrak{q}\in S} : A_1^\bullet \to C_1^\bullet$ and $g_1 = \bigoplus\limits_{\mathfrak{q}\in S} g_\mathfrak{q} : B_1^\bullet \to C_1^\bullet$;

2) The complexes $A_2^\bullet = C^\bullet(G_{F,S}, V^*(1))$, $B_2^\bullet = U^\bullet(V^*(1), \mathbf{D}^\perp, M^\perp)$, and $C_2^\bullet = K^\bullet(V^*(1))$ equipped with the morphisms $f_2 = (f_\mathfrak{q}^\perp)_{\mathfrak{q}\in S} : A_2^\bullet \to C_2^\bullet$ and $g_2 = \bigoplus\limits_{\mathfrak{q}\in S} g_\mathfrak{q}^\perp : B_2^\bullet \to C_2^\bullet$;

3) The complexes $A_3^\bullet = \tau_{\geqslant 2} C^\bullet(G_{F,S}, A(1))$, $B_3^\bullet = 0$ and $C_3^\bullet = \tau_{\geqslant 2} K^\bullet(A(1))$ equipped with the map $f_3 : A_3^\bullet \to C_3^\bullet$ given by

$$\tau_{\geqslant 2} C^\bullet(G_{F,S}, A(1)) \xrightarrow{(\mathrm{res}_\mathfrak{q})_\mathfrak{q}} \bigoplus_\mathfrak{q} \tau_{\geqslant 2} C^\bullet(G_{F_\mathfrak{q}}, A(1)) \to \tau_{\geqslant 2} K^\bullet(A(1))$$

and the zero map $g_3 : B_3^\bullet \to C_3^\bullet$.

4) The cup product $\cup_A : A_1^\bullet \otimes A_2^\bullet \to A_3^\bullet$ defined as the composition

$$\cup_A : C^\bullet(G_{F,S}, V) \otimes C^\bullet(G_{F,S}, V^*(1)) \xrightarrow{\cup_c} C^\bullet(G_{F,S}, V \otimes V^*(1)) \to \\ C^\bullet(G_{F,S}, A^*(1)) \to \tau_{\geqslant 2} C^\bullet(G_{F,S}, A^*(1)),$$

5) The zero cup product $\cup_B : B_1^\bullet \otimes B_2^\bullet \to B_3^\bullet$.

6) The cup product $\cup_C : C_1^\bullet \otimes C_2^\bullet \to C_3^\bullet$ defined as the composition

$$K^\bullet(V) \otimes K^\bullet(V^*(1)) \xrightarrow{\cup_K} K^\bullet(V \otimes V^*(1)) \to K^\bullet(A(1)) \to \tau_{\geqslant 2} K^\bullet(A(1)).$$

7) The zero maps $h_f : A_1^\bullet \otimes A_2^\bullet \to C_3^\bullet[-1]$ and $h_g : B_1^\bullet \otimes B_2^\bullet \to C_3^\bullet[-1]$.

Theorem 3.1.5. *i) There exists a canonical, up to homotopy, quasi-isomorphism*

$$r_S : E_3^\bullet \to A[-2].$$

ii) The data 1-7) above satisfy the conditions **P1-3)** *of Section 1.2 and therefore define, for each $a \in A$ and each quasi-isomorphism r_S, the cup product*

$$\cup_{a,r_S} : S^\bullet(V, \mathbf{D}, M) \otimes_A S^\bullet(V^*(1), \mathbf{D}^\perp, M^\perp) \to A[-3].$$

iii) The homotopy class of $\cup_{a,r_S}$ does not depend on the choice of $r \in A$ and, therefore, defines a pairing

$$\cup_{V,\mathbf{D},M} : \mathbf{R}\Gamma(V, \mathbf{D}, M) \otimes^{\mathbf{L}}_A \mathbf{R}\Gamma(V^*(1), \mathbf{D}^\perp, M^\perp) \to A[-3]. \tag{28}$$

Proof. i) We repeat *verbatim* the argument of [34], Section 5.4.1. For each $\mathfrak{q} \in S$, let $i_\mathfrak{q}$ denote the composition of the canonical isomorphism $A \simeq H^2(F_\mathfrak{q}, A(1))$ of the local class field theory with the morphism

$\tau_{\geqslant 2}C^\bullet(G_{F_\mathfrak{q}},A(1)) \to K^\bullet(A_\mathfrak{q}(1))$. Then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\tau_{\geqslant 2}C^\bullet(G_{F,S},A(1)) & \xrightarrow{(\mathrm{res}_\mathfrak{q})_\mathfrak{q}} & \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q}\in S}\tau_{\geqslant 2}K^\bullet(A_\mathfrak{q}(1)) & \xrightarrow{\ j\ } & E_3^\bullet \\
 & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle (i_\mathfrak{q})_\mathfrak{q}} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle i_S} \\
 & & \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q}\in S}A[-2] & \xrightarrow{\ \Sigma\ } & A[-2],
\end{array}$$

where $i_S = j\circ i_{\mathfrak{q}_0}$ for some fixed $\mathfrak{q}_0 \in S$ and Σ denotes the summation over $\mathfrak{q}\in S$. By global class field theory, i_S is a quasi-isomorphism and, because $A[-2]$ is concentrated in degree 2, there exists a homotopy inverse r_S of i_S which is unique up to homotopy.

ii) We only need to show that the condition **P3)** holds in our case. Note that $\cup_A = \cup_c$, $\cup_B = 0$ and $\cup_C = \cup_K$. From the definition of $\cup_K$ it follows immediately that

$$\cup_K \circ (f_1 \otimes f_2) = f_3 \circ \cup_c. \tag{29}$$

If $\mathfrak{q}\in S_p$ (resp. if $\mathfrak{q}\in\Sigma$), from the orthogonality of $\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q}^\perp$ and $\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q}$ (resp. from the orthogonality of $M_\mathfrak{q}$ and $M_\mathfrak{q}^\perp$) it follows that $\cup_K\circ(g_\mathfrak{q}\otimes g_\mathfrak{q}^\perp)=0$. If $\mathfrak{q}\in\Sigma_p\setminus\Sigma$, we have $\cup_c\circ(g_\mathfrak{q}\otimes g_\mathfrak{q}^\perp)=0$ by [34], Lemma 7.6.4. Since $g_3\circ\cup_B=0$, this gives

$$\cup_C\circ(g_1\otimes g_2) = g_3\circ\cup_B = 0. \tag{30}$$

The equations (29) and (30) show that **P3)** holds with $h_f = h_g = 0$. We define $\cup_{a,r_S}$ as the composition of the cup product constructed in Proposition 1.2.2 with r_S. The rest of the theorem follows from Proposition 1.2.2. □

3.1.6. Remark. Consider the case $\Sigma=\emptyset$. The cup product $\cup_{V,\mathbf{D}}$ induces a map

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma(V^*(1),\mathbf{D}^\perp) \to \mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_A(\mathbf{R}\Gamma(V,\mathbf{D}),A)[-3], \tag{31}$$

which, in general, is not an isomorphism. For each $\mathfrak{q}\in S$ define

$$\widetilde{U}_\mathfrak{q}^\bullet(V,\mathbf{D}) = \mathrm{cone}\left(U_\mathfrak{q}^\bullet(V,\mathbf{D}) \xrightarrow{g_\mathfrak{q}} K^\bullet(V_\mathfrak{q})\right)[-1]$$

and $\widetilde{\mathbf{R}\Gamma}(F_\mathfrak{q},V,\mathbf{D}) = \left[\widetilde{U}_\mathfrak{q}^\bullet(V,\mathbf{D})\right]$. Then the cup product $K^\bullet(V_\mathfrak{q})\otimes K^\bullet(V_\mathfrak{q}^*(1)) \to A[-2]$ induces a pairing

$$\widetilde{U}_\mathfrak{q}^\bullet(V,\mathbf{D})\otimes U_\mathfrak{q}^\bullet(V^*(1),\mathbf{D}^\perp)\to A[-2]$$

which gives rise to a morphism

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma(F_\mathfrak{q},V^*(1),\mathbf{D}^\perp) \to \mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_A(\widetilde{\mathbf{R}\Gamma}(F_\mathfrak{q},V,\mathbf{D}),A)[-2]. \tag{32}$$

Repeating the arguments of [34] (see the proofs of Proposition 6.3.3 and Theorem 6.3.4 of *op. cit.*) it is easy to show that if $\mathbf{R}\Gamma(F_\mathfrak{q},V,\mathbf{D})$ and $\mathbf{R}\Gamma(F_\mathfrak{q},V^*(1),\mathbf{D}^\perp)$ are perfect and (32) holds for all $\mathfrak{q}\in S$, then (31) is an isomorphism. First assume that $\mathfrak{q}\in S_p$. The complexes $\mathbf{R}\Gamma(F_\mathfrak{q},\mathbf{D})$ and $\mathbf{R}\Gamma(F_\mathfrak{q},\mathbf{D}^\perp)$ are perfect by Theorem 2.3.3. Consider the tautological exact sequence

$$0\to\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q}\to\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_\mathfrak{q})\to\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_\mathfrak{q}\to 0,$$

where $\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_\mathfrak{q}=\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_\mathfrak{q})/\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q}$. Applying the functor $\mathbf{R}\Gamma(F_\mathfrak{q},-)$ to this sequence, we obtain an exact sequence

$$0\to\mathbf{R}\Gamma(F_\mathfrak{q},\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q})\to\mathbf{R}\Gamma(F_\mathfrak{q},\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_\mathfrak{q}))\to\mathbf{R}\Gamma(F_\mathfrak{q},\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_\mathfrak{q})\to 0,$$

and therefore in this case $\widetilde{\mathbf{R}\Gamma}(F_\mathfrak{q},V,\mathbf{D})\simeq\mathbf{R}\Gamma(F_\mathfrak{q},\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_\mathfrak{q})$. From the definition of $\mathbf{D}^\perp_\mathfrak{q}$ we have $\mathbf{D}^\perp_\mathfrak{q}\simeq\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}^*_\mathfrak{q}(\chi)$. Using Theorem 2.3.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{R}\Gamma(F_\mathfrak{q},\mathbf{D}^\perp_v)&\simeq\mathbf{R}\Gamma(F_\mathfrak{q},\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}^*_\mathfrak{q}(\chi))\simeq\\&\simeq\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_A(\mathbf{R}\Gamma(F_\mathfrak{q},\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_\mathfrak{q}),A)[-2]\simeq\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_A(\widetilde{\mathbf{R}\Gamma}(F_v,V,\mathbf{D}),A)[-2],\end{aligned}$$

and therefore (32) holds automatically for all $\mathfrak{q}\in S_p$.

If $\mathfrak{q}\in\Sigma_p$, the complexes $\mathbf{R}\Gamma(F_\mathfrak{q},V,\mathbf{D})$ and $\mathbf{R}\Gamma(F_\mathfrak{q},V^*(1),\mathbf{D}^\perp)$ are not perfect in general and, in addition, (32) does not always hold. However, (32) holds in many important cases, in particular if A is a finite extension of $\mathbf{Q}_p$.

3.1.7. Equip the complexes $A_i^\bullet$, $B_i^\bullet$ and $C_i^\bullet$ with the transpositions given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathscr{T}_{A_1} = \mathscr{T}_{V,c},\\
&\mathscr{T}_{B_1} = \left(\underset{\mathfrak{q}\in S_p}{\oplus} \mathrm{id}_{C_{\varphi,\gamma}(\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q})}\right) \oplus \left(\underset{\mathfrak{q}\in\Sigma_p\setminus\Sigma}{\oplus} \mathrm{id}_{C_{\mathrm{ur}}(V_\mathfrak{q})}\right) \oplus \left(\underset{\mathfrak{q}\in\Sigma}{\oplus} \mathscr{T}_{M_\mathfrak{q},c}\right),\\
&\mathscr{T}_{C_1} = \left(\underset{\mathfrak{q}\in S_p}{\oplus} \mathscr{T}_{K(V_\mathfrak{q})}\right) \oplus \left(\underset{\mathfrak{q}\in\Sigma_p}{\oplus} \mathscr{T}_{V_\mathfrak{q},c}\right),\\
&\mathscr{T}_{A_2} = \mathscr{T}_{V^*(1),c},\\
&\mathscr{T}_{B_2} = \left(\underset{\mathfrak{q}\in S_p}{\oplus} \mathrm{id}_{C_{\varphi,\gamma}(\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q}^\perp)}\right) \oplus \left(\underset{\mathfrak{q}\in\Sigma_p\setminus\Sigma}{\oplus} \mathrm{id}_{C_{\mathrm{ur}}(V_\mathfrak{q}^*(1))}\right) \oplus \left(\underset{\mathfrak{q}\in\Sigma}{\oplus} \mathscr{T}_{M_\mathfrak{q}^\perp,c}\right),\\
&\mathscr{T}_{C_2} = \left(\underset{\mathfrak{q}\in S_p}{\oplus} \mathscr{T}_{K(V_\mathfrak{q}^*(1))}\right) \oplus \left(\underset{\mathfrak{q}\in\Sigma_p}{\oplus} \mathscr{T}_{V_\mathfrak{q}^*(1),c}\right),\\
&\mathscr{T}_{A_3} = \mathscr{T}_{A(1),c},\\
&\mathscr{T}_{B_3} = \mathrm{id},\\
&\mathscr{T}_{C_3} = \left(\underset{\mathfrak{q}\in S_p}{\oplus} \mathscr{T}_{K(A(1)_\mathfrak{q})}\right) \oplus \left(\underset{\mathfrak{q}\in\Sigma_p}{\oplus} \mathscr{T}_{A(1)_\mathfrak{q},c}\right).
\end{aligned}
\tag{33}
$$

Theorem 3.1.8. *i) The data (33) satisfy the conditions* **T1-7)** *of Section 1.2.*
ii) We have a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{R}\Gamma(V,\mathbf{D},M)\otimes^{\mathbf{L}}_{A}\mathbf{R}\Gamma(V^*(1),\mathbf{D}^\perp,M^\perp) & \xrightarrow{\cup_{V,\mathbf{D}}} & A[-3]\\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle s_{12}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle =}\\
\mathbf{R}\Gamma(V^*(1),\mathbf{D}^\perp,M^\perp)\otimes^{\mathbf{L}}_{A}\mathbf{R}\Gamma(V,\mathbf{D},M) & \xrightarrow{\cup_{V^*(1),\mathbf{D}^\perp}} & A[-3].
\end{array}
$$

Proof. i) We check the conditions **T3-7)** taking $\cup'_A = \cup_c$, $\cup'_B = 0$ and $\cup'_C = \cup_K$. From (29) and (30) it follows that **T3)** holds if we take $h'_f = h'_g = 0$. To check the condition **T4)** we remark that, by Proposition 2.5.4,i) we have $f_i \circ \mathscr{T}_A = \mathscr{T}_C \circ f_i$ and we can take $U_i = 0$. The existence of a homotopy V_i follows from Proposition 2.5.4 ii) and [34], Proposition 7.7.3. Note that again we can set $V_i = 0$.

We prove the existence of homotopies t_A, t_B and t_C satisfying **T5)**. From the commutativity of the diagram (16), it follows that $\cup_c \circ s_{12} \circ (\mathscr{T}_A \otimes \mathscr{T}_A) = \mathscr{T}_A \circ \cup_c$ and we can take $t_A = 0$. Since $\cup'_B = \cup_B = 0$, we can take $t_B = 0$. We construct t_C as a system of homotopies $(t_{C,\mathfrak{q}})_{\mathfrak{q}\in S}$ such that $t_{C,\mathfrak{q}} : \cup_c \circ s_{12} \circ (\mathscr{T}_{V_\mathfrak{q},c} \otimes \mathscr{T}_{V(1)_\mathfrak{q},c}) \rightsquigarrow \mathscr{T}_{A(1)_\mathfrak{q},c} \circ \cup_c$ for $\mathfrak{q} \in \Sigma_p$ and $t_{C,\mathfrak{q}} : \cup_K \circ s_{12} \circ (\mathscr{T}_{K(V_\mathfrak{q})} \otimes \mathscr{T}_{K(V(1)_\mathfrak{q})}) \rightsquigarrow \mathscr{T}_{K(A(1)_\mathfrak{q})} \circ \cup_K$ for $\mathfrak{q} \in S_p$. As before, from (16) it follows that

for $\mathfrak{q} \in \Sigma_p$ one can take $t_{C,\mathfrak{q}} = 0$. If $\mathfrak{q} \in S_p$, by Proposition 1.1.7 we can set

$$t_{C,\mathfrak{q}}((x_{n-1},x_n)\otimes(y_{m-1}\otimes y_m)) = (-1)^n(\mathscr{T}_{A(1)_\mathfrak{q},c}(x_{n-1}\cup_c y_{m-1}),0) \tag{34}$$

for $(x_{n-1},x_n)\in K^n(V_\mathfrak{q})$ and $(y_{m-1},y_m)\in K^m(V^*(1)_\mathfrak{q})$ (see (8)). This proves **T5)**. From (34) it follows that $t_C\circ(f_1\otimes f_2)=0$ and it is easy to see that **T6)** and **T7)** hold if we take $H_f = H_g = 0$.

ii) For each Galois module X, we denote by $a_X : \mathrm{id} \rightsquigarrow \mathscr{T}_{X,c}$ the homotopy (17). Recall that we can take a_X such that $a_X^0 = a_X^1 = 0$. Consider the following homotopies

$$\begin{aligned}
k_{A_1} &= a_V : \mathrm{id} \rightsquigarrow \mathscr{T}_{A_1^\bullet}, && \text{on } A_1^\bullet,\\
k_{B_1} &= \left(\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q}\in S_p\cup\Sigma_p\setminus\Sigma} 0_{U_\mathfrak{q}(V,\mathbf{D},M)}\right)\oplus\left(\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q}\in\Sigma} a_{M_\mathfrak{q}}\right) : \mathrm{id}\rightsquigarrow\mathscr{F}_{B_1} && \text{on } B_1^\bullet,\\
k_{C_1} &= \left(\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q}\in S_p} a_{K(V_\mathfrak{q})}\right)\oplus\left(\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q}\in\Sigma_p} a_{V_\mathfrak{q}}\right) : \mathrm{id}\rightsquigarrow\mathscr{T}_{C_1^\bullet}, && \text{on } C_1^\bullet.
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

We will denote by k_{A_2}, k_{B_2} k_{C_2} the homotopies on $A_2^\bullet$, $B_2^\bullet$ and $C_2^\bullet$ defined by the analogous formulas. From Proposition 2.5.4, ii) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
f\circ k_{A_1} &= k_{C_1}\circ f, \quad f^\perp\circ k_{A_2} = k_{C_2}\circ f^\perp,\\
g\circ k_{B_1} &= k_{C_1}\circ g, \quad g^\perp\circ k_{B_2} = k_{C_2}\circ g^\perp.
\end{aligned}$$

By (4), these data induce transpositions $\mathscr{T}_V^{\mathrm{sel}}$ and $\mathscr{T}_{V^*(1)}^{\mathrm{sel}}$ on $S^\bullet(V,\mathbf{D},M)$ and $S^\bullet(V^*(1),\mathbf{D}^\perp,M^\perp)$, and the formula (5) of Subsection 1.1.2 defines homotopies $k_V^{\mathrm{sel}} : \mathrm{id}\rightsquigarrow\mathscr{T}_V^{\mathrm{sel}}$ and $k_{V^*(1)}^{\mathrm{sel}} : \mathrm{id}\rightsquigarrow\mathscr{T}_{V^*(1)}^{\mathrm{sel}}$. By Proposition 1.2.4, the following diagram commutes up to homotopy:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
S^\bullet(V,\mathbf{D},M)\otimes_A S^\bullet(V^*(1),\mathbf{D}^\perp,M^\perp) & \xrightarrow{\cup_{a,r_S}} & A[-3]\\
\Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle s_{12}\circ(\mathscr{T}_V^{\mathrm{sel}}\otimes\mathscr{T}_{V^*(1)}^{\mathrm{sel}})} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle =}\\
S^\bullet(V^*(1),\mathbf{D}^\perp,M^\perp)\otimes_A S^\bullet(V,\mathbf{D},M) & \xrightarrow{\cup_{1-a,r_S}} & A[-3].
\end{array}$$

Now the theorem follows from the fact that the map $(k_V^{\mathrm{sel}}\otimes k_{V^*(1)}^{\mathrm{sel}})_1$, given by (2), furnishes a homotopy between id and $\mathscr{T}_V^{\mathrm{sel}}\otimes\mathscr{T}_{V^*(1)}^{\mathrm{sel}}$. □

3.2. *p*-adic height pairings.

3.2.1. We keep notation and conventions of the previous subsection. Let $F^{\mathrm{cyc}} = \overset{\infty}{\underset{n=1}{\cup}} F(\zeta_{p^n})$ denote the cyclotomic p-extension of F. The Galois group $\Gamma_F = \mathrm{Gal}(F^{\mathrm{cyc}}/F)$ decomposes into the direct sum $\Gamma_F = \Delta_F\times\Gamma_F^0$ of the group $\Delta_F = \mathrm{Gal}(F(\zeta_p)/F)$ and a p-procyclic group Γ_F^0. We denote by χ :

$\Gamma_F \to \mathbf{Z}_p^*$ the cyclotomic character and by $\chi_{\mathfrak{q}}$ the restriction of χ on $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{q}}$, $\mathfrak{q} \in S$. As in Section 2.6, we equip the group algebra $A[\Gamma_F^0]$ with the involution $\iota : A[\Gamma_F^0] \to A[\Gamma_F^0]$ such that $\iota(\gamma) = \gamma^{-1}$, $\gamma \in \Gamma_F^0$. Fix a generator γ_F of Γ_F^0.

Set $\widetilde{A}_F^{\iota} = A[\Gamma_F^0]^{\iota}/(J_F^2)$, where J_F is the augmentation ideal of $A[\Gamma_F^0]$. We have an exact sequence

$$0 \to A \xrightarrow{\theta_F} \widetilde{A}_F^{\iota} \to A \to 0, \tag{36}$$

where $\theta_F(a) = a\widetilde{X}$, and $\widetilde{X} = \log^{-1}(\chi(\gamma_F))(\gamma - 1)$ does not depend on the choice of $\gamma_F \in \Gamma_F^0$. For each p-adic representation V with coefficients in A, (36) induces an exact sequence

$$0 \to V \to \widetilde{V}_F \to V \to 0, \tag{37}$$

where $\widetilde{V}_F = \widetilde{A}_F^{\iota} \otimes_A V$. As in Section 2.6, passing to continuous Galois cohomology, we obtain a distinguished triangle

$$C^\bullet(G_{F,S}, V) \to C^\bullet(G_{F,S}, \widetilde{V}_F) \to C^\bullet(G_{F,S}, V) \xrightarrow{\beta_{V,c}} C^\bullet(G_{F,S}, V)[1].$$

For each $\mathfrak{q} \in S$, the inclusion $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{q}}^0 \hookrightarrow \Gamma_F^0$ induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & V_{\mathfrak{q}} & \xrightarrow{\theta_{\mathfrak{q}}} & \widetilde{V}_{F_{\mathfrak{q}}} & \longrightarrow & V_{\mathfrak{q}} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow{=} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{=} & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & V_{\mathfrak{q}} & \xrightarrow{\theta_F} & (\widetilde{V}_F)_{\mathfrak{q}} & \longrightarrow & V_{\mathfrak{q}} & \longrightarrow & 0,
\end{array}$$

where the vertical middle arrow is an isomorphism by the five lemma. Taking into account Proposition 2.6.2, we see that the exact sequence (37) induces a distinguished triangle

$$C^\bullet(G_{F_{\mathfrak{q}}}, V) \to C^\bullet(G_{F_{\mathfrak{q}}}, \widetilde{V}_F) \to C^\bullet(G_{F_{\mathfrak{q}}}, V) \xrightarrow{\beta_{V_{\mathfrak{q}},c}} C^\bullet(G_{F_{\mathfrak{q}}}, V)[1].$$

where $\beta_{V_{\mathfrak{q}},c}(x) = -\log \chi_{\mathfrak{q}} \cup x$.

Let $\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ be a $(\varphi, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{q}})$-submodule of $\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_{\mathfrak{q}})$ and let $\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_{F,\mathfrak{q}} = \widetilde{A}_F^{\iota} \otimes_A \mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}}$. As in Section 2.6, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}} \to \widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_{F,\mathfrak{q}} \to \mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}} \to 0 \tag{38}$$

which sits in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}} & \xrightarrow{\theta_{\mathfrak{q}}} & \widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_{\mathfrak{q}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow{=} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{=} & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}} & \xrightarrow{\theta_F} & \widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_{F,\mathfrak{q}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}} & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array}$$

Taking into account Proposition 2.6.4, we obtain that (38) induces the distingushed triangle

$$C^{\bullet}_{\varphi,\gamma_{\mathfrak{q}}}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}}) \to C^{\bullet}_{\varphi,\gamma_{\mathfrak{q}}}(\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_{F,\mathfrak{q}}) \to C^{\bullet}_{\varphi,\gamma_{\mathfrak{q}}}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}}) \xrightarrow{\beta_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}}}} C^{\bullet}_{\varphi,\gamma_{\mathfrak{q}}}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}})[1],$$

where $\beta_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}}}(x) = -(0, \log\chi_{\mathfrak{q}}(\gamma_{\mathfrak{q}})) \cup x$. Finally, replacing in the exact sequence (23) $\widetilde{V}$ by $\widetilde{V}_F$, and taking into account Proposition 2.6.5 we obtain the distinguished triangle

$$K^{\bullet}(V_{\mathfrak{q}}) \to K^{\bullet}((\widetilde{V}_F)_{\mathfrak{q}}) \to K^{\bullet}(V_{\mathfrak{q}}) \xrightarrow{\beta_{K(V_{\mathfrak{q}})}} K^{\bullet}(V_{\mathfrak{q}})[1],$$

where $\beta_{K(V_{\mathfrak{q}})}(x) = -(0, \log\chi_{\mathfrak{q}}) \cup x$.

If $\mathfrak{q} \in \Sigma_p$, we construct the Bockstein map for $U^{\bullet}_{\mathfrak{q}}(V, \mathbf{D}, M)$ following [34], Section 11.2.4. Namely, if $\mathfrak{q} \in \Sigma$, then $U^{\bullet}_{\mathfrak{q}}(V, \mathbf{D}, M) = C^{\bullet}(G_{F_{\mathfrak{q}}}, M_{\mathfrak{q}})$ and the exact sequence

$$0 \to M_{\mathfrak{q}} \to \widetilde{M}_{F,\mathfrak{q}} \to M_{\mathfrak{q}} \to 0 \tag{39}$$

gives rise to a map $\beta_{M_{\mathfrak{q}},c} : C^{\bullet}(G_{F_{\mathfrak{q}}}, M_{\mathfrak{q}}) \to C^{\bullet}(G_{F_{\mathfrak{q}}}, M_{\mathfrak{q}})$. If $\mathfrak{q} \in \Sigma_p \setminus \Sigma$, then $(\widetilde{V}_F)^{I_{\mathfrak{q}}} = V^{I_{\mathfrak{q}}} \otimes \widetilde{A}^{\iota}_F$ and we denote by $s : V^{I_{\mathfrak{q}}} \to (\widetilde{V}_F)^{I_{\mathfrak{q}}}$ the section given by $s(x) = x \otimes 1$. There exists a distingushed triangle

$$C^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{ur}}(V_{\mathfrak{q}}) \to C^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{ur}}((\widetilde{V}_F)_{\mathfrak{q}}) \to C^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{ur}}(V_{\mathfrak{q}}) \xrightarrow{\beta_{V_{\mathfrak{q}},\mathrm{ur}}} C^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{ur}}(V_{\mathfrak{q}})[1],$$

where $\beta_{V_{\mathfrak{q}},\mathrm{ur}} : C^0_{\mathrm{ur}}(V_{\mathfrak{q}}) \to C^1_{\mathrm{ur}}(V_{\mathfrak{q}})$ is given by

$$\beta^F_{V_{\mathfrak{q}},\mathrm{ur}}(x) = \frac{1}{\widetilde{X}}(ds - sd)(x) = -\log\chi_{\mathfrak{q}}(\mathrm{Fr}_{\mathfrak{q}})x.$$

Proposition 3.2.2. *In addition to (33), equip the complexes $A_i^\bullet$, $B_i^\bullet$ and $C_i^\bullet$ $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant 3)$ with the Bockstein maps given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_{A_1} &= \beta_{V,c},\\
\beta_{B_1} &= \left(\underset{\mathfrak{q}\in S_p}{\oplus}\beta_{\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q}}\right)\oplus\left(\underset{\mathfrak{q}\in\Sigma_p}{\oplus}\beta_{V_\mathfrak{q},\mathrm{ur}}\right),\\
\beta_{C_1} &= \left(\underset{\mathfrak{q}\in S_p}{\oplus}\beta_{K(V_\mathfrak{q})}\right)\oplus\left(\underset{\mathfrak{q}\in\Sigma_p}{\oplus}\beta_{V_\mathfrak{q},c}\right),\\
\beta_{A_2} &= \beta_{V^*(1),c},\\
\beta_{B_2} &= \left(\underset{\mathfrak{q}\in S_p}{\oplus}\beta_{\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q}^\perp}\right)\oplus\left(\underset{\mathfrak{q}\in\Sigma_p}{\oplus}\beta_{V_\mathfrak{q}^*(1),\mathrm{ur}}\right),\\
\beta_{C_2} &= \left(\underset{\mathfrak{q}\in S_p}{\oplus}\beta_{K(V_\mathfrak{q}^*(1))}\right)\oplus\left(\underset{\mathfrak{q}\in\Sigma_p}{\oplus}\beta_{V_\mathfrak{q}^*(1),c}\right),\\
\beta_{A_3} &= \beta_{A(1),c},\\
\beta_{B_3} &= 0,\\
\beta_{C_3} &= \left(\underset{\mathfrak{q}\in S_p}{\oplus}\beta_{K(A(1)_\mathfrak{q})}\right)\oplus\left(\underset{\mathfrak{q}\in\Sigma_p}{\oplus}\beta_{A(1)_\mathfrak{q},c}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Then these data satisfy the conditions **B1-5)** *of Section 1.2.*

Proof. We check **B2-5)** for our Bockstein maps. For each $\mathfrak{q}\in\Sigma_p$, Nekovář constructed homotopies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\nu_{V,\mathfrak{q}} : g_\mathfrak{q}\circ\beta_{V_\mathfrak{q},\mathrm{ur}} \rightsquigarrow \beta_{V_\mathfrak{q},c}\circ g_\mathfrak{q},\\
&\nu_{V^*(1),\mathfrak{q}} : g_\mathfrak{q}^\perp\circ\beta_{V_\mathfrak{q}^*(1),\mathrm{ur}} \rightsquigarrow \beta_{V_\mathfrak{q}^*(1),c}\circ g_\mathfrak{q}^\perp.
\end{aligned}$$

From Proposition 2.6.5, ii) it follows that for all $\mathfrak{q}\in S_p$

$$\begin{aligned}
g_\mathfrak{q}\circ\beta_{\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q}} &= \beta_{K(V_\mathfrak{q})}\circ g_\mathfrak{q},\\
g_\mathfrak{q}^\perp\circ\beta_{\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q}} &= \beta_{K(V_\mathfrak{q}^*(1))}\circ g_\mathfrak{q}^\perp.
\end{aligned}$$

Set $\nu_{V,\mathfrak{q}} = \nu_{V^*(1),\mathfrak{q}} = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{q}\in S_p$. Then the condition **B2)** holds for $u_i = 0$ and $\nu_i = (\nu_{i,\mathfrak{q}})_{\mathfrak{q}\in S}$.

In **B3)**, we can set $h_B = 0$ because $\cup_B = 0$. The existence of a homotopy h_A between $\cup_A[1]\circ(\mathrm{id}\otimes\beta_{A,2})$ and $\cup_A[1]\circ(\beta_{A,1}\otimes\mathrm{id})$ is proved in [34], Section 11.2.6 and the same method allows to construct h_C. Namely, we construct a system $h_C = (h_{C,\mathfrak{q}})_{\mathfrak{q}\in S}$ of homotopies such that $h_{C,\mathfrak{q}} : \cup_c[1]\circ(\mathrm{id}\otimes\beta_{V_\mathfrak{q}^*(1),c}) \rightsquigarrow \cup_c[1]\circ(\beta_{V_\mathfrak{q},c}\otimes\mathrm{id})$ for $\mathfrak{q}\in\Sigma_p$ and $h_{C,\mathfrak{q}} : \cup_K[1]\circ(\mathrm{id}\otimes\beta_{K(V_\mathfrak{q}^*(1))}) \rightsquigarrow \cup_K[1]\circ(\beta_{K(V_\mathfrak{q})}\otimes\mathrm{id})$ for $\mathfrak{q}\in S_p$. For $\mathfrak{q}\in\Sigma_p$, the construction of

$h_{C,\mathfrak{q}}$ is the same as those of h_A. Now, let $\mathfrak{q} \in S_p$. By Proposition 2.6.5, one has $\beta_{K(V_\mathfrak{q})}(x) = -(0, \log \chi_\mathfrak{q}) \cup_K x$. Consider the following diagram, where $z_\mathfrak{q} = (0, \log \chi_\mathfrak{q})$

(40)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
K^\bullet(V_\mathfrak{q}) \otimes_A K^\bullet(V_\mathfrak{q}^*(1)) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} & K^\bullet(V_\mathfrak{q}) \otimes_A K^\bullet(V_\mathfrak{q}^*(1)) \\
\downarrow = & & \downarrow = \\
K^\bullet(V_\mathfrak{q}) \otimes_A A \otimes_A K^\bullet(V_\mathfrak{q}^*(1)) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} & A \otimes_A K^\bullet(V_\mathfrak{q}) \otimes_A K^\bullet(V_\mathfrak{q}^*(1)) \\
\downarrow \mathrm{id}\otimes(-z_\mathfrak{q})\otimes\mathrm{id} & & \downarrow (-z_\mathfrak{q})\otimes\mathrm{id}\otimes\mathrm{id} \\
K^\bullet(V_\mathfrak{q}) \otimes_A K^\bullet(A)[1] \otimes_A K^\bullet(V_\mathfrak{q}^*(1)) & \xrightarrow{s_{12}\otimes\mathrm{id}} & K^\bullet(A)[1] \otimes_A K^\bullet(V_\mathfrak{q}) \otimes_A K^\bullet(V_\mathfrak{q}^*(1)) \\
\downarrow \cup_K\otimes\mathrm{id} & & \downarrow \cup_K\otimes\mathrm{id} \\
K^\bullet(V_\mathfrak{q})[1] \otimes_A K^\bullet(V_\mathfrak{q}^*(1)) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} & K^\bullet(V_\mathfrak{q})[1] \otimes_A K^\bullet(V_\mathfrak{q}^*(1)) \\
\downarrow \cup_K & & \downarrow \cup_K \\
K^\bullet(V_\mathfrak{q} \otimes V_\mathfrak{q}^*(1))[1] & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} & K^\bullet(V_\mathfrak{q} \otimes V_\mathfrak{q}^*(1))[1].
\end{array}$$

The first, second and fourth squares of this diagram are commutative. From Proposition 1.1.7 (see also (18)) it follows that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
K^\bullet(V_\mathfrak{q}) \otimes K^\bullet(A)[1] & \xrightarrow{s_{12}\circ(\mathscr{T}_K\otimes\mathscr{T}_K)} & K^\bullet(A)[1] \otimes K^\bullet(V_\mathfrak{q}) \\
\downarrow \cup_K & & \downarrow \cup_K \\
K^\bullet(V_\mathfrak{q})[1] & \xrightarrow{\mathscr{T}_K} & K^\bullet(V_\mathfrak{q})[1]
\end{array}$$

is commutative up to some homotopy $k_1 : \mathscr{T}_K \circ \cup_K \rightsquigarrow \cup_K \circ s_{12} \circ (\mathscr{T}_K \otimes \mathscr{T}_K)$. Since $\mathscr{T}_K^2 = \mathrm{id}$, we have a homotopy

$$\mathscr{T}_K \circ k_1 : \cup_K \rightsquigarrow \mathscr{T}_K \circ \cup_K \circ s_{12} \circ (\mathscr{T}_K \otimes \mathscr{T}_K).$$

By [34], Section 3.4.5.5 (see also Section 2.5.2), for any topological $G_{F_\mathfrak{q}}$-module M there exists a functorial homotopy $a : \mathrm{id} \rightsquigarrow \mathscr{T}_c$. By Proposition 2.5.4, a induces a homotopy between $\mathrm{id} : K^\bullet(V_\mathfrak{q}) \to K^\bullet(V_\mathfrak{q})$ and $\mathscr{T}_K : K^\bullet(V_\mathfrak{q}) \to K^\bullet(V_\mathfrak{q})$ which we denote by a_K. Let $(a_K \otimes a_K)_1 : \mathrm{id} \rightsquigarrow \mathscr{T}_K \otimes \mathscr{T}_K$ denote the homotopy between the maps id and $\mathscr{T}_K \otimes \mathscr{T}_K : K^\bullet(V_\mathfrak{q}) \otimes K^\bullet(\mathbf{Q}_p)[1] \to K^\bullet(V_\mathfrak{q}) \otimes K^\bullet(\mathbf{Q}_p)[1]$ given by (2). Then

$$\begin{aligned}
d(a_K \circ \mathscr{T}_K \circ \cup_K \circ s_{12} \circ (\mathscr{T}_K \otimes \mathscr{T}_K)) + (a_K \circ \mathscr{T}_K \circ \cup_K \circ s_{12} \circ (\mathscr{T}_K \otimes \mathscr{T}_K))\, d = \\
= (\mathscr{T}_K - \mathrm{id}) \circ \cup_K \circ s_{12} \circ (\mathscr{T}_K \otimes \mathscr{T}_K),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$d(\cup_K \circ s_{12} \circ (a_K \otimes a_K)_1) + (\cup_K \circ s_{12} \circ (a_K \otimes a_K)_1) = \\ = \cup_K \circ s_{12} \circ (\mathscr{T}_K \otimes \mathscr{T}_K - \mathrm{id}).$$

Therefore the formula

$$k_2 = a_K \circ \mathscr{T}_K \circ \cup_K \circ s_{12} \circ (\mathscr{T}_K \otimes \mathscr{T}_K) + \cup_K \circ s_{12} \circ (a_K \otimes a_K)_1 \tag{41}$$

defines a homotopy

$$k_2 : \cup_K \circ s_{12} \rightsquigarrow \mathscr{T}_K \circ \cup_K \circ s_{12} \circ (\mathscr{T}_K \otimes \mathscr{T}_K).$$

Then $k_{C,\mathfrak{q}} = \mathscr{F}_K \circ k_1 - k_2$ defines a homotopy

$$k_{C,\mathfrak{q}} : \cup_K \rightsquigarrow \cup_K \circ s_{12}$$

and we proved that the third square of the diagram (40) commutes up to a homotopy. We define the homotopy

$$h_{C,\mathfrak{q}} : \cup_K[1] \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes \beta_{K(V_\mathfrak{q}^*(1)),c}) \rightsquigarrow \cup_K[1] \circ (\beta_{K(V_\mathfrak{q})} \otimes \mathrm{id})$$

by

$$h_{C,\mathfrak{q}} = \cup_K \circ (k_{C,\mathfrak{q}} \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes (-z_\mathfrak{q}) \otimes \mathrm{id}). \tag{42}$$

This proves **B3)**.

Since $u_1 = u_2 = h_f = 0$, the condition **B4)** reads

$$dK_f - K_f d = -h_C \circ (f_1 \otimes f_2) + f_3[1] \circ h_A \tag{43}$$

for some second order homotopy K_f. It is proved in [34], Section 11.2.6, that if $\mathfrak{q} \in \Sigma_p$, then

$$h_{C,\mathfrak{q}} \circ (f_1 \otimes f_2) = \mathrm{res}_\mathfrak{q} \circ h_A. \tag{44}$$

Assume that $\mathfrak{q} \in S_p$. Recall (see [34], Section 11.2.6) that the homotopy h_A is given by

$$h_A = \cup_c \circ (k_A \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes (-z) \otimes \mathrm{id}), \tag{45}$$

where $z = \log \chi$ and

$$k_A = -a \circ (\cup_c \circ s_{12} \circ (\mathscr{T}_c \otimes \mathscr{T}_c)) - (\mathscr{T}_c \circ \cup_c \circ s_{12}) \circ (a \otimes a)_1. \tag{46}$$

From (8), it follows that for all $x \in C^n(G_{F,S}, V)$ and $y \in C^m(G_{F,S}, V^*(1))$ we have

$$(k_1 \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes (-z_\mathfrak{q}) \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ (f_1 \otimes f_2)(x \otimes y) = \\ = (k_1 \otimes \mathrm{id})((0, -\log \chi_\mathfrak{q}) \otimes (0, x_\mathfrak{q}) \otimes (0, y_\mathfrak{q})) = \\ = k_1((0, -\log \chi_\mathfrak{q}) \otimes (0, x_\mathfrak{q})) \otimes (0, y_\mathfrak{q}) = 0, \tag{47}$$

where $x_\mathfrak{q} = \mathrm{res}_\mathfrak{q}(x)$, $y_\mathfrak{q} = \mathrm{res}_\mathfrak{q}(y)$. On the other hand, comparing (41) and (46) we see that

$$(48)\quad \begin{aligned}(k_2\otimes \mathrm{id})\circ(\mathrm{id}\otimes(-z_\mathfrak{q})\otimes\mathrm{id})\circ(f_1\otimes f_2)(x\otimes y) &= \\ = k_2((0,-\log\chi_\mathfrak{q})\otimes(0,x_\mathfrak{q}))\otimes(0,y_\mathfrak{q}) &= \\ = -(0,\mathrm{res}_\mathfrak{q}(k_A(-z\otimes x)))\otimes(0,y_\mathfrak{q}).&\end{aligned}$$

From (47), (48), (42) and (46) we obtain that

$$(49)\quad \begin{aligned}h_{C,\mathfrak{q}}\circ(f_1\otimes f_2)(x\otimes y) &= \\ = (0,\mathrm{res}_\mathfrak{q}(k_A(-z\otimes x)))\cup_K(0,y_\mathfrak{q}) &= \\ = (0,\mathrm{res}_\mathfrak{q}(k_A(-z\otimes x))\cup_c y) = (0,\mathrm{res}_\mathfrak{q}(h_A(x\otimes y))).&\end{aligned}$$

From (49) and (44) it follows that $h_C\circ(f_1\otimes f_2) = f_3[1]\circ h_A$ and therefore we can set $K_f = 0$ in (43). Thus, **B4)** is proved.

It remains to check **B5)**. Since $v_1 = v_2 = h_g = 0$, this condition reads

$$(50)\quad dK_g - K_g d = -h_C\circ(g_1\otimes g_2) + \cup_{C[1]}\circ(v_1\otimes g_2) - \cup_{C[1]}\circ(g_1\otimes v_2)$$

for some second order homotopy K_g. Write $K_g = (K_{g,\mathfrak{q}})_{\mathfrak{q}\in S}$. For $\mathfrak{q}\in\Sigma_p$, Nekovář proved that the $\mathfrak{q}$-component of the right hand side of (50) is equal to zero. For $\mathfrak{q}\in S_p$, we have $v_{1,\mathfrak{q}} = v_{2,\mathfrak{q}} = 0$ and $h_{C,v}\circ(g_1\otimes g_2) = 0$ because of orthogonality of $\mathbf{D}$ and $\mathbf{D}^\perp$, and again we can set $K_{g,\mathfrak{q}} = 0$. To sum up, the condition (50) holds for $K_g = 0$. The proposition is proved. □

3.2.3. The exact sequences (37), (38) and (39) give rise to a distinguished triangle

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma(V,\mathbf{D},M)\to\mathbf{R}\Gamma(\widetilde{V}_F,\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_F,\widetilde{M}_F)\to\mathbf{R}\Gamma(V,\mathbf{D},M)\xrightarrow{\delta_{V,\mathbf{D},M}}\mathbf{R}\Gamma(V,\mathbf{D},M)[1]$$

Definition. *The p-adic height pairing associated to the data* $(V,\mathbf{D},M)$ *is defined as the morphism*

$$\begin{aligned}h^{\mathrm{sel}}_{V,\mathbf{D},M} : \mathbf{R}\Gamma(V,\mathbf{D},M)\otimes^{\mathbf{L}}_A\mathbf{R}\Gamma(V^*(1),\mathbf{D}^\perp,M^\perp)&\xrightarrow{\delta_{V,\mathbf{D},M}}\\ \to\mathbf{R}\Gamma(V,\mathbf{D},M)[1]\otimes^{\mathbf{L}}_A\mathbf{R}\Gamma(V^*(1),\mathbf{D}^\perp,M^\perp)&\xrightarrow{\cup_{V,\mathbf{D},M}}A[-2],\end{aligned}$$

where $\cup_{V,\mathbf{D},M}$ *is the pairing (28).*

The height pairing $h^{\mathrm{sel}}_{V,\mathbf{D},M}$ induces a pairing

$$(51)\qquad h^{\mathrm{sel}}_{V,\mathbf{D},M,1} : H^1(V,\mathbf{D},M)\otimes_A H^1(V^*(1),\mathbf{D}^\perp,M^\perp)\to A.$$

Theorem 3.2.4. *The diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{R}\Gamma(V,\mathbf{D},M)\otimes^{\mathbf{L}}_{A}\mathbf{R}\Gamma(V^*(1),\mathbf{D}^{\perp},M^{\perp}) & \xrightarrow{h^{\mathrm{sel}}_{V,\mathbf{D},M}} & A[-2] \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle s_{12}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle =} \\
\mathbf{R}\Gamma(V^*(1),\mathbf{D}^{\perp},M^{\perp})\otimes^{\mathbf{L}}_{A}\mathbf{R}\Gamma(V,\mathbf{D},M) & \xrightarrow{h^{\mathrm{sel}}_{V^*(1),\mathbf{D}^{\perp},M^{\perp}}} & A[-2]
\end{array}$$

is commutative. In particular, the pairing $h^{\mathrm{sel}}_{V,\mathbf{D},1}$ is skew-symmetric.

Proof. From Propositions 1.2.6 and 3.2.2 it follows, that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
S^{\bullet}(V,\mathbf{D},M)\otimes_{A}S^{\bullet}(V^*(1),\mathbf{D}^{\perp},M^{\perp}) & \xrightarrow{h^{\mathrm{sel}}_{V,\mathbf{D},M}} & E_3 \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle s_{12}\circ(\mathscr{T}^{\mathrm{sel}}_{V}\otimes\mathscr{T}^{\mathrm{sel}}_{V^*(1)})} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle =} \\
S^{\bullet}(V^*(1),\mathbf{D}^{\perp},M^{\perp})\otimes_{A}S^{\bullet}(V,\mathbf{D},M) & \xrightarrow{h^{\mathrm{sel}}_{V^*(1),\mathbf{D}^{\perp},M^{\perp}}} & E_3
\end{array}$$

is commutative up to homotopy. Now the theorem follows from the fact, that $(\mathscr{T}^{\mathrm{sel}}_{V}\otimes\mathscr{T}^{\mathrm{sel}}_{V^*(1)})$ is homotopic to the identity map (see the proof of Theorem 3.1.8). □

4. SPLITTING SUBMODULES

4.1. Splitting submodules.

4.1.1. Let K be a finite extension of $\mathbf{Q}_p$, and let V be a potentially semistable representation of G_K with coefficients in a finite extension E of $\mathbf{Q}_p$. For each finite extension L/K we set $\mathbf{D}_{*/L}(V)=(\mathbf{B}_*\otimes V)^{G_L}$, where $*\in\{\mathrm{cris},\mathrm{st},\mathrm{dR}\}$ and write $\mathbf{D}_*(V)=\mathbf{D}_{*/K}(V)$ if $L=K$. We will use the same convention for the functors $\mathscr{D}_{*/L}$.

Fix a finite Galois extension L/K such that the restriction of V on G_L is semistable. Then $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}/L}(V)$ is a filtered $(\varphi,N,G_{L/K})$-module and $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}/L}(V)=\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}/L}(V)\otimes_{L_0}L$. A $(\varphi,N,G_{L/K})$-submodule of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}/L}(V)$ is a L_0-subspace D of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}/L}(V)$ stable under the action of φ, N and $G_{L/K}$.

Definition. *We say that a $(\varphi,N,G_{L/K})$-submodule D of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}/L}(V)$ is a splitting submodule if*

$$\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}/L}(V)=D_L\oplus\mathrm{Fil}^0\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}/L}(V),\qquad D_L=D\otimes_{L_0}L$$

as L-vector spaces.

In Subsections 4.1-4.2 we will always assume that V satisfies the following condition:

S) $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)^{\varphi=1}=\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V^*(1))^{\varphi=1}=0$.

If D is a splitting submodule, we denote by $\mathbf{D}$ the (φ,Γ_K)-submodule of $\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)$ associated to D by Theorem 2.2.3. The natural embedding $\mathbf{D}\to\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)$ induces a map $H^1(\mathbf{D})\to H^1(\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))\xrightarrow{\sim}H^1(K,V)$. Passing to duals, we obtain a map $H^1(K,V^*(1))\to H^1(\mathbf{D}^*(\chi))$.

Proposition 4.1.2. *Assume that V satisfies the condition* **S)**. *Let D be a splitting submodule. Then*

i) $H^1_f(K,V^*(1))\to H^1_f(\mathbf{D}^*(\chi))$ *is the zero map.*

ii) $\mathrm{Im}(H^1(\mathbf{D})\to H^1(K,V))=H^1_f(K,V)$ *and the map* $H^1_f(\mathbf{D})\to H^1_f(K,V)$ *is an isomorphism.*

iii) If, in addition, $\mathrm{Fil}^0(\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}/L}(V)/D)^{\varphi=1,N=0,G_{L/K}}=0$, *then* $H^1(\mathbf{D})=H^1_f(K,V)$.

Proof. i) By Proposition 2.8.2 we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1_{\mathrm{cris}}(V^*(1)) & \longrightarrow & H^1_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{D}^*(\chi)) \\ \big\downarrow{=} & & \big\downarrow{=} \\ H^1_f(K,V^*(1)) & \longrightarrow & H^1_f(\mathbf{D}^*(\chi)), \end{array} \tag{52}$$

where we set

$$H^1_{\mathrm{cris}}(V^*(1))=\mathrm{coker}\left(\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)\xrightarrow{(1-\varphi,\mathrm{pr})}\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)\oplus t_V(K)\right)$$

and

$$H^1_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{D}^*(\chi))=\mathrm{coker}\left(\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{D}^*(\chi))\xrightarrow{(1-\varphi,\mathrm{pr})}\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{D}^*(\chi)))\oplus t_{\mathbf{D}^*(\chi)}(K)\right)$$

to simplify notation.

Since $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V^*(1))^{\varphi=1}=0$, the map $1-\varphi:\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V^*(1))\to\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V^*(1))$ is an isomorphism and $H^1_{\mathrm{cris}}(V^*(1))=t_{V^*(1)}(K)$. On the other hand, all Hodge–Tate weights of $\mathbf{D}^*(\chi)$ are $\geqslant 0$ and $t_{\mathbf{D}^*(\chi)}(K)=0$. Hence $H^1_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{D}^*(\chi))=\mathrm{coker}(1-\varphi\mid\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{D}^*(\chi)))$ and the upper map in (52) is zero because it is induced by the canonical projection of $t_{V^*(1)}(K)$ on $t_{\mathbf{D}^*(\chi)}(K)$. This proves i).

Now we prove ii). Using i) together with the orthogonality property of H^1_f we obtain that the map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_E(H^1(K,V)/H^1_f(K,V),E)\to\mathrm{Hom}_E(H^1(\mathbf{D})/H^1_f(\mathbf{D}),E),$$

induced by $H^1(\mathbf{D}) \to H^1(K,V)$, is zero. This implies that the image of $H^1(\mathbf{D})$ is $H^1(K,V)$ is contained in $H^1_f(K,V)$. Finally one has a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{D}) & \longrightarrow & H^1_{\mathrm{cris}}(V) \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ H^1_f(\mathbf{D}) & \longrightarrow & H^1_f(K,V). \end{array}$$

From **S)** it follows that the top arrow can be identified with the natural map $t_{\mathbf{D}}(K) \to t_V(K)$ which is an isomorphism by the definition of a splitting submodule.

iii) Taking into account ii), we only need to prove that the natural map $H^1(\mathbf{D}) \to H^1(K,V)$ is injective. This follows from the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbf{D} \to \mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V) \to \mathbf{D}' \to 0, \qquad \mathbf{D}' = \mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)/\mathbf{D}$$

and the fact that $H^0(\mathbf{D}') = \mathrm{Fil}^0(\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}/L}(V)/D)^{\varphi=1,N=0,G_{L/K}} = 0$ (see Proposition 2.8.2, i)). □

4.2. The canonical splitting.

4.2.1. Let

$$y: \qquad 0 \to V^*(1) \to Y_y \to E \to 0$$

be an extension of E by $V^*(1)$.

Passing to (φ,Γ_K)-modules, we obtain an extension

$$0 \to \mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V^*(1)) \to \mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(Y_y) \to \mathscr{R}_{K,E} \to 0.$$

By duality, we have exact sequences

$$0 \to E(1) \to Y_y^*(1) \to V \to 0$$

and

$$0 \to \mathscr{R}_{K,E}(\chi) \to \mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(Y_y^*(1)) \to \mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V) \to 0.$$

We denote by $[y]$ the class of y in $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{E[G_K]}(E,V^*(1)) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(K,V^*(1))$. Assume that y is crystalline, i.e. that $[y] \in H^1_f(K,V^*(1))$. Let D be a splitting submodule of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}/L}(V)$. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc} y: & 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V^*(1)) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(Y_y) & \longrightarrow & \mathscr{R}_{K,E} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & \downarrow \mathrm{pr} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow = \\ \mathrm{pr}(y): & 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}^*(\chi) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}^*_y(\chi) & \longrightarrow & \mathscr{R}_{K,E} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where $\mathbf{D}_y$ is the inverse image of $\mathbf{D}$ in $\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(Y_y^*(1))$. The class of $\mathrm{pr}(y)$ in $H^1(\mathbf{D}^*(\chi))$ is the image of $[y]$ under the map

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathscr{R}_{K,E}, \mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V^*(1))) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathscr{R}_{K,E}, \mathbf{D}^*(\chi))$$

which coincides with the map

$$H^1(K, V^*(1)) = H^1(\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V^*(1))) \to H^1(\mathbf{D}^*(\chi))$$

after the identification of $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathscr{R}_{K,E}, -)$ with the cohomology group $H^1(-)$. Since we are assuming that $[y] \in H^1_f(K, V^*(1))$, by Proposition 4.1.2 i), we obtain that $[\mathrm{pr}(y)] = 0$. Thus the sequence $\mathrm{pr}(y)$ splits.

4.2.2. We will construct a canonical splitting of $\mathrm{pr}(y)$ using the idea of Nekovář [33]. Since $\dim_E \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(Y_y) = \dim_E \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V^*(1)) + 1$, the sequence

$$0 \to \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V^*(1)) \to \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(Y_y) \to \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(E) \to 0$$

is exact by the dimension argument. From $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V^*(1))^{\varphi=1} = 0$ and the snake lemma it follows that $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(Y_y)^{\varphi=1} = \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(E)$ and we obtain a canonical φ-equivariant morphism of K_0-vector spaces $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(E) \to \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(Y_y)$. By linearity, this map extends to a $(\varphi, N, G_{L/K})$-equivariant morphism of L_0-vector spaces $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}/L}(E) \to \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}/L}(Y_y)$. Therefore we have a canonical splitting

$$\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}/L}(Y_y) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}/L}(V^*(1)) \oplus \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}/L}(E)$$

of the sequence

$$0 \to \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}/L}(V^*(1)) \to \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}/L}(Y_y) \to \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}/L}(E) \to 0$$

in the category of $(\varphi, N, G_{L/K})$-modules. This splitting induces a $(\varphi, N, G_{L/K})$-equivariant isomorphism

$$\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{st}/L}(\mathbf{D}_y^*(\chi)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{st}/L}(\mathbf{D}^*(\chi)) \oplus \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{st}/L}(E). \tag{53}$$

Moreover, since all Hodge–Tate weights of $\mathbf{D}^*(\chi)$ are positive, we have $\mathrm{Fil}^i \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{dR}/L}(\mathbf{D}_y^*(\chi)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Fil}^i \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{dR}/L}(\mathbf{D}^*(\chi)) \oplus \mathrm{Fil}^i \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{dR}/L}(E)$ and therefore the isomorphism

$$\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{dR}/L}(\mathbf{D}_y^*(\chi)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{dR}/L}(\mathbf{D}^*(\chi)) \oplus \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{dR}/L}(E)$$

is compatible with filtrations. Thus, we obtain that (53) is an isomorphism in the category of filtered $(\varphi, N, G_{L/K})$-modules. This gives a canonical splitting

$$\mathrm{pr}(y): \qquad 0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}^*(\chi) \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}_y^*(\chi) \overset{\leftarrow\cdots}{\longrightarrow} \mathscr{R}_{K,E} \longrightarrow 0$$

of the extension $\mathrm{pr}(y)$. Passing to duals, we obtain a splitting

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{R}_{K,E}(\chi) \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}_y \overset{s_{\mathbf{D},y}}{\dashleftarrow} \mathbf{D} \longrightarrow 0. \tag{54}$$

Taking cohomology, we get a splitting

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1_f(K,E(1)) \longrightarrow H^1_f(\mathbf{D}_y) \overset{s_y}{\dashleftarrow} H^1_f(\mathbf{D}) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{55}$$

Our constructions can be summarized in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1_f(K,E(1)) & \longrightarrow & H^1_f(\mathbf{D}_y) & \overset{s_y}{\dashleftarrow} & H^1_f(\mathbf{D}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1_f(K,E(1)) & \longrightarrow & H^1_f(K,Y_y^*(1)) & \longrightarrow & H^1_f(K,V) & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Here the vertical maps are isomorphisms by Proposition 4.1.2 and the five lemma.

4.2.3. Remark. Assume that $H^0(\mathbf{D}^*(\chi)) = 0$. Then each crystalline extension of $\mathbf{D}$ by $\mathscr{R}_K(\chi)$ splits uniquely. This follows from Proposition 2.8.2 i) which implies that $H^1_f(\mathbf{D}^*(\chi)) = 0$ and from the fact that various splittings are parametrized by $H^0(\mathbf{D}^*(\chi))$.

4.3. Filtration associated to a splitting submodule.

4.3.1. In this subsection we assume that $K = \mathbf{Q}_p$. We review the construction of the canonical filtration on $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}/L}(V)$ associated to a splitting submodule D. Note that this construction is the direct generalization of the filtration constructed by Greenberg [23] in the ordinary case. See [4] for more detail.

Let V be a potentially semistable representation of $G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ with coefficients in a finite extension E of $\mathbf{Q}_p$. As before, we fixe a finite Galois extension $L/\mathbf{Q}_p$ such that V is semistable over L and denote by $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}/L}(V)$ the semistable module of the restriction of V on G_L. Let $D \subset \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}/L}(V)$ be a splitting submodule. Set $D' = \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}/L}(V)/D$. Then $\mathrm{Fil}^0 D' = D'$ and we define $M_1 = (D')^{\varphi=1,N=0,G_{L/\mathbf{Q}_p}}$. For the dual filtered $(\varphi,N,G_{L/\mathbf{Q}_p})$-module $D^* = \mathrm{Hom}_{L_0\otimes E}(D, \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}/L}(E(1)))$ we have $\mathrm{Fil}^0 D^* = D^*$ and we define $M_0 = \left((D^*)^{\varphi=1,N=0,G_{L/\mathbf{Q}_p}}\right)^*$. We have canonical projections $\mathrm{pr}_{D'} : \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}/L}(V) \to$

D' and $\mathrm{pr}_{M_0} : D \to M_0$. Define a five-step filtration

$$\{0\} = F_{-2}\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}/L}(V) \subset F_{-1}\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}/L}(V) \subset F_0\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}/L}(V) \subset F_1\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}/L}(V) \subset F_2\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}/L}(V) = \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}/L}(V)$$

by

$$F_i\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}/L}(V) = \begin{cases} \ker(\mathrm{pr}_{M_0}) & \text{if } i = -1, \\ D & \text{if } i = 0, \\ \mathrm{pr}_{D'}^{-1}(M_1) & \text{if } i = 1. \end{cases}$$

Set $W = F_1\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}/L}(V)/F_{-1}\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}/L}(V)$. These data can be represented by the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & D & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}^L_{\mathrm{st}}(V) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_{D'}} & D' & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{pr}_{M_0}} & & & & \uparrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M_0 & \longrightarrow & W & \longrightarrow & M_1 & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

By Theorem 2.2.3, the filtration $\left(F_i\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}/L}(V)\right)_{i=-2}^{2}$ induces a filtration $\left(F_i\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)\right)_{i=-2}^{2}$ on the $(\varphi, \Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}_p})$-module $\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)$ such that

$$\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{st}/L}(F_i\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) = F_i\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}/L}(V).$$

Note that $\mathbf{D} = F_0\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)$. We also set $\mathbf{M}_0 = F_0\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)/F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)$, $\mathbf{M}_1 = F_1\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)/F_0\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)$ and $\mathbf{W} = F_1\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)/F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)$. We have a tautological exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbf{M}_0 \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbf{W} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathbf{M}_1 \to 0. \tag{56}$$

By construction, $\mathbf{M}_0$ and $\mathbf{M}_1$ are crystalline $(\varphi, \Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}_p})$-modules such that

$$\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}/\mathbf{Q}_p}(\mathbf{M}_0) = M_0, \qquad \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}/\mathbf{Q}_p}(\mathbf{M}_1) = M_1.$$

Since

$$M_0^{\varphi=p^{-1}} = M_0, \qquad \mathrm{Fil}^0 M_0 = 0,$$
$$M_1^{\varphi=1} = M_1, \qquad \mathrm{Fil}^0 M_1 = M_1,$$

the structure of modules $\mathbf{M}_0$ and $\mathbf{M}_1$ is given by Proposition 2.8.4. In particular, we have canonical decompositions

$$H^1(\mathbf{M}_0) \overset{(\mathrm{pr}_f, \mathrm{pr}_c)}{\simeq} H^1_f(\mathbf{M}_0) \oplus H^1_c(\mathbf{M}_0), \qquad H^1(\mathbf{M}_1) \overset{(\mathrm{pr}_f, \mathrm{pr}_c)}{\simeq} H^1_f(\mathbf{M}_1) \oplus H^1_c(\mathbf{M}_1).$$

The exact sequence (56) induces the cobondary map $\delta_0 : H^0(\mathbf{M}_1) \to H^1(\mathbf{M}_0)$. Passing to cohomology in the dual exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbf{M}_1^*(\chi) \to \mathbf{W}^*(\chi) \to \mathbf{M}_0^*(\chi) \to 0, \tag{57}$$

we obtain the coboundary map $\delta_0^* : H^0(\mathbf{M}_0^*(\chi)) \to H^1(\mathbf{M}_1^*(\chi))$.

4.3.2. In the remainder of this subsection we assume that (V,D) satisfies the following conditions:

F1) For all $i \in \mathbf{Z}$

$$\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{pst}}(\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)/F_1\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))^{\varphi=p^i} = \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{pst}}(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))^{\varphi=p^i} = 0.$$

F2) The composed maps

$$H^0(\mathbf{M}_1) \xrightarrow{\delta_0} H^1(\mathbf{M}_0) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_c} H^1_c(\mathbf{M}_0),$$
$$H^0(\mathbf{M}_1) \xrightarrow{\delta_0} H^1(\mathbf{M}_0) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_f} H^1_f(\mathbf{M}_0),$$

where the second arrows denote canonical projections, are isomorphisms.

4.3.3. Remarks. 1) It is easy to see, that the filtration on $\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V^*(1))$ associated to the dual splitting submodule $D^{\perp} = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}/L}(V)/D, \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}/L}(E(1)))$, is dual to the filtration $F_i\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}/L}(V)$. In particular,

$$F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V^*(1))^*(\chi) \simeq \mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)/F_1\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V),$$
$$\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V^*(1))/F_1\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V^*(1)) \simeq (F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))^*(\chi),$$

and the sequence (56) for $(V^*(1), D^{\perp})$ coincides with (57). In particular, the conditions **F1-2)** hold for (V,D) if and only if they hold for $(V^*(1), D^{\perp})$.

2) The condition **F1)** implies that

$$H^0(\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)/F_1\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) = H^0(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) = 0.$$

3) If V is semistable over $\mathbf{Q}_p$, and the linear map $\varphi : \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}}(V) \to \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}}(V)$ is semisimple at 1 and p^{-1}, it is easy to see that

$$F_i\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}}(V) = \begin{cases} (1-p^{-1}\varphi^{-1})D + N(D^{\varphi=1}) & \text{if } i=-1, \\ D & \text{if } i=0, \\ D + \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}}(V)^{\varphi=1} \cap N^{-1}(D^{\varphi=p^{-1}}) & \text{if } i=1. \end{cases}$$

In this form, the filtration $F_i\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}}(V)$ was constructed in [4].

We summarize some properties of the filtration $F_i\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)$.

Proposition 4.3.4. *Let D be a regular submodule of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}}(V)$ such that (V,D) satisfies the conditions* **F1-2)**. *Then*

i) $\mathrm{rk}(\mathbf{M}_0) = \mathrm{rk}(\mathbf{M}_1)$.

ii) $H^0(\mathbf{W}) = 0$

iii) The representation V satisfies **S)**, *namely*

$$\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)^{\varphi=1} = \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V^*(1))^{\varphi=1} = 0.$$

iv) One has $H^1_f(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) = H^1(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))$ *and* $H^1_f(F_1\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) = H^1_f(\mathbf{Q}_p, V)$.

v) We have exact sequences

$$0 \to H^0(\mathbf{M}_1) \to H^1(\mathbf{M}_0) \to H^1_f(\mathbf{W}) \to 0 \tag{58}$$

and

$$0 \to H^0(\mathbf{M}_1) \to H^1(\mathbf{D}) \to H^1_f(\mathbf{Q}_p, V) \to 0. \tag{59}$$

Proof. i) From **F2)** and the fact that $\dim_E H^0(\mathbf{M}_1) = \mathrm{rk}(\mathbf{M}_1)$ and $\dim_E H^1_c(\mathbf{M}_0) = \mathrm{rk}(\mathbf{M}_0)$ (see Proposition 2.8.4) we obtain that $\mathrm{rk}(\mathbf{M}_0) = \mathrm{rk}(\mathbf{M}_1)$.

ii) By Proposition 2.8.4, iv), $H^0(\mathbf{M}_0) = 0$, and we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to H^0(\mathbf{W}) \to H^0(\mathbf{M}_1) \xrightarrow{\delta_0} H^1(\mathbf{M}_0).$$

By **F2)**, the map δ_0 is injective and therefore $H^0(\mathbf{W}) = 0$. Applying the same argument to the dual exact sequence, we obtain that $H^0(\mathbf{W}^*(\chi)) = 0$.

iii) First prove that $\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{W}) = \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{M}_0)$. The exact sequence (56) gives an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{M}_0) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{W}) \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{M}_1)$$

and we have immediately the inclusion $\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{M}_0) \subset \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{W})$. Thus, it is enough to check that $\dim_E \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{W}) = \dim_E \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{M}_0)$. Assume that $\dim_E \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{W}) > \dim_E \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{M}_0)$. Then there exists $x \in \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{W})$ such that $m = \beta(x) \neq 0$. Since φ acts trivially on $\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{M}_1) = \mathbf{M}_1^{\Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}_p}}$, $\mathscr{R}_{\mathbf{Q}_p,E}m$ is a $(\varphi, \Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}_p})$-submodule of $\mathbf{M}_1$, and there exists a submodule $\mathbf{X} \subset \mathbf{W}$ which sits in the following commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{M}_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{X} & \longrightarrow & \mathscr{R}_{\mathbf{Q}_p,E}m & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow{=} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{M}_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{W} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{M}_1 & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array}$$

Since $\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{W}) = (\mathbf{W}[1/t])^{\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$, there exists $n \geqslant 0$ such that $t^n x \in \mathbf{X}$, and therefore $x \in \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{X})$. This implies that $\mathbf{X}$ is crystalline, and by Proposition 2.8.2 iv) we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Em & \longrightarrow & H^1_f(\mathbf{M}_0) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^0(\mathbf{M}_1) & \xrightarrow{\delta_0} & H^1(\mathbf{M}_0). \end{array}$$

Thus, $\mathrm{Im}(\delta_0) \cap H^1_f(\mathbf{M}_0) \neq \{0\}$ and the condition **F2)** is violated. This proves that $\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{W}) = \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{M}_0)$.

Now we can finish the proof of iii). Taking invariants, we have $\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{W})^{\varphi=1} = \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{M}_0)^{\varphi=1} = 0$. By **F1)**

$$\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))^{\varphi=1} = \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)/F_1\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))^{\varphi=1} = 0,$$

and, applying the functor $\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(-)^{\varphi=1}$ to the exact sequences

$$0 \to F_1\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V) \to \mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V) \to \mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)/F_1\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V),$$
$$0 \to F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V) \to F_1\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V) \to \mathbf{W} \to 0,$$

we obtain that $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)^{\varphi=1} \subset \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{W})^{\varphi=1} = 0$. The same argument shows that $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V^*(1))^{\varphi=1} = 0$.

iv) By **F1)** together with Proposition 2.8.2 and the Euler–Poincaré characteristic formula, we have

$$\dim_E H^1(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) - \dim_E H^1_f(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) = \\ = \dim_E H^0((F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))^*(\chi)) = 0,$$

and therefore $H^1_f(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) = H^1(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))$. Since $H^0(\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)/F_1\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) = 0$, the exact sequence

$$0 \to F_1\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V) \to \mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V) \to \mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)/F_1\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V) \to 0$$

induces, by Proposition 2.8.2 iv), an exact sequence

$$0 \to H^1_f(F_1\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) \to H^1_f(\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)). \to H^1_f(\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)/F_1\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) \to 0$$

On the other hand, since

$$\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)/F_1\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) = \mathrm{Fil}^0\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)/F_1\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)),$$

by Proposition 2.8.2, i) we have

$$\dim_E H^1_f(\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)/F_1\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) = \dim_E H^0(\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)/F_1\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) = 0,$$

and therefore $H^1_f(F_1\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) = H^1_f(\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) = H^1_f(\mathbf{Q}_p, V)$.

v) To prove the exacteness of (58), we only need to show that the image of the map $\alpha : H^1(\mathbf{M}_0) \to H^1(\mathbf{W})$, induced by the exact sequence (56), coincides with $H^1_f(\mathbf{W})$. By **F2)**, $\mathrm{Im}(\delta_0) \cap H^1_f(\mathbf{M}_0) = \{0\}$, and therefore the map $H^1_f(\mathbf{M}_0) \to H^1_f(\mathbf{W})$ is injective. Set $e = \mathrm{rk}(\mathbf{M}_0) = \mathrm{rk}(\mathbf{M}_1)$. Since

$$\dim_E H^1_f(\mathbf{W}) = \dim_E t_{\mathbf{W}}(\mathbf{Q}_p) - H^0(\mathbf{W}) = e = \dim_E H^1_f(\mathbf{M}_0),$$

we obtain that $H^1_f(\mathbf{M}_0) = H^1_f(\mathbf{W})$. On the other hand, the exact sequence

$$0 \to H^0(\mathbf{M}_1) \xrightarrow{\delta_0} H^1(\mathbf{M}_0) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H^1(\mathbf{W})$$

shows that $\dim_E \mathrm{Im}(\alpha) = \dim_E H^1(\mathbf{M}_0) - \dim_E H^0(\mathbf{M}_1) = e = \dim_E H^1_f(\mathbf{M}_0)$. Therefore $\mathrm{Im}(\alpha) = H^1_f(\mathbf{M}_0) = H^1_f(\mathbf{W})$, and the exacteness of (58) is proved.

Since $H^0(\mathbf{W}) = 0$ and $H^1_f(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) = H^1(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))$, by Proposition 2.8.2 iv) we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to H^1(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) \to H^1_f(F_1\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) \to H^1_f(\mathbf{W}) \to 0,$$

which shows that $H^1_f(F_1\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))$ is the inverse image of $H^1_f(\mathbf{W})$ under the map $H^1(F_1\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) \to H^1(\mathbf{W})$. Therefore we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & & & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & & H^0(\mathbf{M}_1) & \xrightarrow{=} & H^0(\mathbf{M}_1) & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbf{D}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbf{M}_0) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow{=} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) & \longrightarrow & H^1_f(F_1\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) & \longrightarrow & H^1_f(\mathbf{W}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & & 0 & & 0. & &
\end{array}$$

Since the right column of this diagram is exact, the five lemma gives the exacteness of the middle column. Now the exacteness of (59) follows from the fact that $H^1_f(F_1\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) = H^1_f(\mathbf{Q}_p, V)$ by iv). □

4.3.5. The tautological exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbf{D} \to \mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V) \to \mathbf{D}' \to 0$$

induces the coboundary map

$$\partial_0 : H^0(\mathbf{D}') \to H^1(\mathbf{D}),$$

which is injective because $H^0(\mathbf{Q}_p, V) = 0$ by Proposition 4.3.4 ii).

Proposition 4.3.6. *Let V be a p-adic representation of $G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ which satisfies the conditions* **F1-2)**. *Then*

$$H^1(\mathbf{D}) = H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D})_{\Gamma^0_{\mathbf{Q}_p}} \oplus \partial_0\left(H^0(\mathbf{D}')\right).$$

Proof. Since $\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{pst}}\left(\left(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)\right)^*(\chi)\right)^{\varphi=p^i} = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbf{Z}$, by Lemma 2.7.4 we have $H^2_{\mathrm{Iw}}(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) = 0$. Then the tautological exact sequence

$$0 \to F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V) \to \mathbf{D} \to \mathbf{M}_0 \to 0$$

induces an exact sequence

$$0 \to H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) \to H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D}) \to H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{M}_0) \to 0.$$

Since $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{M}_0)^{\Gamma^0_{\mathbf{Q}_p}} = H^0(\mathbf{M}_0) = 0$ by Proposition 4.3.4, the snake lemma gives an exact sequence

$$0 \to H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))_{\Gamma^0_{\mathbf{Q}_p}} \to H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D})_{\Gamma^0_{\mathbf{Q}_p}} \to H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{M}_0)_{\Gamma^0_{\mathbf{Q}_p}} \to 0. \tag{60}$$

The Hochschild–Serre exact sequence

$$0 \to H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))_{\Gamma^0_{\mathbf{Q}_p}} \to H^1(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) \to H^2_{\mathrm{Iw}}(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))^{\Gamma^0_{\mathbf{Q}_p}} \to 0$$

together with the fact that

$$\dim_E H^2_{\mathrm{Iw}}(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))^{\Gamma^0_{\mathbf{Q}_p}} = \dim_E H^2_{\mathrm{Iw}}(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))_{\Gamma^0_{\mathbf{Q}_p}} =$$
$$= \dim_E H^0\left((F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))^*(\chi)\right) = 0$$

implies that $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))_{\Gamma^0_{\mathbf{Q}_p}} = H^1(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))$. On the other hand, $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{M}_0)_{\Gamma^0_{\mathbf{Q}_p}} = H^1_c(\mathbf{M}_0)$ by Proposition 2.8.5. Therefore, the sequence (60) reads

$$0 \to H^1(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) \to H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D})_{\Gamma^0_{\mathbf{Q}_p}} \to H^1_c(\mathbf{M}_0) \to 0$$

and we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) & \longrightarrow & H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D})_{\Gamma^0_{\mathbf{Q}_p}} & \longrightarrow & H^1_c(\mathbf{M}_0) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow{=} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbf{D}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbf{M}_0) & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array} \tag{61}$$

Since $H^0(\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)/F_1\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))=0$, the exact sequence

$$0\to\mathbf{M}_1\to\mathbf{D}'\to\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)/F_1\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)\to 0$$

gives $H^0(\mathbf{M}_1)=H^0(\mathbf{D}')$ and we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0(\mathbf{D}') & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & H^1(\mathbf{D}) \\ = \uparrow & & \downarrow \\ H^0(\mathbf{M}_1) & \xrightarrow{\delta_0} & H^1(\mathbf{M}_0). \end{array} \tag{62}$$

Finally, from **F2)** it follows that $H^1_c(\mathbf{M}_0)\cap\delta_0\left(H^0(\mathbf{M}_1)\right)=\{0\}$, and the dimension argument shows that

$$H^1(\mathbf{M}_0)=H^1_c(\mathbf{M}_0)\oplus\delta_0\left(H^0(\mathbf{M}_1)\right). \tag{63}$$

Now, the proposition follows from (63) and the diagrams (61) and (62). □

5. *p*-ADIC HEIGHT PAIRINGS II: UNIVERSAL NORMS

5.1. The pairing $h^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V,D}$.

5.1.1. In this section, we construct the pairing $h^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V,D}$, which is a direct generalization of the pairing constructed in [33], Section 6 and [36]. Let V is a p-adic representation of $G_{F,S}$ with coefficients in a finite extension E of $\mathbf{Q}_p$. We fix a system $\mathbf{D}=(\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q})_{\mathfrak{q}\in S_p}$ of submodules $\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q}\subset\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_\mathfrak{q})$ and denote by $\mathbf{D}^\perp=(\mathbf{D}^\perp_\mathfrak{q})_{\mathfrak{q}\in S_p}$ the orthogonal complement of $\mathbf{D}$. We have tautological exact sequences

$$0\to\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q}\to\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_\mathfrak{q})\to\mathbf{D}'_\mathfrak{q}\to 0,\qquad \mathfrak{q}\in S_p,$$

where $\mathbf{D}'_\mathfrak{q}=\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_\mathfrak{q})/\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q}$. Passing to duals, we have exact sequences

$$0\to(\mathbf{D}'_\mathfrak{q})^*(\chi_\mathfrak{q})\to\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V^*_\mathfrak{q}(1))\to\mathbf{D}^*_\mathfrak{q}(\chi_\mathfrak{q})\to 0,$$

where $(\mathbf{D}'_\mathfrak{q})^*(\chi_\mathfrak{q})=\mathbf{D}^\perp_\mathfrak{q}$. If the contrary is not explicitly stated, in this section we will assume that the following conditions hold

N1) $H^0(F_\mathfrak{q},V)=H^0(F_\mathfrak{q},V^*(1))=0$ for all $\mathfrak{q}\in S_p$;
N2) $H^0(\mathbf{D}'_\mathfrak{q})=H^0(\mathbf{D}^*_\mathfrak{q}(\chi_\mathfrak{q}))=0$ for all $\mathfrak{q}\in S_p$.

From **N2)**, it follows immediately that $H^1(\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q})$ injects into $H^1(F_\mathfrak{q},V)$. By our definition of Selmer complexes we have

$$H^1(V,\mathbf{D})\simeq\ker\left(H^1_S(V)\to\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q}\in\Sigma_p}\frac{H^1(F_\mathfrak{q},V)}{H^1_f(F_\mathfrak{q},V)}\right)\bigoplus\left(\bigoplus_{\nu\in S_p}\frac{H^1(F_\mathfrak{q},V)}{H^1(\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q})}\right),$$

and the same formula holds for $V^*(1)$ if we replace $\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q}$ by $\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q}^\perp$. Using this isomorphism, we identify each cohomology class $[(x,(x_\mathfrak{q}^+),(\lambda_\mathfrak{q})]\in H^1(V,\mathbf{D})$ with the corresponding class $[x]\in H^1_S(V)$.

5.1.2. Let $[y]\in H^1(V^*(1),\mathbf{D}^\perp)$ and let Y_y be the associated extention

$$0\to V^*(1)\to Y_y\to E\to 0.$$

Passing to duals, we have an exact sequence

$$0\to E(1)\to Y_y^*(1)\to V\to 0.$$

For each $\mathfrak{q}\in S_p$, this sequence induces an exact sequence of $(\varphi,\Gamma_\mathfrak{q})$-modules

$$0\to\mathscr{R}_{F_\mathfrak{q},E}(\chi_\mathfrak{q})\to\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(Y_y^*(1)_\mathfrak{q})\to\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_\mathfrak{q})\to 0.$$

Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(F_\mathfrak{q},E(1)) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q},y}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathbf{D},\mathfrak{q}}} & H^1(\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q}) & \xrightarrow{\delta^1_{\mathbf{D},\mathfrak{q}}} & H^2(F_\mathfrak{q},E(1)) \\
 & & \downarrow{=} & & \downarrow{g_{\mathfrak{q},y}} & & \downarrow{g_\mathfrak{q}} & & \downarrow{=} \\
0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(F_\mathfrak{q},E(1)) & \longrightarrow & H^1(F_\mathfrak{q},Y_y^*(1)) & \xrightarrow{\pi_\mathfrak{q}} & H^1(F_\mathfrak{q},V) & \xrightarrow{\delta^1_{V,\mathfrak{q}}} & H^2(F_\mathfrak{q},E(1)) \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow{\mathrm{res}_\mathfrak{q}} & & \uparrow{\mathrm{res}_\mathfrak{q}} & & \uparrow{\mathrm{res}_\mathfrak{q}} \\
0 & \longrightarrow & H^1_S(E(1)) & \longrightarrow & H^1_S(Y_y^*(1)) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & H^1_S(V) & \xrightarrow{\delta^1_V} & H^2_S(E(1)),
\end{array}$$

where $\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q},y}$ denotes the inverse image of $\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q}$ in $\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_\mathfrak{q})$.

In the following lemma we do not assume that the condition **N2)** holds.

Lemma 5.1.3. *Assume that V is a p-adic representation satisfying the condition* **N1)**. *Let $[x]=[(x,(x_\mathfrak{q}^+),(\lambda_\mathfrak{q}))]\in H^1(V,\mathbf{D})$ and let $x_\mathfrak{q}=\mathrm{res}_\mathfrak{q}(x)$. Then*

i) If $\mathfrak{q}\nmid p$, then $H^1_f(F_\mathfrak{q},E(1))=0$ and

$$H^1_f(F_\mathfrak{q},Y_y^*(1))\simeq H^1_f(F_\mathfrak{q},V).$$

ii) For each $\mathfrak{q}\in S_p$ one has $\delta^1_{V,\mathfrak{q}}([x_\mathfrak{q}])=\delta^1_{\mathbf{D},\mathfrak{q}}([x_\mathfrak{q}^+])=0$.

iii) $\delta^1_V([x])=0$.

iv) The sequence

$$0\to H^1(E(1),\mathscr{R}(\chi))\to H^1(Y_y^*(1),\mathbf{D}_y)\to H^1(V,\mathbf{D})\to 0,$$

where $\mathscr{R}(\chi)=(\mathscr{R}_{F_\mathfrak{q},E}(\chi_\mathfrak{q}))_{\mathfrak{q}\in S_p}$, is exact.

Proof. i) If $\mathfrak{q}\nmid p$, then $E(1)$ is unramified at $\mathfrak{q}$, $H^0(F_\mathfrak{q}^{\mathrm{ur}}/F_\mathfrak{q},E(1))=0$ and

$$H^1_f(F_\mathfrak{q},E(1))=H^1(F_\mathfrak{q}^{\mathrm{ur}}/F_\mathfrak{q},E(1))=E(1)/(\mathrm{Fr}_\mathfrak{q}-1)E(1)=0.$$

Since $[y]$ is unramified at $\mathfrak{q}$, the sequence

$$0 \to E(1) \to Y_y^*(1)^{I_\mathfrak{q}} \to V^{I_\mathfrak{q}} \to 0$$

is exact. Passing to the associated long exact cohomology sequence of $\mathrm{Gal}(F_\mathfrak{q}^{\mathrm{ur}}/F_\mathfrak{q})$ and taking into account that

$$H^1(F_\mathfrak{q}^{\mathrm{ur}}/F_\mathfrak{q}, E(1)) = H^2(F_\mathfrak{q}^{\mathrm{ur}}/F_\mathfrak{q}, E(1)) = 0$$

we obtain that $H^1(F_\mathfrak{q}^{\mathrm{ur}}/F_\mathfrak{q}, Y_y^*(1)^{I_\mathfrak{q}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(F_\mathfrak{q}^{\mathrm{ur}}/F_\mathfrak{q}, V^{I_\mathfrak{q}})$. This proves i).

ii) For each $\mathfrak{q} \in S_p$ we have $g_\mathfrak{q}([x_\mathfrak{q}^+]) = [x_\mathfrak{q}]$. From the orthogonality of $\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q}$ and $\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q}^\perp$ it follows that

$$\delta_\mathbf{D}^1(x_\mathfrak{q}^+) = -x_\mathfrak{q}^+ \cup y_\mathfrak{q}^+ = 0.$$

Therefore, $\delta_{V,\mathfrak{q}}^1([x_\mathfrak{q}]) = \delta_{\mathbf{D},\mathfrak{q}}^1([x_\mathfrak{q}^+]) = 0$ for each $\mathfrak{q} \in S_p$.

iii) Let $\mathfrak{q} \in \Sigma_p$. Since $[x_\mathfrak{q}] \in H_f^1(F_\mathfrak{q}, V)$, from i) it follows that again $\delta_{V,\mathfrak{q}}([x_\mathfrak{q}]) = 0$. As the localization map

$$H_S^2(E(1)) \to \bigoplus_{v \in S} H^2(F_\mathfrak{q}, E(1))$$

is injective, we obtain that $\delta_V^1(x) = 0$.

iv) First prove the surjectivity of $\pi : H^1(Y_y(1), \mathbf{D}_y) \to H^1(V, \mathbf{D})$. For each $\mathfrak{q} \in \Sigma_p$ we denote by

$$s_{y,\mathfrak{q}} : H_f^1(F_\mathfrak{q}, Y_y^*(1)) \simeq H_f^1(F_\mathfrak{q}, V)$$

the inverse of the isomorphism i). Let $[x] \in H^1(V, \mathbf{D})$. By ii), $\delta_V^1([x]) = 0$, and there exists $[a] \in H_S^1(Y_y^*(1))$ such that $\pi([a]) = [x]$. Let $\mathfrak{q} \in \Sigma_p$. Since $[x_\mathfrak{q}^+] \in H_f^1(F_\mathfrak{q}, V)$, there exists $[b_\mathfrak{q}^+] \in H^1(F_\mathfrak{q}, E(1))$ such that

$$[a_\mathfrak{q}^+] = s_{y,\mathfrak{q}}([x_\mathfrak{q}^+]) + [b_\mathfrak{q}^+].$$

The localization map $H_S^1(E(1)) \to \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q} \in \Sigma_p} H^1(F_\mathfrak{q}, E(1))$ is surjective, and there exists $[b] \in H_S^1(E(1))$ such that $[b_\mathfrak{q}] = \mathrm{res}_\mathfrak{q}([b_\mathfrak{q}]) = [b_\mathfrak{q}^+]$ for each $\mathfrak{q} \in \Sigma_p$. Then $\widehat{[x]} = [a] - [b] \in H^1(Y_y^*(1), \mathbf{D}_y)$ and satisfies $\pi(\widehat{[x]}) = [x]$. Thus, the map π is surjective.

Finally, from i) we have

$$H^1(E(1), \mathscr{R}(\chi)) = \ker\left(H_S^1(E(1)) \to \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q} \in \Sigma_p} H^1(F_\mathfrak{q}, E(1)) \right),$$

and it is easy to see that $H^1(E(1), \mathscr{R}(\chi))$ coincides with the kernel of π. The lemma is proved. □

5.1.4. Let $\log_p : \mathbf{Q}_p^* \to \mathbf{Q}_p$ denote the p-adic logarithm normalized by $\log_p(p) = 0$. For each finite place $\mathfrak{q}$ we define an homomorphism $\ell_\mathfrak{q} : F_\mathfrak{q}^* \to \mathbf{Q}_p$ by

$$\ell_\mathfrak{q}(x) = \begin{cases} \log_p(N_{F_\mathfrak{q}/\mathbf{Q}_p}(x)), & \text{if } \mathfrak{q} \mid p, \\ \log_p |x|_\mathfrak{q}, & \text{if } \mathfrak{q} \nmid p, \end{cases}$$

where $N_{F_\mathfrak{q}/\mathbf{Q}_p}$ denotes the norm map. By linearity, $\ell_\mathfrak{q}$ can be extended to a map $\ell_\mathfrak{q} : F_\mathfrak{q}^* \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbf{Z}_p} E \to E$, and the isomorphism $F_\mathfrak{q}^* \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbf{Z}_p} E \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(F_\mathfrak{q}, E(1))$ allows to consider $\ell_\mathfrak{q}$ as a map $H^1(F_\mathfrak{q}, E(1)) \to E$ which we denote again by $\ell_\mathfrak{q}$.

From the product formula

$$|N_{F/\mathbf{Q}}(x)|_\infty \prod_{\mathfrak{q} \in S_f} |x|_\mathfrak{q} = 1$$

and the fact that $N_{F/\mathbf{Q}}(x) = \prod_{\mathfrak{q}|p} N_{F_\mathfrak{q}/\mathbf{Q}_p}(x)$ it follows that

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{q} \in S_f} \ell_\mathfrak{q}(x) = 1, \qquad \forall x \in F^*. \tag{64}$$

We set $\Lambda_{F_\mathfrak{q}} = \mathscr{O}_E[[\Gamma_v^0]]$ and $\Lambda_{F_\mathfrak{q},E} = \Lambda_{F_\mathfrak{q}}[1/p]$.

Lemma 5.1.5. *Let V be a p-adic representation of $G_{F,S}$ that satisfies* **N1-2)** *and let $[y] \in H^1(V^*(1), \mathbf{D}^\perp)$. For each $\mathfrak{q} \in S_p$, the following diagram is commutative with exact rows and columns*

(65)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & 0 & & 0 & & & \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & \\
\mathscr{H}(\Gamma_\mathfrak{q}^0) \otimes_{\Lambda_{F_\mathfrak{q},E}} H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(F_\mathfrak{q}, E(1)) & \longrightarrow & H^1(F_\mathfrak{q}, E(1)) & \xrightarrow{\ell_\mathfrak{q}} & E & & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\
H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q},y}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{q},y}} & H^1(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q},y}) & & & & \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi^{\mathrm{Iw}}_{\mathbf{D},\mathfrak{q}}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_{\mathbf{D},\mathfrak{q}}} & & & & \\
H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_\mathfrak{q}} & H^1(\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q}) & \longrightarrow & 0 & & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\
H^2(F_\mathfrak{q}, E(1)) & \xrightarrow{=} & H^2(F_\mathfrak{q}, E(1)). & & & &
\end{array}$$

Proof. The exacteness of the left column is clear. The exactness of the right column follows from the fact that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^2(F_{\mathfrak{q},n},E(1)) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{inv}_{v,n}} & E \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{cores}} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}} \\ H^2(F_{\mathfrak{q},n-1},E(1)) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{inv}_{v,n-1}} & E \end{array}$$

is commutative, and therefore

$$H^2_{\mathrm{Iw}}(F_{\mathfrak{q}},E(1)) \simeq H^2(F_{\mathfrak{q}},E(1)) \simeq E.$$

The diagram (65) is clearly commutative. Now, we prove that the projection map $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}}) \to H^1(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}})$ is surjective. We have an exact sequence

$$0 \to H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}})_{\Gamma^0_{\mathfrak{q}}} \to H^1(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}}) \to H^2_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}})^{\Gamma^0_{\mathfrak{q}}} \to 0,$$

and therefore it is enough to show that $H^2_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}})^{\Gamma^0_{\mathfrak{q}}} = 0$. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to H^2_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}})^{\Gamma^0_{\mathfrak{q}}} \to H^2_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}}) \xrightarrow{\gamma_v - 1} H^2_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}}) \to H^2_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}})_{\Gamma^0_{\mathfrak{q}}} \to 0.$$

Since $H^2_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}})$ is a finite-dimensional E-vector space, we have

$$\dim_E H^2_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}})^{\Gamma^0_{\mathfrak{q}}} = \dim_E H^2_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}})_{\Gamma^0_{\mathfrak{q}}} = \dim_E H^2(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}}) = \dim_E H^0(\mathbf{D}^*_{\mathfrak{q}}(\chi)) = 0.$$

Thus, the map $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}}) \to H^1(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}})$ is surjective. To prove the exactness of the first row, we remark that the sequence

$$H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(F_{\mathfrak{q}},E(1)) \to H^1(F_{\mathfrak{q}},E(1)) \xrightarrow{\ell_{\mathfrak{q}}} E$$

is known to be exact (see, for example, [34], Section 11.3.5), and that the image of the projection $\mathscr{H}(\Gamma^0_{\mathfrak{q}}) \otimes_{\Lambda_{F_{\mathfrak{q}},E}} H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(F_{\mathfrak{q}},E(1)) \to H^1(F_{\mathfrak{q}},E(1))$ coincides with the image of the projection $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(F_{\mathfrak{q}},E(1)) \to H^1(F_{\mathfrak{q}},E(1))$. □

5.1.6. By Lemma 5.1.5, for each $\mathfrak{q} \in S_p$ we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows, where the map $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is surjective
(66)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} & & & & H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q},y}) & \xrightarrow{\pi^{\mathrm{Iw}}_{\mathbf{D},\mathfrak{q}}} & H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}}) & \longrightarrow & H^2(F_{\mathfrak{q}},E(1)) \\ & & & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{q},y}} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{q}}} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle =} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(F_{\mathfrak{q}},E(1)) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q},y}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathbf{D},\mathfrak{q}}} & H^1(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}}) & \xrightarrow{\delta^1_{\mathbf{D},v}} & H^2(F_{\mathfrak{q}},E(1)) \\ & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle =} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle g_{\mathfrak{q},y}} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle g_{\mathfrak{q}}} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(F_{\mathfrak{q}},E(1)) & \longrightarrow & H^1(F_{\mathfrak{q}},Y^*_y(1)) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathfrak{q}}} & H^1(F_{\mathfrak{q}},V). & & \end{array}$$

Let $[x]\in H^1(V,\mathbf{D})$. By Lemma 5.1.3 ii), for each $\mathfrak{q}\in S_p$ we have $\delta_{\mathbf{D},\mathfrak{q}}([x_{\mathfrak{q}}^+])=0$, and therefore there exists $[x_{\mathfrak{q},y}^{\mathrm{Iw}}]\in H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q},y})$ such that $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{q}}\circ\pi^{\mathrm{Iw}}_{\mathbf{D},\mathfrak{q}}\left([x_{\mathfrak{q},y}^{\mathrm{Iw}}]\right)=[x_{\mathfrak{q}}^+]$. By Lemma 5.1.3 iv), there exists a lift $\widehat{[x]}\in H^1(Y_y^*(1),\mathbf{D}_y)$ of $[x]$. For each $v\in S_p$ we set

$$[u_{\mathfrak{q}}]=g_{\mathfrak{q},y}\circ\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{q},y}([x_{\mathfrak{q},y}^{\mathrm{Iw}}])-\widehat{[x_{\mathfrak{q}}]}, \tag{67}$$

where $\widehat{x_{\mathfrak{q}}}=\mathrm{res}_{\mathfrak{q}}(\widehat{x})$. Then $\pi_{\mathfrak{q}}([u_{\mathfrak{q}}])=0$, and therefore $[u_{\mathfrak{q}}]\in H^1(F_{\mathfrak{q}},E(1))$.

Definition. *Let V be a p-adic representation of $G_{F,S}$ equipped with a family $\mathbf{D}=(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}})_{\mathfrak{q}\in S_p}$ of $(\varphi,\Gamma_{\mathfrak{q}})$-modules satisfying the conditions* **N1-2)**. *The p-adic height pairing $h^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V,D}$ associated to these data is defined to be the map*

$$\begin{aligned}&h^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V,D}\ :\ H^1(V,\mathbf{D})\times H^1(V^*(1),\mathbf{D}^{\perp})\to E,\\ &h^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V,D}([x],[y])=\sum_{\mathfrak{q}\in S_p}\ell_{\mathfrak{q}}([u_{\mathfrak{q}}])\,.\end{aligned}$$

5.1.7. Remarks. 1) If $\widetilde{[x]}\in H^1(Y_y^*(1),\mathbf{D}_y)$ is another lift of $[x]$, then from (64) and the fact that $\widehat{[x_{\mathfrak{q}}]}=\widetilde{[x_{\mathfrak{q}}]}=s_{y,\mathfrak{q}}([x_{\mathfrak{q}}])$ for all $\mathfrak{q}\in S_p$, it follows that the definition of $h^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V,D}([x],[y])$ does not depend on the choice of the lift $\widehat{[x_{\mathfrak{q}}]}$.

2) It is not indispensable to take $\widehat{[x]}$ in $H^1(Y_y^*(1),\mathbf{D}_y)$. If $\widehat{[x]}\in H^1_S(Y_y^*(1))$ is such that $\pi(\widehat{[x]})=[x]$, we can again define $[u_{\mathfrak{q}}]$ by (67). For $\mathfrak{q}\in\Sigma_p$ we set

$$[u_{\mathfrak{q}}]=g_{\mathfrak{q},y}\circ s_{y,\mathfrak{q}}([x_{\mathfrak{q}}^+])-\widehat{[x_{\mathfrak{q}}]},$$

where $s_{y,\mathfrak{q}}:H^1_f(F_{\mathfrak{q}},V^*(1))\xrightarrow{\sim}H^1_f(F_{\mathfrak{q}},Y_y^*(1))$ denotes the isomorphism from Lemma 6.1.2 i). Note that again $[u_{\mathfrak{q}}]\in H^1(F_v,E(1))$. Then

$$h^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V,D}([x],[y])=\sum_{\mathfrak{q}\in S}\ell_{\mathfrak{q}}([u_{\mathfrak{q}}])\,.$$

3) The map $h^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V,D}$ is bilinear. This can be shown directly, but follows from Theorem 5.2.2 below.

5.2. Comparision with $h^{\mathrm{sel}}_{V,\mathbf{D}}$.

5.2.1. In this subsection we compare $h^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V,D}$ with the p-adic height pairing constructed in Subsection 3.2. We take $\Sigma=\emptyset$ and denote by

$$h^{\mathrm{sel}}_{V,\mathbf{D},1}:H^1(V,\mathbf{D})\times H^1(V^*(1),\mathbf{D}^{\perp})\to E$$

the associated height pairing (51).

Theorem 5.2.2. *Let V be a p-adic representation of $G_{F,S}$ with coefficients in a finite extension E of $\mathbf{Q}_p$. Assume that the family $\mathbf{D} = (\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q})_{\mathfrak{q}\in S_p}$ satisfies the conditions* **N1-2)**. *Then $h^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V,D}$ is a bilinear map and*

$$h^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V,D} = h^{\mathrm{sel}}_{V,\mathbf{D},1}.$$

Proof. The proof repeats the arguments of [34], Sections 11.3.9-11.3.12, where this statement is proved in the case of p-adic height pairings arising from Greenberg's local conditions. We remark that in this case our definition of $h^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V,D}$ differs from Nekovář's h^{norm}_{π} by a sign.

Let $[x] \in H^1(V,\mathbf{D})$ and $[y] \in H^1(V^*(1),\mathbf{D}^\perp)$. We use the notation of Section 3.1 and denote by $f_\mathfrak{q}$ and $g_\mathfrak{q}$ the morphisms defined by (24–27). As before, to simplify notation we set $x_\mathfrak{q} = f_\mathfrak{q}(x)$ and $y_\mathfrak{q} = f^\perp_\mathfrak{q}(y)$. We represent $[x]$ and $[y]$ by cocycles $x^{\mathrm{sel}} = (x,(x^+_\mathfrak{q}),(\lambda_\mathfrak{q})) \in S^1(V,\mathbf{D})$ and $y^{\mathrm{sel}} = (y,(y^+_\mathfrak{q}),(\mu_\mathfrak{q})) \in S^1(V^*(1),\mathbf{D}^\perp)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} &x \in C^1(G_{F,S},V), && x^+_\mathfrak{q} \in U^1_\mathfrak{q}(V,\mathbf{D}), && \lambda_\mathfrak{q} \in K^0(V_\mathfrak{q}),\\ &y \in C^1(G_{F,S},V^*(1)), && y^+_\mathfrak{q} \in U^1_\mathfrak{q}(V^*(1),\mathbf{D}^\perp), && \mu_\mathfrak{q} \in K^0(V^*_\mathfrak{q}(1)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &dx = 0, && dy = 0,\\ &dx^+_\mathfrak{q} = 0 && dy^+_\mathfrak{q} = 0,\\ &g_\mathfrak{q}(x^+_\mathfrak{q}) = f_\mathfrak{q}(x) + d\lambda_\mathfrak{q}, && g^\perp_\mathfrak{q}(y^+_\mathfrak{q}) = f^\perp_\mathfrak{q}(x) + d\mu_\mathfrak{q}, && \forall \mathfrak{q} \in S. \end{aligned}$$

By Propositions 2.6.2, 2.6.4 and 2.6.5 we have

$$\beta_{V,\mathbf{D}}(x^{\mathrm{sel}}) = (-z\cup x,(-w_\mathfrak{q}\cup x^+_\mathfrak{q}),(z_\mathfrak{q}\cup\lambda_\mathfrak{q})) \in S^2(V,\mathbf{D}), \tag{68}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &z = \log\chi \in C^1(G_{F,S},E(0)),\\ &w_\mathfrak{q} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \mathfrak{q}\in\Sigma_p,\\ (0,\log\chi_\mathfrak{q}(\gamma_\mathfrak{q})) \in C^1_{\varphi,\gamma_\mathfrak{q}}(E(0)), & \text{if } \mathfrak{q}\in S_p, \end{cases}\\ &z_\mathfrak{q} = \begin{cases} \log\chi_\mathfrak{q} \in C^1(G_{F_\mathfrak{q}},E(0)), & \text{if } \mathfrak{q}\in\Sigma_p,\\ (0,\log\chi_\mathfrak{q}) \in K^1(E(0)_\mathfrak{q}), & \text{if } \mathfrak{q}\in S_p. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.2.3. *Let $\widehat{[x]} \in H^1(Y^*_y(1),\mathbf{D}_y)$ be a lift of an element $[x]\in H^1(V,\mathbf{D})$. Represent $\widehat{[x]}$ and $[\widehat{x}^+_\mathfrak{q}] \in H^1(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q},y})$ for $\mathfrak{q}\in S_p$ (respectively $[\widehat{x}^+_\mathfrak{q}] \in H^1_f(F_\mathfrak{q},Y^*_y(1))$ for $\mathfrak{q}\in\Sigma_p$) by cocycles $\widehat{x}\in C^1(G_{F,S},Y^*_y(1))$ and $\widehat{x}^+_\mathfrak{q} \in C^1_{\varphi,\gamma_\mathfrak{q}}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q},y})$ (respectively by $\widehat{x}^+_\mathfrak{q} \in C^1_{\mathrm{ur}}(Y^*_y(1)_\mathfrak{q})$). Since $g_\mathfrak{q}(\widehat{x}^+_\mathfrak{q}) = f_\mathfrak{q}(\widehat{x}) + d\widehat{\lambda}_\mathfrak{q}$ for some $\widehat{\lambda}_\mathfrak{q} \in$*

$K^0(Y_y^*(1)_\mathfrak{q})$, *we obtain a cocycle* $\widehat{x}^{\mathrm{sel}} = (\widehat{x}, (\widehat{x}_\mathfrak{q}^+), (\widehat{\lambda}_\mathfrak{q})) \in S^1(Y_y^*(1), \mathbf{D}_y)$. *Then*

$$\beta_{Y_y^*(1),\mathbf{D}_y}(\widehat{x}^{\mathrm{sel}}) = (\widehat{a}, (\widehat{b}_\mathfrak{q}), (\widehat{c}_\mathfrak{q})) \in S^2(Y_y^*(1), \mathbf{D}_y),$$

where

$$\widehat{b}_\mathfrak{q} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \mathfrak{q} \in \Sigma_p, \\ w_\mathfrak{q} \cup u_\mathfrak{q} \in C^2_{\varphi,\gamma_\mathfrak{q}}(E(1)_\mathfrak{q}), & \text{if } \mathfrak{q} \in S_p \end{cases}$$

and $u_\mathfrak{q}$ *is a representative of the cohomology class (67).*

Proof. The proof is analogous to the proof of Lemma 11.3.10 of [34]. Since the complex $C^\bullet_{\mathrm{ur}}(Y_y^*(1)_v)$ is concentrated in degrees 0 and 1, it is clear that $\widehat{b}_\mathfrak{q} = 0$ for $\mathfrak{q} \in \Sigma_p$. For each $\mathfrak{q} \in S_p$, we consider the algebra $\mathscr{H}_E(\Gamma_F^0) = \{f(\gamma_F - 1) \mid f(X) \in \mathscr{H}_E\}$ and define $\mathrm{Ind}_{F_\infty/F}(\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q}) = \mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q} \widehat{\otimes}_E \mathscr{H}_E(\Gamma_F^0)^\iota$. The natural inclusion $\mathscr{H}_E(\Gamma_\mathfrak{q}^0) \subset \mathscr{H}_E(\Gamma_F^0)$ allows us to consider $\mathrm{Ind}_{F_\infty/F}(\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q})$ as a $(\varphi, \Gamma_\mathfrak{q})$-module over the ring $\mathscr{R}_{F_\mathfrak{q},\mathscr{H}_E} = \varinjlim_r \mathbf{B}^{\dagger,r}_{\mathrm{rig},F_\mathfrak{q}} \widehat{\otimes} \mathscr{H}_E(\Gamma_\mathfrak{q}^0)$. Let $J_\mathscr{H}$ denote the augmentation ideal of $\mathscr{H}_E(\Gamma_F^0)$. The obvious commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathscr{H}_E(\Gamma_F^0) & \xrightarrow{\text{mod } \Gamma_\mathfrak{q}^0} & E[\Gamma_F^0/\Gamma_\mathfrak{q}^0] \\ \downarrow & \text{mod } J^2_\mathscr{H} & \downarrow \\ \widetilde{A}_F & \longrightarrow & E, \end{array}$$

where the right vertical morphism is the augmentation map, induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(\mathrm{Ind}_{F_\infty/F}(\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q})) & \longrightarrow & H^1\left(\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q} \otimes_E E[\Gamma_F^0/\Gamma_\mathfrak{q}^0]\right) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^1(\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_{F,\mathfrak{q}}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q}). \end{array} \tag{69}$$

Since $\Gamma_\mathfrak{q}^0$ acts trivially of $E[\Gamma_F^0/\Gamma_\mathfrak{q}^0]$, the group $H^1\left(\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q} \otimes_E E[\Gamma_F^0/\Gamma_\mathfrak{q}^0]\right)$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of $(\Gamma_F^0 : \Gamma_\mathfrak{q}^0)$ copies of $H^1(\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q})$ and the right vertical map of (69) is surjective. Since $\mathscr{H}_E(\Gamma_F^0)$ is a free $\mathscr{H}_E(\Gamma_\mathfrak{q}^0)$-module of rank $[\Gamma_F^0 : \Gamma_\mathfrak{q}^0]$, the group $H^1(\mathrm{Ind}_{F_\infty/F}(\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q}))$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of $[\Gamma_F^0 : \Gamma_\mathfrak{q}^0]$-copies of $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q})$. Since the projection $\mathrm{pr}_\mathfrak{q} : H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q}) \to H^1(\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q})$ is surjective by Proposition 5.1.5, the upper horizontal map of (69) is also

surjective. Therefore the projection $H^1(\mathrm{Ind}_{F_\infty/F}(\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q})) \to H^1(\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q})$ is surjective and we have the following analog of the diagram (66)
(70)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & & & & H^1(\mathrm{Ind}_{F_\infty/F}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q},y})) & \xrightarrow{\pi^{\mathrm{Iw},F}_{\mathbf{D},\mathfrak{q}}} & H^1(\mathrm{Ind}_{F_\infty/F}(\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q})) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{pr}^F_{\mathfrak{q},y}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{pr}^F_{\mathfrak{q}}} & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(F_\mathfrak{q}, E(1)) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q},y}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathbf{D},\mathfrak{q}}} & H^1(\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle =} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g_{\mathfrak{q},y}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g_\mathfrak{q}} & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(F_\mathfrak{q}, E(1)) & \longrightarrow & H^1(F_\mathfrak{q}, Y_y^*(1)) & \xrightarrow{\pi_\mathfrak{q}} & H^1(F_\mathfrak{q}, V) & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array}$$

From the above discussion it follows that $C^1_{\varphi,\gamma_\mathfrak{q}}(\mathrm{Ind}_{F_\infty/F}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q},y}))$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of $[\Gamma^0_F : \Gamma^0_\mathfrak{q}]$ copies of $C^1_{\varphi,\gamma_\mathfrak{q}}(\mathrm{Ind}_{F_{\mathfrak{q},\infty}/F_\mathfrak{q}}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q},y}))$. Therefore there exists a cocycle $\widetilde{x}_{\mathfrak{q},y} \in C^1_{\varphi,\gamma_\mathfrak{q}}(\mathrm{Ind}_{F_\infty/F}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q},y}))$ such that $\mathrm{pr}^F_{\mathfrak{q},y}(\widetilde{x}_{\mathfrak{q},y}) = \mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{q},y}(x^{\mathrm{Iw}}_{\mathfrak{q},y})$. Since the map

$$C^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_\mathfrak{q}}(\mathrm{Ind}_{F_\infty/F}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q},y})) \to C^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_\mathfrak{q}}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q},y})$$

factors through $C^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_\mathfrak{q}}(\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_{F,\mathfrak{q},y})$, where $\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_{F,\mathfrak{q},y} = \mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q},y} \otimes \widetilde{A}^\iota_F$, from the distinguished triangle

$$C^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_\mathfrak{q}}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q},y}) \to C^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_\mathfrak{q}}(\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_{F,\mathfrak{q},y}) \to C^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_\mathfrak{q}}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q},y}) \xrightarrow{\beta_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q},y}}} C_{\varphi,\gamma_\mathfrak{q}}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q},y})[1]$$

it follows that $\beta_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q},y}}(\mathrm{pr}^F_{\mathfrak{q},y}(\widetilde{x}_{\mathfrak{q},y})) = 0$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{b}_\mathfrak{q} = \beta_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q},y}}(\widehat{x}^+_\mathfrak{q}) &= \beta_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q},y}}(\widehat{x}^+_\mathfrak{q} - \mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{q},y}(x^{\mathrm{Iw}}_{\mathfrak{q},y}) + \mathrm{pr}^F_{\mathfrak{q},y}(\widetilde{x}_{\mathfrak{q},y})) = \\
&= -\beta_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q},y}}(u_\mathfrak{q}) + \beta_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q},y}}(\mathrm{pr}^F_{\mathfrak{q},y}(\widetilde{x}_{\mathfrak{q},y})) = -\beta_{\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q},y}(u_\mathfrak{q}) = w_\mathfrak{q} \cup u_\mathfrak{q}.
\end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proved. □

For each $\mathfrak{q} \in S_p$, we have the canonical isomorphism of local class field theory

$$\mathrm{inv}_\mathfrak{q} : H^2(F_\mathfrak{q}, E(1)) \xrightarrow{\sim} E.$$

Let $\kappa_\mathfrak{q} : F^*_\mathfrak{q} \widehat{\otimes} E \to H^1(F_\mathfrak{q}, E(1))$ denote the Kummer map. Then

$$\mathrm{inv}_\mathfrak{q}(\log \chi_\mathfrak{q} \cup \kappa_\mathfrak{q}(x)) = \log_p(N_{F_\mathfrak{q}/\mathbf{Q}_p}(x)) = \ell_\mathfrak{q}(x) \tag{71}$$

([40], Chapitre 14, see also [2], Corollaire 1.1.3).

Lemma 5.2.4. *Assume that* $\beta_{V,\mathbf{D}}([x^{\mathrm{sel}}]) \in H^2(V, \mathbf{D})$ *is represented by a* 2*-cocycle* $e = (a, (b_\mathfrak{q}), (c_\mathfrak{q}))$ *of the form* $e = \pi(\widehat{e})$, *where*

$$\widehat{e} = (\widehat{a}, (\widehat{b}_\mathfrak{q}), (\widehat{c}_\mathfrak{q})) \in S^2(Y_y^*(1), \mathbf{D}_y)$$

is also a 2*-cocycle and* $\pi : S^2(Y_y^*(1), \mathbf{D}_y) \to S^2(V, \mathbf{D})$ *denotes the canonical projection. Then*

$$[\beta_{V,\mathbf{D}}(x^{\mathrm{sel}}) \cup y^{\mathrm{sel}}] = \sum_{\mathfrak{q} \in S_p} \mathrm{inv}_{\mathfrak{q}}(g_{\mathfrak{q}}(\widehat{b}_{\mathfrak{q}}) \cup f_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\perp}(\alpha_y) + g_{\mathfrak{q}}(b_{\mathfrak{q}}) \cup \mu_{\mathfrak{q}}),$$

where $\alpha_y \in C^0(G_{F,S}, Y_y)$ *is an element that maps to* $1 \in C^0(G_{F,S}, E) = E$ *and satisfies* $d\alpha_y = y$*. If, in addition,*

$$\widehat{b}_{\mathfrak{q}} \in C^2_{\varphi,\gamma_{\mathfrak{q}}}(E(1)_{\mathfrak{q}}), \qquad \forall \mathfrak{q} \in S_p,$$

then

$$[\beta_{V,\mathbf{D}}(x^{\mathrm{sel}}) \cup y^{\mathrm{sel}}] = \sum_{\mathfrak{q} \in S_p} \mathrm{inv}_{\mathfrak{q}}(g_{\mathfrak{q}}(\widehat{b}_{\mathfrak{q}})).$$

Proof. The proof of this lemma is purely formal and follows *verbatim* the proof of [34], Lemma 11.3.11. □

Now we can proof Theorem 5.2.2. Combining Lemma 5.2.3 and Lemma 5.2.4 we have

$$h^{\mathrm{sel}}_{V,\mathbf{D},1}([x],[y]) = [\beta_{V,\mathbf{D}}(x^{\mathrm{sel}}) \cup y^{\mathrm{sel}}] = \sum_{\mathfrak{q} \in S_p} \mathrm{inv}_{\mathfrak{q}}(g_{\mathfrak{q}}(\widehat{b}_{\mathfrak{q}})) =$$
$$= \sum_{\mathfrak{q} \in S_p} \mathrm{inv}_{\mathfrak{q}}(g_{\mathfrak{q}}(w_{\mathfrak{q}} \cup u_{\mathfrak{q}})) = \sum_{\mathfrak{q} \in S_p} \ell_{\mathfrak{q}}(u_{\mathfrak{q}}) = h^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V,D}([x],[y]).$$

□

6. p-ADIC HEIGHT PAIRINGS III: SPLITTING OF LOCAL EXTENSIONS

6.1. The pairing $h^{\mathrm{spl}}_{V,D}$.

6.1.1. Let F be a finite extension of $\mathbf{Q}$. We keep notation of Sections 3-5. In particular, we fix a finite set S of places of F such that $S_p \subset S$ and denote by $G_{F,S}$ the Galois group of the maximal algebraic extension of F which is unramified outside $S \cup S_\infty$. For each topological $G_{F,S}$-module M, we write $H^*_S(M)$ for the continuous cohomology of $G_{F,S}$ with coefficients in M.

Let V be a p-adic representation of $G_{F,S}$ with coefficients in a finite extension $E/\mathbf{Q}_p$ which is potentially semistable at all $\mathfrak{q} \mid p$. Following Bloch and Kato, for each $\mathfrak{q} \in S$ we define the subgroup $H^1_f(F_{\mathfrak{q}}, V)$ of $H^1(F_{\mathfrak{q}}, V)$ by

$$H^1_f(F_{\mathfrak{q}}, V) = \begin{cases} \ker(H^1(F_{\mathfrak{q}}, V) \to H^1(F_{\mathfrak{q}}, V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{cris}})) & \text{if } \mathfrak{q} \mid p, \\ \ker(H^1(F_{\mathfrak{q}}, V) \to H^1(F^{\mathrm{ur}}_{\mathfrak{q}}, V)) & \text{if } \mathfrak{q} \nmid p. \end{cases}$$

The Bloch–Kato Selmer group of V is defined as

$$H^1_f(V) = \ker\left(H^1_S(V) \to \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q}\in S} \frac{H^1(F_\mathfrak{q},V)}{H^1_f(F_\mathfrak{q},V)} \right).$$

In this section, we assume that, for all $\mathfrak{q} \in S_p$, the representation $V_\mathfrak{q}$ satisfies the condition **S)** of Section 4, namely that

S) $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V_\mathfrak{q})^{\varphi=1} = \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V^*_\mathfrak{q}(1))^{\varphi=1} = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{q} \in S_p$.

For each $\mathfrak{q} \mid p$, we fix a splitting $(\varphi, N, G_{F_\mathfrak{q}})$-submodule $D_\mathfrak{q}$ of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{pst}}(V_\mathfrak{q})$ (see Section 4.1). We will associate to these data a pairing

$$h^{\mathrm{spl}}_{V,D} : H^1_f(V) \times H^1_f(V^*(1)) \to E$$

and compare it with the height pairing constructed in Section 4 of [33] using the exponential map and splitting of the Hodge filtration.

Let $[y] \in H^1_f(V^*(1))$. Fix a representative $y \in C^1(G_{F,S}, V^*(1))$ of y and consider the corresponding extension of Galois representations

$$0 \to V^*(1) \to Y_y \to E \to 0. \tag{72}$$

Passing to duals, we obtain an extension

$$0 \to E(1) \to Y^*_y(1) \to V \to 0.$$

From **S)** it follows that $H^0_S(V) = 0$, and the associated long exact sequence of global Galois cohomology reads

$$0 \to H^1_S(E(1)) \to H^1_S(Y^*_y(1)) \to H^1_S(V) \xrightarrow{\delta^1_V} H^2_S(E(1)) \to \dots.$$

Also, for each place $\mathfrak{q} \in S$ we have the long exact sequence of local Galois cohomology

$$H^0(F_\mathfrak{q},V) \to H^1(F_\mathfrak{q},E(1)) \to H^1(F_\mathfrak{q},Y^*_y(1)) \to \\ \to H^1(F_\mathfrak{q},V) \xrightarrow{\delta^1_{V,\mathfrak{q}}} H^2(F_\mathfrak{q},E(1)) \to \dots.$$

The following results, which can be seen as an analog of Lemma 5.1.3, are well known but we recall them for the reader's convenience.

Lemma 6.1.2. *Let V be a p-adic representation of $G_{F,S}$ that is potentially semistable at all $\mathfrak{q} \in S_p$ and satisfies the condition* **S)**. *Assume that $[y] \in H^1_f(V^*(1))$. Then*

i) $\delta^1_V([x]) = 0$ for all $x \in H^1_f(V)$;

ii) There exists an exact sequence

$$0 \to H^1_f(E(1)) \to H^1_f(Y^*_y(1)) \to H^1_f(V) \to 0.$$

Proof. i) For any $x \in C^1(G_{F,S},V)$, let $x_\mathfrak{q} = \mathrm{res}_\mathfrak{q}(x) \in C^1(G_{F_\mathfrak{q}},V)$ denote the localization of x at $\mathfrak{q}$. If $[x] \in H^1_f(V)$, then for each $\mathfrak{q}$ one has $\delta^1_{V,\mathfrak{q}}([x_\mathfrak{q}]) = -[x_\mathfrak{q}]\cup[y_\mathfrak{q}] = 0$ because $H^1_f(F_\mathfrak{q},V)$ and $H^1_f(F_\mathfrak{q},V^*(1))$ are orthogonal to each other under the cup product. Since the map $H^2_S(E(1)) \to \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q}\in S} H^2(F_\mathfrak{q},E(1))$ is injective and the localization commutes with cup products, this shows that $\delta^1_V([x]) = 0$.

ii) This is a particular case of [21], Proposition II, 2.2.3. □

6.1.3. Let $[x] \in H^1_f(V)$ and $[y] \in H^1_f(V^*(1))$. In Section 4.2, for each $\mathfrak{q} \in S_p$ we constructed the canonical splitting (55) which sits in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1_f(F_\mathfrak{q},E(1)) & \longrightarrow & H^1_f(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q},y}) & \underset{s_{y,\mathfrak{q}}}{\rightleftarrows} & H^1_f(\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow = & & g_{\mathfrak{q},y}\downarrow\simeq & & g_\mathfrak{q}\downarrow\simeq & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1_f(F_\mathfrak{q},E(1)) & \longrightarrow & H^1_f(F_\mathfrak{q},Y_y^*(1)) & \longrightarrow & H^1_f(F_\mathfrak{q},V) & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

By Lemma 6.1.2 ii), we can lift $[x] \in H^1_f(V)$ to an element $\widehat{[x]} \in H^1_f(Y_y^*(1))$. Let $\widehat{[x_\mathfrak{q}]} = \mathrm{res}_\mathfrak{q}\left(\widehat{[x]}\right) \in H^1_f(F_\mathfrak{q},Y_y^*(1))$. If $\mathfrak{q} \in S_p$, we denote by $[\widetilde{x}^+_\mathfrak{q}]$ the unique element of $H^1_f(\mathbf{D}_\mathfrak{q})$ such that $g_\mathfrak{q}([\widetilde{x}^+_\mathfrak{q}]) = [x_\mathfrak{q}]$.

Definition. *The p-adic height pairing associated to splitting submodules $D = (D_\mathfrak{q})_{\mathfrak{q}\in S}$ is defined to be the map*

$$h^{\mathrm{spl}}_{V,D} \,:\, H^1_f(V) \times H^1_f(V^*(1)) \to E$$

given by

$$h^{\mathrm{spl}}_{V,D}([x],[y]) = \sum_{\mathfrak{q}\in S_p} \ell_\mathfrak{q}\left(g_{\mathfrak{q},y}\circ s_{y,\mathfrak{q}}([\widetilde{x}^+_\mathfrak{q}]) - \widehat{[x_\mathfrak{q}]}\right).$$

6.1.4. Remarks. 1) For each $\mathfrak{q} \in \Sigma_p$, denote by $s_{y,\mathfrak{q}} : H^1_f(F_\mathfrak{q},V) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1_f(F_\mathfrak{q},Y_y^*(1))$ the isomorphism constructed in Lemma 5.1.3, i) and by $g_\mathfrak{q} : H^1_f(F_\mathfrak{q},V) \hookrightarrow H^1(F_\mathfrak{q},V)$ and $g_{\mathfrak{q},y} : H^1_f(F_\mathfrak{q},Y_y^*(1)) \hookrightarrow H^1(F_\mathfrak{q},Y_y^*(1))$ the canonical embeddings. Let $[\widetilde{x}^+_\mathfrak{q}] \in H^1_f(F_\mathfrak{q},V)$ be the unique element such that $g_\mathfrak{q}([x^+_\mathfrak{q}]) = [x_\mathfrak{q}]$. From the product formula (64) it follows, that $h^{\mathrm{spl}}_{V,D}$ can be defined by

$$h^{\mathrm{spl}}_{V,D}([x],[y]) = \sum_{\mathfrak{q}\in S} \ell_\mathfrak{q}\left(g_{\mathfrak{q},y}\circ s_{y,\mathfrak{q}}([\widetilde{x}^+_\mathfrak{q}]) - \widehat{[x_\mathfrak{q}]}\right),$$

where $\widehat{[x]} \in H^1_S(V)$ is an arbitrary lift of $[x]$.

2) The pairing $h^{\mathrm{spl}}_{V,D}$ is a bilinear skew-symmetric map. This can be shown directly, but follows from the interpretation of $h^{\mathrm{spl}}_{V,D}$ in terms of Nekovář's height pairing (see Proposition 6.2.3 below).

6.2. Comparision with Nekovář's height pairing.

6.2.1. We relate the pairing $h^{\mathrm{spl}}_{V,D}$ to the p-adic height pairing constructed by Nekovář in [33], Section 4. First recall Nekovář's construction. If $[y] \in H^1_f(V^*(1))$, the extension (72) is crystalline at all $\mathfrak{q} \in S_p$, and therefore the sequence

$$0 \to \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V^*_{\mathfrak{q}}(1)) \to \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(Y_{y,\mathfrak{q}}) \to \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(E(0)_{\mathfrak{q}}) \to 0$$

is exact. Since $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V^*_{\mathfrak{q}}(1))^{\varphi=1} = 0$, we have an isomorphism of vector spaces

$$\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(E(0)_{\mathfrak{q}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(Y_{y,\mathfrak{q}})^{\varphi=1},$$

which can be extended by linearity to a map $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(E(0)_{\mathfrak{q}}) \to \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(Y_{y,\mathfrak{q}})$. Passing to duals, we obtain a $F_{\mathfrak{q}}$-linear map $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(Y^*_{y,\mathfrak{q}}(1)) \to \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(E(1)_{\mathfrak{q}})$ which defines a splitting $s_{\mathrm{dR},\mathfrak{q}}$ of the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(E(1)_{\mathfrak{q}}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(Y^*_{y,\mathfrak{q}}(1)) \underset{s_{\mathrm{dR},\mathfrak{q}}}{\rightleftarrows} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_{\mathfrak{q}}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Fix a splitting $w_{\mathfrak{q}} : \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_{\mathfrak{q}})/\mathrm{Fil}^0\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_{\mathfrak{q}}) \to \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_{\mathfrak{q}})$ of the canonical projection

$$\mathrm{pr}_{\mathrm{dR},V_{\mathfrak{q}}} : \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_{\mathfrak{q}}) \to \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_{\mathfrak{q}})/\mathrm{Fil}^0\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_{\mathfrak{q}}). \tag{73}$$

We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(F_{\mathfrak{q}}, E(1)) & \longrightarrow & H^1_f(F_{\mathfrak{q}}, Y^*_y(1)) & \underset{s^w_{y,\mathfrak{q}}}{\rightleftarrows} & H^1_f(F_{\mathfrak{q}}, V) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \exp_{Y^*_{y,\mathfrak{q}}(1)}} \simeq & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \exp_{V_{\mathfrak{q}}}} \simeq \\
 & & & & \dfrac{\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(Y^*_{y,\mathfrak{q}}(1))}{\mathrm{Fil}^0\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(Y^*_{y,\mathfrak{q}}(1))} & \longrightarrow & \dfrac{\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_{\mathfrak{q}})}{\mathrm{Fil}^0\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_{\mathfrak{q}})} \\
 & & & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{pr}_{\mathrm{dR},V^*_{y,\mathfrak{q}}(1)}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle w_{\mathfrak{q}}} \\
 & & & & \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(Y^*_{y,\mathfrak{q}}(1)) & \underset{s_{\mathrm{dR},\mathfrak{q}}}{\leftarrow} & \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_{\mathfrak{q}}).
\end{array}$$

Then the map $s^w_{y,\mathfrak{q}} : H^1_f(F_{\mathfrak{q}}, V) \to H^1_f(F_{\mathfrak{q}}, Y^*_y(1))$ defined by

$$s^w_{y,\mathfrak{q}} = \exp_{Y^*_{y,\mathfrak{q}}(1)} \circ \mathrm{pr}_{\mathrm{dR},Y^*_{y,\mathfrak{q}}(1)} \circ s_{\mathrm{dR},\mathfrak{q}} \circ w_{\mathfrak{q}} \circ \exp^{-1}_{V_{\mathfrak{q}}}$$

gives a splitting of the top row of the diagram, which depends only on the choice of $w_{\mathfrak{q}}$ and $[y]$.

Definition (NEKOVÁŘ)**.** *The p-adic height pairing associated to a family $w=(w_{\mathfrak{q}})_{\mathfrak{q}\in S_p}$ of splitting $w_{\mathfrak{q}}$ of the projections (73) is defined to be the map*

$$h^{\mathrm{Hodge}}_{V,w}\ :\ H^1_f(V)\times H^1_f(V^*(1))\to E$$

given by

$$h^{\mathrm{Hodge}}_{V,w}([x],[y])=\sum_{\mathfrak{q}|p}\ell_{\mathfrak{q}}\left(s^w_{y,\mathfrak{q}}([x_{\mathfrak{q}}])-\widehat{[x_{\mathfrak{q}}]}\right),$$

where $\widehat{[x]}\in H^1_f(Y_y^*(1))$ is a lift of $[x]\in H^1_f(V)$ and $\widehat{[x_{\mathfrak{q}}]}$ denotes its localization at $\mathfrak{q}$.

In [33], it is proved that $h^{\mathrm{Hodge}}_{V,w}$ is a E-bilinear map.

6.2.2. Now, let $D_{\mathfrak{q}}$ be a splitting submodule of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}/L}(V_{\mathfrak{q}})$. We have

$$\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}/L}(V_{\mathfrak{q}})=D_{\mathfrak{q},L}\oplus\mathrm{Fil}^0\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}/L}(V_{\mathfrak{q}}),\qquad D_{\mathfrak{q},L}=D_{\mathfrak{q}}\otimes_{L_0}L. \tag{74}$$

Set $D_{\mathfrak{q},F_{\mathfrak{q}}}=(D_{\mathfrak{q},L})^{G_{F_{\mathfrak{q}}}}$. Since the decomposition (74) is compatible with the Galois action, taking galois invariants we have

$$\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_{\mathfrak{q}})=D_{\mathfrak{q},F_{\mathfrak{q}}}\oplus\mathrm{Fil}^0\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_{\mathfrak{q}}).$$

This decomposition defines a splitting of the projection (73) which we will denote by $w_{D,\mathfrak{q}}$.

Proposition 6.2.3. *Let V be a p-adic representation of $G_{F,S}$ such that for each $\mathfrak{q}\in S_p$ the restriction of V on the decomposition group at $\mathfrak{q}$ is potentially semistable and satisfies the condition* **S)**. *Let $(D_{\mathfrak{q}})_{\mathfrak{q}\in S_p}$ be a family of splitting submodules and let $w_D=(w_{D,\mathfrak{q}})_{\mathfrak{q}\in S_p}$ be the associated system of splittings. Then*

$$h^{\mathrm{spl}}_{V,D}=h^{\mathrm{Hodge}}_{V,w_D}.$$

We need the following auxiliary result. As before, we denote by $\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ the $(\varphi,\Gamma_{\mathfrak{q}})$-module associated to $D_{\mathfrak{q}}$.

Lemma 6.2.4. *The following diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}}) & \xrightarrow{s_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}},y}} & \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q},y})\\ \downarrow & & \downarrow\\ \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_{\mathfrak{q}}) & \xrightarrow{s_{\mathrm{dR},\mathfrak{q}}} & \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(Y^*_{y,\mathfrak{q}}(1)),\end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are induced by the canonical inclusions of corresponding $(\varphi,\Gamma_{\mathfrak{q}})$-modules and $s_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}},y}$ is the map induced by the splitting (54), is commutative.

Proof of the lemma. The proof is an easy exercice and is omitted here. □

Proof of Proposition 6.2.3. From the functoriality of the exponential map and Proposition 4.1.2 it follows that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}}) & \xrightarrow{\exp_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}}}} & H^1_f(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}}) \\ \downarrow = & & \downarrow = \\ \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_{\mathfrak{q}})/\mathrm{Fil}^0\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_{\mathfrak{q}}) & \xrightarrow{\exp_{V_{\mathfrak{q}}}} & H^1_f(F_{\mathfrak{q}},V) \end{array} \tag{75}$$

is commutative. The same holds if we replace $V_{\mathfrak{q}}$ and $\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ by $Y^*_{y,\mathfrak{q}}(1)$ and $\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q},y}$ respectively. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}}) & \xrightarrow{s_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}},y}} & \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q},y}) \\ \downarrow & & = \uparrow \\ \dfrac{\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_{\mathfrak{q}})}{\mathrm{Fil}^0\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_{\mathfrak{q}})} & & \dfrac{\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(Y^*_{y,\mathfrak{q}}(1))}{\mathrm{Fil}^0\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(Y^*_{y,\mathfrak{q}}(1))}, \\ \downarrow w_{D,\mathfrak{q}} & & \uparrow \mathrm{pr}_{\mathrm{dR},Y^*_{y,\mathfrak{q}}(1)} \\ \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_{\mathfrak{q}}) & \xrightarrow{s_{\mathrm{dR},\mathfrak{q}}} & \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(Y^*_{y,\mathfrak{q}}(1)). \end{array} \tag{76}$$

From the definition of $w_{D,\mathfrak{q}}$, it follows that the composition of vertical maps in the left (resp. right) colomn is induced by the inclusion $\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}} \subset \mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_{\mathfrak{q}})$ (resp. by $\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q},y} \subset \mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(Y^*_{y,\mathfrak{q}}(1))$) and therefore the diagram (76) is commutative by Lemma 6.2.4. From the commutativity of (75) and (76) and the definition of $s_{y,\mathfrak{q}}$ and $s^w_{y,\mathfrak{q}}$, it follows now that $s_{y,\mathfrak{q}} = s^w_{y,\mathfrak{q}}$ for all $\mathfrak{q} \in S_p$, and the proposition is proved. □

6.3. Comparision with $h^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V,D}$.

6.3.1. In this section, we compare the pairing $h^{\mathrm{spl}}_{V,D}$ with the pairing $h^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V,D}$ constructed in Section 5. Let V be a p-adic representation of $G_{F,S}$ that is potentially semistable at all $\mathfrak{q} \in S_p$. Fix a system $(D_{\mathfrak{q}})_{\mathfrak{q}\in S_p}$ of splitting submodules and denote by $(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}})_{\mathfrak{q}\in S_p}$ the system of $(\varphi,\Gamma_{\mathfrak{q}})$-submodules of $\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_{\mathfrak{q}})$ associated to $(D_{\mathfrak{q}})_{\mathfrak{q}\in S_p}$ by Theorem 2.2.3. We will assume, that (V,D) satisfies the condition **S)** of Section 6.1 and the condition **N2)** of Section 5.1. Note, that **S)** implies **N1)**. We also remark, that from Proposition 2.8.2 i) and the fact that the Hodge–Tate weights of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}/L}(V_{\mathfrak{q}})/D_{\mathfrak{q}}$ and $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}/L}(V^*_{\mathfrak{q}}(1))/D^{\perp}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ are positive, it follows that, under our assumptions, **N2)** is equivalent to the following condition

N2') For each $\mathfrak{q} \in S_p$,

$$(\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}/L}(V_{\mathfrak{q}})/D_{\mathfrak{q}})^{\varphi=1,N=0,G_{L/F_{\mathfrak{q}}}} = (\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{st}/L}(V_{\mathfrak{q}}^*(1))/D_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\perp})^{\varphi=1,N=0,G_{L/F_{\mathfrak{q}}}} = 0,$$

where L is a finite extension of $F_{\mathfrak{q}}$ such that $V_{\mathfrak{q}}$ (respectively $V_{\mathfrak{q}}^*(1)$) is semistable over L.

6.3.2. The following statement is known (see [37] and [6]), but we prove it here for completeness.

Proposition 6.3.3. *Assume that V is a p-adic representation satisfying the conditions* **S)** *and* **N2)**. *Then*

i) $H^1_f(F_{\mathfrak{q}},V) = H^1_f(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}}) = H^1(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}})$ *and* $H^1_f(F_{\mathfrak{q}},V^*(1)) = H^1_f(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\perp}) = H^1(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\perp})$ *for all* $\mathfrak{q} \in S_p$.

ii) $H^1_f(V) \simeq H^1(V,\mathbf{D})$ *and* $H^1_f(V^*(1)) \simeq H^1(V^*(1),\mathbf{D}^{\perp})$.

Proof. i) The first statement follows from **N2)** and Proposition 4.1.2 iii).

ii) Note that by i)

$$\mathbf{R}^1\Gamma(F_{\mathfrak{q}},V,\mathbf{D}) = \begin{cases} H^1_f(F_{\mathfrak{q}},V), & \text{if } \mathfrak{q} \in \Sigma_p, \\ H^1(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}}), & \text{if } \mathfrak{q} \in S_p. \end{cases}$$

By definition, the group $H^1(V,\mathbf{D})$ is the kernel of the morphism

$$H^1_S(V) \bigoplus \left(\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q}\in\Sigma_p} H^1_f(F_{\mathfrak{q}},V) \right) \bigoplus \left(\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q}\in S_p} H^1(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}}) \right) \to \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q}\in S} H^1(F_{\mathfrak{q}},V)$$

given by

$$([x],[y_{\mathfrak{q}}]_{\mathfrak{q}\in S}) \mapsto ([x_{\mathfrak{q}}] - g_{\mathfrak{q}}(y_{\mathfrak{q}}))_{\mathfrak{q}\in S}, \qquad [x_{\mathfrak{q}}] = \mathrm{res}_{\mathfrak{q}}([x]),$$

where $g_{\mathfrak{q}}$ denotes the canonical inclusion $H^1_f(F_{\mathfrak{q}},V) \to H^1(F_{\mathfrak{q}},V)$ if $\mathfrak{q} \in \Sigma_p$ and the map $H^1(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}}) \to H^1(F_{\mathfrak{q}},V)$ if $\mathfrak{q} \in S_p$. In the both cases, $g_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is injective and, in addition, for each $\mathfrak{q} \in S_p$ we have $H^1(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}}) = H^1_f(F_{\mathfrak{q}},V)$ by i). This implies that $H^1(V,\mathbf{D}) = H^1_f(V)$. The same argument shows that $H^1(V^*(1),\mathbf{D}^{\perp}) = H^1_f(V^*(1))$. □

Theorem 6.3.4. *Let V be a p-adic representation such that $V_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is potentially semistable for each $\mathfrak{q} \in S_p$, and let $(D_{\mathfrak{q}})_{\mathfrak{q}\in S_p}$ be a family of splitting submodules. Assume that (V,D) satisfies the conditions* **S)** *and* **N2)**. *Then*

$$h^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V,D} = h^{\mathrm{spl}}_{V,D}.$$

Proof. First note, that in our case the element $[\widetilde{x}^+_{\mathfrak{q}}]$, defined in Section 6.1.3, coincides with $[x^+_{\mathfrak{q}}]$. Comparing the definitions of $h^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V,D}$ and $h^{\mathrm{spl}}_{V,D}$ we see that

it is enough to show that $\ell_{\mathfrak{q}}\left(\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{q},y}([x^{\mathrm{Iw}}_{\mathfrak{q},y}]) - s_{\mathfrak{q},y}([x^{+}_{\mathfrak{q}}])\right) = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{q} \in S_p$. The splitting $s_{y,\mathfrak{q}}$ of the exact sequence

$$0 \to H^1_f(F_{\mathfrak{q}}, E(1)) \to H^1_f(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q},y}) \to H^1(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}}) \to 0$$

(see (55)) gives an isomorphism

$$H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q},y})_{\Gamma^0_{\mathfrak{q}}} \simeq H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}})_{\Gamma^0_{\mathfrak{q}}} \oplus H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathscr{R}_{F_{\mathfrak{q}},E}(\chi))_{\Gamma^0_{\mathfrak{q}}} \simeq H^1(\mathbf{D}_{\mathfrak{q}}) \oplus H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(F_{\mathfrak{q}}, E(1))_{\Gamma^0_{\mathfrak{q}}}.$$

Since $\pi_{\mathbf{D},\mathfrak{q}}\left(\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{q},y}([x^{\mathrm{Iw}}_{\mathfrak{q},y}]) - s_{\mathfrak{q},y}([x^{+}_{\mathfrak{q}}])\right) = 0$, from this decomposition it follows that

$$\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{q},y}([w_{\mathfrak{q}}]) - s_{\mathfrak{q},y}([x^{+}_{\mathfrak{q}}]) \in H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(F_{\mathfrak{q}}, E(1))_{\Gamma^0_{\mathfrak{q}}} = \ker(\ell_{\mathfrak{q}}),$$

and the theorem is proved. □

Corollary 6.3.5. *If (V,D) satisfies the conditions* **S)** *and* **N2)**, *then the height pairings $h^{\mathrm{sel}}_{V,D,1}$, $h^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V,D}$ and $h^{\mathrm{spl}}_{V,D}$ coincide.*

Proof. This follows from Theorems 5.2.2 and 6.3.4. □

7. *p*-ADIC HEIGHT PAIRINGS IV: EXTENDED SELMER GROUPS

7.1. Extended Selmer groups.

7.1.1. Let $F = \mathbf{Q}$. Let V be a p-adic representation of $G_{\mathbf{Q},S}$ that is potentially semistable at p. We fix a splitting submodule D of V. In Section 4.3, we associated to D a canonical filtration $\left(F_i\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)\right)_{-2\leqslant i\leqslant 2}$. Recall that $F_0\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V) = \mathbf{D}$. We maintain the notation of Section 4.3 and set $\mathbf{M}_0 = \mathbf{D}/F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)$, $\mathbf{M}_1 = F_1\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)/\mathbf{D}$ and $\mathbf{W} = F_1\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)/F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)$. The exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbf{M}_0 \to \mathbf{W} \to \mathbf{M}_1 \to 0$$

induces the coboundary map $\delta_0 : H^0(\mathbf{M}_1) \to H^1(\mathbf{M}_0)$. In this section, we generalize the construction of the height pairing $h^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V,D}$ to the case when V satisfies the conditions **F1-2)** of Subsection 4.3, namely

F1) For all $i \in \mathbf{Z}$

$$\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{pst}}(\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)/F_1\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))^{\varphi=p^i} = \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{pst}}(F_{-1}\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))^{\varphi=p^i} = 0.$$

F2) The composed maps

$$\delta_{0,c} : H^0(\mathbf{M}_1) \xrightarrow{\delta_0} H^1(\mathbf{M}_0) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_c} H^1_c(\mathbf{M}_0),$$

$$\delta_{0,f} : H^0(\mathbf{M}_1) \xrightarrow{\delta_0} H^1(\mathbf{M}_0) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_f} H^1_f(\mathbf{M}_0),$$

where the second arrows denote the canonical projections, are isomorphisms. Note, that if V satisfies the conditions **N1-2)** of Section 5, we have $\mathbf{M}_0 = \mathbf{M}_1 = 0$.

7.1.2. Define a bilinear map

$$\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{\mathbf{D},f} : H^1_f(\mathbf{M}_0)\times H^1_f(\mathbf{M}_1^*(\chi))\to E$$

as the composition

$$H^1_f(\mathbf{M}_0)\times H^1_f(\mathbf{M}_1^*(\chi)) \xrightarrow{(\delta_{0,f}^{-1},\mathrm{id})} H^0(\mathbf{M}_1)\times H^1_f(\mathbf{M}_1^*(\chi)) \xrightarrow{\cup} H^1(\mathscr{R}_{\mathbf{Q}_p,E}(\chi)) \xrightarrow{\ell_{\mathbf{Q}_p}} E.$$

Lemma 7.1.3. *For all $x\in H^1_f(\mathbf{M}_0)$ and $y\in H^1_f(\mathbf{M}_1^*(\chi))$ we have*

$$\langle x,y\rangle_{\mathbf{D},f} = -[i^{-1}_{\mathbf{M}_1^*(\chi),f}(y),\delta^{-1}_{0,f}(x)]_{\mathbf{M}_1},$$

where $[\ ,\ ]_{\mathbf{M}_0} : \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{M}_1^*(\chi))\times\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{M}_1)\to E$ denotes the canonical duality and $i_{\mathbf{M}_1^*(\chi),f} : \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{M}_1^*(\chi))\to H^1_f(\mathbf{M}_1^*(\chi))$ is the isomorphism constructed in Proposition 2.8.4.

Proof. Recall that for each $z\in H^1(\mathscr{R}_{\mathbf{Q}_p,E}(\chi))$ we have $\mathrm{inv}_p(w_p\cup z)=\ell_p(z)$, where $w_p=(0,\log\chi(\gamma_{\mathbf{Q}_p}))$. Therefore, using Proposition 2.8.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle x,y\rangle_{\mathbf{D},f} = \ell_{\mathbf{Q}_p}(\delta^{-1}_{0,f}(x)\cup y) = \mathrm{inv}_p(w_p\cup\delta^{-1}_{0,f}(x)\cup y) =\\
&= -\mathrm{inv}_p(i_{\mathbf{M}_1,c}(\delta^{-1}_{0,f}(x))\cup y)) =\\
&= -\mathrm{inv}_p(i_{\mathbf{M}_1,c}(\delta^{-1}_{0,f}(x))\cup i_{\mathbf{M}_1^*(\chi),f}\circ i^{-1}_{\mathbf{M}_1^*(\chi),f}(y)) =\\
&= -[i^{-1}_{\mathbf{M}_1^*(\chi),f}(y),\delta^{-1}_{0,f}(x)]_{\mathbf{M}_1}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

7.1.4. Let $\rho_{\mathbf{D},f}$ and$\rho_{\mathbf{D},c}$ denote the composed maps

$$\rho_{\mathbf{D},f} : H^1(\mathbf{D})\to H^1(\mathbf{M}_0)\xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_f} H^1_f(\mathbf{M}_0),$$
$$\rho_{\mathbf{D},c} : H^1(\mathbf{D})\to H^1(\mathbf{M}_0)\xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_c} H^1_c(\mathbf{M}_0).$$

Note that $H^0(\mathbf{M}_1)=H^0(\mathbf{D}')$.

Proposition 7.1.5. *Let V be a p-adic representation of $G_{\mathbf{Q},S}$ which is potentially semistable at p. Assume that the restriction of V on the decomposition group at p satisfies the conditions* **F1-2)** *of Section 4.3. Then*

i) There exists an exact sequence

$$0\to H^0(\mathbf{D}')\to H^1(V,\mathbf{D})\to H^1_f(V)\to 0. \tag{77}$$

ii) The map

$$\mathrm{spl}_{V,\mathbf{D}} : H^1(V,\mathbf{D})\to H^0(\mathbf{D}'),$$
$$\big[(x,(x^+_{\mathfrak{q}}),(\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}}))\big]\mapsto \delta^{-1}_{0,c}\circ\rho_{\mathbf{D},c}\big([x^+_p]\big)$$

defines a canonical splitting of (77).

Proof. The first statement is proved in [6], Proposition 11. It follows directly from the definition of Selmer complexes and the exact sequence (59). The second statement follows immediately from the definition of $\mathrm{spl}_{V,\mathbf{D}}$. □

From Proposition 7.1.5 it follows that we have a canonical decomposition

$$H^1(V,\mathbf{D}) \simeq H^1_f(V) \oplus H^0(\mathbf{D}')$$

and we denote by $j_{V,\mathbf{D}} : H^1_f(V) \to H^1(V,\mathbf{D})$ the resulting injection.

7.2. The pairing $h^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V,D}$ for extended Selmer groups.

7.2.1. We keep previous notation and conventions. Let $[y] \in H^1_f(V^*(1))$ and let Y_y denote the associated extention (72). As before, we denote by $\mathbf{D}_y$ the inverse image of $\mathbf{D}$ in $\mathbf{D}^\dagger_{\mathrm{rig}}(Y_y^*(1)_p)$. By Proposition 4.3.4 iii), the representation V_p satisfies the condition **S)**, and therefore the exact sequence (54) have a canonical splitting $s_{\mathbf{D},y}$. Thus, we have the following diagram which can be seen as an analog of the diagram (65) in our situation

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & & 0 & & & & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\
\mathscr{H}(\Gamma^0_{\mathbf{Q}_p}) \otimes_{\Lambda_{E,\mathbf{Q}_p}} H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{Q}_p, E(1)) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, E(1)) & \xrightarrow{\ell_{\mathbf{Q}_p}} & E & & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\
H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D}_y) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbf{D},y}} & H^1(\mathbf{D}_y) & \xleftarrow{\partial_0} & H^0(\mathbf{D}') & & \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi^{\mathrm{Iw}}_{\mathbf{D}}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_{\mathbf{D}}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle =} & & \\
H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbf{D}}} & H^1(\mathbf{D}) & \xleftarrow{\partial_0} & H^0(\mathbf{D}') & & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\
0 & & 0. & & & &
\end{array}$$

In the diagram (66), the maps g_v and $g_{v,y}$ are no more injective and we consider the following diagram with exact rows and columns

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & & & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & & H^0(\mathbf{D}') & \xrightarrow{=} & H^0(\mathbf{D}') & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \partial_0} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \partial_0} & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, E(1)) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbf{D}_y) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathbf{D}}} & H^1(\mathbf{D}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle =} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g_{p,y}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g_p} & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, E(1)) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, Y_y^*(1)) & \xrightarrow{\pi_p} & H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, V). & &
\end{array}$$

By Proposition 4.3.4 v), $\mathrm{Im}(g_p) = H^1_f(\mathbf{Q}_p, V)$. Let $[x] \in H^1_f(V)$ and let $\left[(x, (\widetilde{x}^+_{\mathfrak{q}}), (\widetilde{\lambda}_{\mathfrak{q}}))\right] = j_{V,\mathbf{D}}([x])$. Then $[\widetilde{x}^+_p]$ is the unique element of $H^1_f(\mathbf{D})$ such that $g_p([\widetilde{x}^+_p]) = [x_p]$. Let

$$\widehat{[x]} \in \ker\left(H^1_S(Y_y^*(1)) \to \frac{H^1(\mathbf{Q}_{\mathfrak{q}}, Y_y^*(1))}{H^1_f(\mathbf{Q}_{\mathfrak{q}}, Y_y^*(1))} \right)$$

be an arbitrary lift of $[x]$. (Note, that by Lemma 6.1.2, we can even take $\widehat{[x]} \in H^1_f(Y_y^*(1))$.) By the five lemma there exists a unique $\widehat{[x^+_p]} \in H^1(\mathbf{D}_y)$ such that $g_{p,y}(\widehat{[x^+_p]}) = f_p(\widehat{[x]})$ and $\pi_{\mathbf{D}}(\widehat{[x^+_p]}) = [\widetilde{x}^+_p]$. On the other hand, from Proposition 4.3.6 it follows that there exist $\left[x^{\mathrm{Iw}}_{p,y}\right] \in H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D}_y)$ and $[t_p] \in H^0(\mathbf{D}')$ such that

$$[\widetilde{x}^+_p] + \partial_0([t_p]) = \mathrm{pr}_{\mathbf{D}} \circ \pi^{\mathrm{Iw}}_{\mathbf{D}} \left(\left[x^{\mathrm{Iw}}_{p,y}\right] \right). \tag{78}$$

Set

$$[u_p] = \mathrm{pr}_{\mathbf{D},y} \left(\left[x^{\mathrm{Iw}}_{p,y}\right] \right) - \partial_0(t_p) - \widehat{[x^+_p]}. \tag{79}$$

Then $[u_p] \in H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, E(1))$.

Definition. *Let V be a p-adic representation satisfying the conditions* **F1-2)**. *We define the height pairing*

$$h^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V,D} : H^1_f(V) \times H^1_f(V^*(1)) \to E$$

by

$$h^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V,D}([x],[y]) = \ell_{\mathbf{Q}_p}([u_p]).$$

It is easy to see that $h^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V,D}([x],[y])$ does not depend on the choice of the lift $\left[x^{\mathrm{Iw}}_{p,y}\right]$. The following result generalizes Theorem 11.4.6 of [34].

Theorem 7.2.2. *Let V be a p-adic representation of $G_{\mathbf{Q},S}$ that is potentially semistable at p and satisfies the conditions* **F1-2)**. *Then*

i) $h^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V,D} = h^{\mathrm{spl}}_{V,D}$;

ii) For all $[x^{\mathrm{sel}}] = [(x,(x^+_{\mathfrak{q}}),(\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}}))] \in H^1(V,\mathbf{D})$ *and* $[y^{\mathrm{sel}}] = [(y,(y^+_{\mathfrak{q}}),(\mu_{\mathfrak{q}}))] \in H^1(V^*(1),\mathbf{D}^\perp)$ *we have*

$$h^{\mathrm{sel}}_{V,D}([x^{\mathrm{sel}}],[y^{\mathrm{sel}}]) = h^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V,D}([x],[y]) + \left\langle \rho_{\mathbf{D},f}([x^+_p]), \rho_{\mathbf{D}^\perp,f}(y^+_p) \right\rangle_{\mathbf{D},f}.$$

Proof. i) Recall that in the definition of $h^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V,D}$ we can take $\widehat{[x]} \in H^1_f(Y^*_y(1))$. Comparing the definitions of $h^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V,D}$ and $h^{\mathrm{spl}}_{V,D}$, we see that it is enough to prove that

$$[u_p] - \left(s_{y,p}([\widetilde{x}^+_p]) - [\widehat{x}_p]\right) \in \ker(\ell_{\mathbf{Q}_p}),$$

where $[u_p]$ is defined by (79) and $s_{y,p}$ denotes the splitting (55). Since the restriction of $g_{p,y}$ on $H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p,E(1))$ is the identity map, we have

$$[u_p] = g_{p,y}([u_p]) = g_{p,y}([x^{\mathrm{Iw}}_{p,y}]) - [\widehat{x}_p],$$

and it is enough to check that

$$g_{p,y}([x^{\mathrm{Iw}}_{p,y}]) - g_{p,y} \circ s_{y,p}([\widetilde{x}^+_p]) \in \ker(\ell_{\mathbf{Q}_p}). \tag{80}$$

First remark that the canonical splitting (54) induces splittings $s^{\mathrm{Iw}}_{p,y}$ and $s_{p,y}$ in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathscr{R}_{\mathbf{Q}_p,E}(\chi)) & \longrightarrow & H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D}_y) & \overset{s^{\mathrm{Iw}}_{p,y}}{\dashleftarrow\!\!\dashrightarrow} & H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{pr}_{\mathbf{D},y}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{pr}_{\mathbf{D}}} & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p,E(1)) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbf{D}_y) & \overset{s_{p,y}}{\dashleftarrow\!\!\dashrightarrow} & H^1(\mathbf{D}) & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array}$$

Write $[x^{\mathrm{Iw}}_{p,y}]$ in the form

$$[x^{\mathrm{Iw}}_{p,y}] = s^{\mathrm{Iw}}_{p,y}(a^{\mathrm{Iw}}) + b^{\mathrm{Iw}}, \qquad a^{\mathrm{Iw}} \in H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D}), \quad b^{\mathrm{Iw}} \in H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathscr{R}_{\mathbf{Q}_p,E}(\chi)).$$

By the definition of $[x^{\mathrm{Iw}}_{p,y}]$, we have

$$\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbf{D},y}([x^{\mathrm{Iw}}_{p,y}]) = s_{y,p}(a) + b,$$

where $b \in \ker(\ell_{\mathbf{Q}_p}) = H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p,E(1))_{\Gamma^0_{\mathbf{Q}_p}}$ and

$$a = \partial([t_p]) + s_{p,y}([\widetilde{x}^+_p]) \in H^1(\mathbf{D}).$$

Since $g_{p,y}(s_{y,p}(\partial_0([t_p]))) = 0$, we have

$$g_{p,y}(\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbf{D},y}([x^{\mathrm{Iw}}_{p,y}])) = b + g_{p,y}(s_{y,p}(a)) = b + g_{p,y}(s_{y,p}([\widetilde{x}^+_p])),$$

and (80) is checked.

ii) The proof is the same as that of Theorem 11.4.6 of [34] with obvious modifications. First note that $j_{V,\mathbf{D}}([x^{\mathrm{sel}}]) = (x, (\widetilde{x}_{\mathfrak{q}}^{+}), (\widetilde{\lambda}_{\mathfrak{q}}))$, where

$$\widetilde{x}_p^{+} = x_p^{+} - \partial_0 \circ \left(\delta_{0,c}^{-1} \circ \rho_{\mathbf{D},c} \left([x_p^{+}] \right) \right).$$

Set

$$[t_p] = -\delta_{0,f}^{-1} \circ \rho_{\mathbf{D},f}([x_p^{+}]). \tag{81}$$

Then

$$\rho_{\mathbf{D},f}([\widetilde{x}_p^{+}]) + \rho_{\mathbf{D},f}(\partial_0([t_p])) = \rho_{\mathbf{D},f}([\widetilde{x}_p^{+}]) + \delta_{0,f}([t_p]) = 0.$$

Thus, the image of $[\widetilde{x}_p^{+}] + \partial_0([t_p])$ under the projection $H^1(\mathbf{D}) \to H^1(\mathbf{M}_0)$ lies in $H^1_c(\mathbf{M}_0)$. Now, from the diagram (61) we obtain that $[\widetilde{x}_p^{+}] + \partial_0([t_p]) \in H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D})_{\Gamma^0_{\mathbf{Q}_p}}$, and there exists $\left[x^{\mathrm{Iw}}_{p,y}\right] \in H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbf{D}_y)$ which satisfies (78) with $[t_p]$ given by (81).

It is not difficult to check that the statement of Lemma 5.2.3 holds if we replace $\widehat{b}_v$ by

$$\widehat{b}_p = w_p \cup (u_p + \partial_0(t_p)).$$

Since $g_{p,y}(\partial_0([t_p])) = 0$, there exists $\widetilde{t}_p \in \mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(Y_y^*(1)_p)$ such that $\widetilde{t}_p \mapsto t_p$ under the projection $\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{rig}}(Y_y^*(1)_p) \to \mathbf{D}'_y$ and

$$g_{p,y}(\partial_0(t_0)) = d_0(\widetilde{t}_p) = ((\varphi - 1)(\widetilde{t}_p), (\gamma_{\mathbf{Q}_p} - 1)(\widetilde{t}_p)).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} g_{p,y}(\widehat{b}_p) &= w_p \cup u_p + w_p \cup g_{p,y}(d_0\widetilde{t}_p), \\ g_p(b_p) \cup \mu_p &= w_p \cup g_p(d\widetilde{t}_p) \cup \mu_p. \end{aligned}$$

Since the projection of $\widehat{y}$ on E is 1, we have $w_p \cup u_p \cup f_p^{\perp}(\widehat{y}) = w_p \cup u_p$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{inv}_p(g_{p,y}(\widehat{b}_p) \cup f_p^{\perp}(\widehat{y}) + g_p(b_p) \cup \mu_p) = \\ &= \ell_{\mathbf{Q}_p}(u_p) + \mathrm{inv}_p(w_p \cup g_{p,y}(d\widetilde{t}_p) \cup f_p^{\perp}(\widehat{y}) + w_p \cup g_p(\pi_p(d\widetilde{t}_p)) \cup \mu_p) = \\ &= \ell_{\mathbf{Q}_p}(u_p) - \mathrm{inv}_p(w_p \cup g_{p,y}(\widetilde{t}_p) \cup d f_p^{\perp}(\widehat{y}) + w_p \cup g_p(\widetilde{t}_p) \cup d\mu_p) = \\ &= \ell_{\mathbf{Q}_p}(u_p) - \mathrm{inv}_p(w_p \cup \widetilde{t}_p \cup (f_p^{\perp}(y) + d\mu_p)) = \\ &= \ell_{\mathbf{Q}_p}(u_p) - \mathrm{inv}_p(w_p \cup \widetilde{t}_p \cup g_p(y_p^{+})) = \ell_{\mathbf{Q}_p}(u_p) - \mathrm{inv}_p(w_p \cup t_p \cup g_p(y_p^{+})) = \\ &\qquad \ell_{\mathbf{Q}_p}(u_p) - \ell_{\mathbf{Q}_p}(t_p \cup g_p(y_p^{+})). \end{aligned} \tag{82}$$

Now we remark that $\ell_{\mathbf{Q}_p}(t_p \cup g_p(y_p^+)) = \ell_{\mathbf{Q}_p}\big(t_p \cup \rho_{\mathbf{D}^\perp,f}(y_p^+)\big)$ and, taking into account (81), we have

$$\ell_{\mathbf{Q}_p}(t_p \cup g_p(y_p^+)) = -\ell_{\mathbf{Q}_p}\big(\delta_{0,f}^{-1} \circ \rho_{\mathbf{D},f}([x_p^+]) \cup \rho_{\mathbf{D},f}([y_p^+])\big) = \\ = -\Big\langle \rho_{\mathbf{D},f}([x_p^+]), \rho_{\mathbf{D}^\perp,f}([y_p^+]) \Big\rangle_{\mathbf{D},f}. \tag{83}$$

The first formula of Lemma 5.2.4 still holds in our case. From (82), (83) and the definition of $h^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V,D}$ it follows that

$$h^{\mathrm{sel}}_{V,D}([x_f],[y_f]) = \mathrm{inv}_p(g_{p,y}(\widehat{b}_p) \cup f_p^\perp(\widehat{y}) + g_p(b_p) \cup \mu_p) = \\ \ell_{\mathbf{Q}_p}(u_p) + \Big\langle \rho_{\mathbf{D},f}([x_p^+]), \rho_{\mathbf{D}^\perp,f}([y_p^+]) \Big\rangle_{\mathbf{D},f} = \\ = h^{\mathrm{norm}}_{V,D}([x],[y]) + \Big\langle \rho_{\mathbf{D},f}([x_p^+]), \rho_{\mathbf{D}^\perp,f}([y_p^+]) \Big\rangle_{\mathbf{D},f}$$

and the theorem is proved. □

REFERENCES

[1] J. Bellaïche, *Critical p-adic L-functions*, Invent. Math. **189** (2012), 1-60.

[2] D. Benois, *Périodes p-adiques et lois de réciprocité explicites*, J. reine angew. Math. 493 (1997), 115-151

[3] D. Benois, *On Iwasawa theory of p-adic representations*, Duke Math. J. **104** (2000), 211-267.

[4] D. Benois, *A generalization of Greenberg's $\mathscr{L}$-invariant*, Amer. J. Math. **133** (2011), 1573-1632.

[5] D. Benois, *On extra zeros of p-adic L-functions: the crystalline case*, To appear in: Iwasawa theory 2012. State of the Art and Recent Advances (T. Bouganis and O. Venjakob, eds.), Contributions in Mathematical and Computational Sciences, vol. 7, Springer, 2015. Preprint available on http://arxiv.org/abs/1305.0431.

[6] D. Benois, *Selmer Complexes and p-adic Hodge theory*, to appear in "Proceedings Arithmetic Geometry Program, Hausdorff Institute for Mathematics, Bonn 2012/13" London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. Preprint available on http://arxiv.org/pdf/1404.7386.pdf, 47 pages.

[7] D. Benois, K. Büyükboduk, *On the exceptional zeros of p-adic L-functions of modular forms*, (in progress)

[8] L. Berger, *Représentations p-adiques et équations différentielles*, Invent. Math. **148** (2002), 219-284.

[9] L. Berger, *Bloch and KatoâĂŹs exponential map: three explicit formulas*, Doc. Math., Extra Volume: Kazuya Kato's Fiftieth Birthday (2003), pp. 99-129.

[10] L. Berger, *Equations différentielles p-adiques et (φ,N)-modules filtrés*, In: Représentations *p*-adiques de groupes *p*-adiques I (L. Berger, P. Colmez, Ch. Breuil eds.), Astérisque **319** (2008), 13-38

[11] L. Berger, P. Colmez, *Familles de représentations de de Rham et monodromie p-adique*, In: Représentations *p*-adiques de groupes *p*-adiques I (L. Berger, P. Colmez, Ch. Breuil eds.), Astérisque **319** (2008), 303-337.

[12] S. Bloch, K. Kato, *L-functions and Tamagawa numbers of motives,* In: The Grothendieck Festschrift, vol. 1 (P. Cartier, L. Illusie, N. M. Katz, G. Laumon, Yu. Manin, K. Ribet, eds.), Progress in Math. vol. 86, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990, pp. 333-400.

[13] F. Cherbonnier, P. Colmez, *Représentations p-adiques surconvergentes,* Invent. Math. **133** (1998), 581-611.

[14] F. Cherbonnier, P. Colmez, *Théorie d'Iwasawa des représentations p-adiques d'un corps local,* J. Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (1999), 241-268.

[15] P. Colmez, *Les conjectures de monodromie p-adiques,* in: SÂt'eminaire Bourbaki. Vol 2001/2002, AstÂt'erisque **290** (2003), 53-101.

[16] P. Colmez, *Représentations triangulines de dimension* 2, In: Représentations *p*-adiques de groupes *p*-adiques I (L. Berger, P. Colmez, Ch. Breuil eds.), Astérisque **319** (2008), 213-258.

[17] M. Flach, *A generalization of the Cassels–Tate pairing,* J. Reine Angew. Math. **412** (1990), 113-127.

[18] J.-M. Fontaine, *Représentations p-adiques des corps locaux,* In: The Grothendieck Festschrift, vol. 2 (P. Cartier, L. Illusie, N. M. Katz, G. Laumon, Yu. Manin, K. Ribet, eds.), Progress in Math. vol. 87, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990, pp. 249-309.

[19] J.-M. Fontaine, *Le corps des périodes p-adiques,* In: Périodes *p*-adiques (J.-M. Fontaine, ed.), Astérisque **223** (1994), 59-102.

[20] J.-M. Fontaine, *Représentations p-adiques semi-stables,* In: Périodes *p*-adiques (J.-M. Fontaine, ed.), Astérisque, **223** (1994), 59-102.

[21] J.-M. Fontaine, B. Perrin-Riou, *Autour des conjectures de Bloch et Kato; cohomologie galoisienne et valeurs de fonctions L,* In: Motives (U. Jannsen, S. Kleiman, J.-P. Serre, eds.), Proc. Symp. in Pure Math., vol. 55, part 1, 1994, pp. 599-706.

[22] R. Greenberg, *Iwasawa theory for p-adic representations,* In: Algebraic Number Theory – in honor of K. Iwasawa (J. Coates, R. Greenberg, B. Mazur and I. Satake, eds.), Adv. Studies in Pure Math. vol. 17 (1989), pp. 97-137.

[23] R. Greenberg, *Trivial zeros of p-adic L-functions,* In: *p*-Adic Monodromy and the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer Conjecture (B. Mazur and G. Stevens, eds.), Contemp. Math., vol. 165, 1994, pp. 149-174.

[24] R. Greenberg, *Iwasawa Theory and p-adic Deformations of Motives,* In: Motives (U. Jannsen, S. Kleiman, J.-P. Serre, eds.), Proc. of Symp. in Pure Math., vol. 55, part 2, 1994, pp. 193-223.

[25] E. Hellmann, *Families of trianguline representations and ïňĄnite slope spaces,* preprint available on http://arXiv:1202.4408v1.

[26] L. Herr, *Sur la cohomologie galoisienne des corps p-adiques,* Bull. Soc. Math. France **126** (1998), 563-600.

[27] L. Herr, *Une approche nouvelle de la dualité locale de Tate,* Math. Annalen **320** (2001), 307-337.

[28] K. Kedlaya, *A p-adic monodromy theorem,* Ann. of Math. **160** (2004), 94-184.

[29] K. Kedlaya, R. Liu, *On families of* (φ,Γ)*-modules,* Algebra and Number Theory **4** (2010), 943âĂŞ967.

[30] K. Kedlaya, J. Pottharst, L. Xiao, *Cohomology of arithmetic families of* (φ,Γ)*-modules,* Journal of the Amer. Math. Soc. **27** (2014), 1043-1115.

[31] R. Liu, *Cohomology and Duality for* (φ,Γ)*-modules over the Robba ring*, Int. Math. Research Notices (2007), no. 3, 32 pages.
[32] K. Nakamura, *Iwasawa theory of de Rham* (φ,Γ)*-modules over the Robba ring*, J. of the Inst. of Math. of Jussieu, vol. 13, issue 1, pp 65-118.
[33] J. Nekovář, *On p-adic height pairing*, In: Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres, Paris, 1990/91 (S. David, ed.), Progress in Mathematics, vol. 108, Birkhäuser Boston, 1993, pp. 127âĂŞ202.
[34] J. Nekovář, *Selmer complexes*, Astérisque **310** (2006), 559 pages.
[35] W. Nizioł, *Cohomology of crystalline representations*, Duke Math. J. **71** (1993), pp.747-791.
[36] B. Perrin-Riou, *Théorie d'Iwasawa et hauteurs p-adiques*, Invent. Math. **109** (1992), 137-185.
[37] J. Pottharst, *Analytic families of finite slope Selmer groups*, Algebra and Number Theory **7** (2013), 1571-1611.
[38] J. Pottharst, *Cyclotomic Iwasawa theory of motives*, Preprint available on http://math.bu.edu/people/potthars/.
[39] P. Schneider, *p-adic height pairings. I*, Invent. Math. **69** (1982), 401âĂŞ409.
[40] J.-P. Serre, *Corps locaux*, Hermann, Paris, 1968.
[41] J.-L. Verdier, *Des catégories dérivées des catégories abéliennes*, Astérisque **239**, Soc. Math. France, Paris , 1996.

INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ DE BORDEAUX, 351, COURS DE LA LIBÉRATION 33405 TALENCE, FRANCE
E-mail address: denis.benois@math.u-bordeaux1.fr